

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA

Hyatt Coconut Point Bonita Springs, Florida

Saturday, June 3, 2017 10:00 a.m.

BRING THIS AGENDA TO THE MEETING

Real Property, Probate and Trust Law Section Executive Council Meeting

Hyatt Coconut Point Bonita Springs, Florida June 3, 2017

Agenda

Note: Agenda Items May Be Considered on a Random Basis

- I. <u>Presiding</u> Deborah P. Goodall, Chair
- II. <u>Attendance</u> William T. Hennessey, Secretary
- III. Minutes of Previous Meeting William T. Hennessey, Secretary

Motion to approve the minutes of February 25, 2017 meeting of Executive Council held at The Four Seasons, Austin, Texas **pp. 11 - 29**

- IV. Chair's Report Deborah P. Goodall
 - 1. Recognition of Guests: Michael Higer, President-Elect of The Florida Bar
 - 2. Milestones
 - Motion of William T. Hennessey to adopt a Memorial Resolution honoring the dedicated service and accomplishments of John Norris, a beloved past chair of the Section who passed away on May 6, 2016 p. 30
 - 4. Recognition of General Sponsors and Friends of the Section. pp. 31 33
 - 5. Report of Interim Action of the Executive Committee.
 - A. Given the importance of the issue of condominium law to our section and the time sensitivity inherent during the legislative process, on March 16, 2017, the Executive Committee adopted the following as a section legislative position:

Oppose creation of criminal penalties for violations of statutes pertaining to condominium association official records and condominium association elections, as well as any change to create criminal penalties for any violation of the Florida Condominium Act for which a criminal penalty does not already exist, including changes to Fla. Stat. Section 718.111(12) and creation of new statutory provisions within Fla. Statutes Chapter 718 or otherwise." **pp. 34 - 40**

- V. <u>Liaison with Board of Governors Report</u> Lansing C. Scriven
- VI. Chair-Elect's Report Andrew M. O'Malley pp. 41 42
- VII. <u>Treasurer's Report</u> Tae Kelley Bronner

Statement of Current Financial Conditions. pp. 43 - 46

- VIII. <u>Director of At-Large Members Report</u> S. Katherine Frazier
- IX. <u>CLE Seminar Coordination Report</u> Robert Swaine (Real Property) and Shane Kelley (Probate & Trust), Co-Chairs p. 47
- X. <u>General Standing Division</u> Andrew M. O'Malley, General Standing Division Director and Chair-Elect

Action Item:

1. **Sponsorship Coordination** – Wilhelmina F. Kightlinger, Chair

Motion to approve, in accordance with past Section practice, the waiver of general sponsorship fees for The Florida Bar Foundation for fiscal year 2017-2018, and allowing The Florida Bar Foundation to have exhibitor space at the 2017 Legislative Update and the 2018 Convention without paying an exhibitor fee if space is available after registration of paying exhibitors.

Information Items:

1. Ad Hoc Study Committee on Same Sex Marriage Issues – Jeffrey Ross Dollinger and George Daniel Karibjanian, Co-Chairs pp. 48 - 55

Report on Birchfield v. Armstrong.

2. **Amicus Coordination** – Kenneth Bell, Gerald Cope, Robert Goldman and John Little, Co-Chairs **pp. 56-68**

Report and update on pending Amicus matters including Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, St. Andrews Holdings v. Save Calusa Trust, and Smith v. Smith.

- 3. Information Technology and Communications William A. Parady, Chair
 - Report on upgrade of website.
- 4. Legislation Steven Mezer and Sarah Butters, Co-Chairs pp. 69 76

Report on 2017 legislation of interest to the Section.

- 5. Liaison with Clerks of Court Laird A. Lile and William "Ted" Conner, Liaisons
 Update on Clerks' position regarding paper filing.
- 6. **Model and Uniform Acts** *Bruce Stone and Richard Taylor*, Co-Chairs

Report on actions of the Uniform Law Commission. pp. 77 - 78

XI. Real Property Law Division Report—Robert S. Freedman, Division Director

Action Item:

1. Residential Real Estate and Industry Liaison Committee – Salome Zikakis, Chair

Committee motion to approve amendments to the Comprehensive Rider (part of the FAR/Bar residential purchase contract documentation) pertaining to homeowners' association and community disclosures. **pp.79 - 82**

Information Items:

1. **Open/Expired Permits Task Force** - Lee Weintraub, Chair

Motion to (A) adopt as a Section position legislation to establish a procedure by which property owners may close open or expired permits, to protect from liability bona fide purchasers of property with open or expired permits, and to establish procedures to reduce the number of future open or expired permits; (B) find that such legislative position is within the purview of the RPPTL Section; and (C) expend Section funds in support of the proposed legislative position. **pp. 83 - 98**

2. Real Property Problems Study Committee - Art Menor, Chair

Motion to (A) adopt as a Section position legislation to provide a cause of action for unlawful detainer, clarify the applicability of actions for forcible entry and unlawful detainer, clarify that no pre-suit notice is required in such actions, remove procedural jury verdict forms, and modernize archaic language; (B) find that such legislative position is within the purview of the RPPTL Section; and (C) expend Section funds in support of the proposed legislative position. **pp. 99 - 111**

3. Real Property Problems Study Committee - Art Menor, Chair

Motion to (A) adopt as a Section position legislation to provide a statutory definition for ejectment actions, provide for jurisdiction in the circuit courts for such actions, eliminate any ambiguity over whether pre-suit notice is required in such actions, and update the language in the existing ejectment statute; (B) find that such legislative position is within the purview of the RPPTL Section; and (C) expend Section funds in support of the proposed legislative position. **pp. 112 - 119**

4. Real Property Problems Study Committee - Art Menor, Chair

Motion to (A) adopt as a Section position legislation to (1) clarify that the interest of a lessor is not subject to improvements made by the lessee of a mobile home lot in s. 713.10, F.S., and (2) eliminate ambiguity regarding whether the expiration date on a notice of commencement may be less than one year from the date of recording, including an amendment to s. 713.13, F.S.; (B) find that such legislative position is within the purview of the RPPTL Section; and (C) expend Section funds in support of the proposed legislative position. **pp. 120 - 129**

5. **Real Property Litigation Committee** – Susan Spurgeon, Chair

Motion to (A) adopt as a Section position proposed legislation which will clarify s. 48.23(1)(d),F.S. to provide that, in proceedings involving a judicial sale, a valid recorded notice of lis pendens remains in effect through the recording of an instrument transferring title pursuant to the judicial sale, in order to eliminate intervening subordinate interests or liens; (B) find that such legislative position is within the purview of the RPPTL Section; and (C) expend Section funds in support of the proposed legislative position. **pp. 130 -141**

XII. Probate and Trust Law Division Report— Debra L. Boje, Division Director

Information Items:

1. Electronic Wills – Debra L. Boje and William T. Hennessey

Update on the Section's legislative efforts regarding Electronic Wills. pp. 142 - 371

- XIII. Real Property Law Division Reports Robert S. Freedman, Director
 - 1. **Commercial Real Estate** Adele Ilene Stone, Chair; E. Burt Bruton, R. James Robbins, Jr. and Martin D. Schwartz, Co-Vice Chairs.
 - 2. **Condominium and Planned Development** William P. Sklar, Chair; Alexander B. Dobrev and Kenneth S. Direktor, Co-Vice Chairs.
 - 3. **Construction Law** Scott Pence, Chair; Reese J. Henderson, Jr. and Neal A. Sivyer, Co-Vice Chairs.
 - 4. **Construction Law Certification Review Course** Deborah B. Mastin and Bryan R. Rendzio, Co-Chairs; Melinda S. Gentile, Vice Chair.
 - 5. **Construction Law Institute** Sanjay Kurian, Chair; Diane S. Perera, Jason J. Quintero and Brian R. Rendzio, Co-Vice Chairs.
 - 6. **Development & Land Use Planning** Vinette D. Godelia, Chair; Julia L. Jennison, Co-Vice Chair.

- 7. **Insurance & Surety** W. Cary Wright and Scott Pence, Co-Chairs; Frederick R. Dudley and Michael G. Meyer, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 8. **Liaisons with FLTA** Alan K. McCall and Melissa Jay Murphy, Co-Chairs; Alexandra J. Overhoff and James C. Russick, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 9. **Real Estate Certification Review Course** Jennifer Slone Tobin, Chair; Manuel Farach, Martin S. Awerbach and Brian W. Hoffman, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 10. **Real Estate Leasing** Richard D. Eckhard Chair; Brenda B. Ezell, Vice Chair.
- 11. **Real Estate Structures and Taxation** Michael Bedke, Chair; Cristin C. Keane, Lloyd Granet and Deborah Boyd, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 12. **Real Property Finance & Lending** David R. Brittain, Chair; E. Ashley McRae, Richard S. McIver and Robert G. Stern, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 13. **Real Property Litigation** Susan K. Spurgeon, Chair; Manuel Farach and Marty J. Solomon, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 14. **Real Property Problems Study** Arthur J. Menor, Chair; Mark A. Brown, Robert S. Swaine, Stacy O. Kalmanson, Lee A. Weintraub and Patricia J. Hancock, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 15. **Residential Real Estate and Industry Liaison** Salome J. Zikakas, Chair; Louis E. ""Trey" Goldman, Nicole M. Villarroel and James Marx, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 16. **Title Insurance and Title Insurance Liaison** Raul P. Ballaga, Chair; Alan B. Fields, Brian J. Hoffman and Melissa N. VanSickle, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 17. **Title Issues and Standards** Christopher W. Smart, Chair; Robert M. Graham, Brian J. Hoffman and Karla J. Staker, Co-Vice Chairs.
- XIV. <u>Probate and Trust Law Division Committee Reports</u> Debra Lynn. Boje, Director
 - 1. Ad Hoc Guardianship Law Revision Committee David Clark Brennan, Chair; Sancha Brennan Whynot, Tattiana Patricia Brenes-Stahl, Nicklaus Joseph Curley, Co-Vice Chairs
 - 2. Ad Hoc Study Committee on Estate Planning Conflict of Interest William Thomas Hennessey III, Chair; Paul Edward Roman, Vice Chair
 - 3. Ad Hoc Study Committee on Due Process, Jurisdiction & Service of Process
 Barry F. Spivey, Chair; Sean William Kelley and Christopher Quinn Wintter, CoVice Chairs
 - 4. Ad Hoc Committee on Physicians Orders for Life Sustaining Treatment (POLST) Jeffrey Alan Baskies and Thomas M. Karr, Co- Chairs

- 5. Ad Hoc Study Committee on Spendthrift Trust Issues Lauren Young Detzel and Jon Scuderi, Co-Chairs
- 6. **Asset Protection** George Daniel Karibjanian, Chair; Rick Roy Gans and Brian Michael Malec, Co-Vice-Chairs
- 7. **Attorney/Trust Officer Liaison Conference** Laura Kristin Sundberg, Chair; Stacey L. Cole, Co-Vice Chair (Corporate Fiduciary), Tattiana Patricia Brenes-Stahl and Patrick Christopher Emans, Co-Vice Chair
- 8. **Digital Assets and Information Study Committee** Eric Virgil, Chair; M. Travis Hayes and S. Dresden Brunner, Co-Vice Chairs
- 9. **Elective Share Review Committee** Lauren Young Detzel and Charles Ian Nash, Co-Chairs; Jenna Rubin, Vice-Chair
- 10. **Estate and Trust Tax Planning** David James Akins, Chair; Tasha K. Pepper-Dickinson and Robert Logan Lancaster, Co-Vice Chairs
- 11. **Guardianship, Power of Attorney and Advanced Directives** Hung Viet Nguyen, Chair, Nicklaus Joseph Curley, Lawrence Jay Miller and J. Eric Virgil, Co-Vice Chairs
- 12. **IRA, Insurance and Employee Benefits** L. Howard Payne and Kristen M. Lynch, Co-Chairs; Carlos Alberto Rodriguez and Richard Amari, Co-Vice Chairs
- 13. **Liaisons with ACTEC** Elaine M. Bucher, Michael David Simon, Bruce Michael Stone, and Diana S.C. Zeydel
- 14. **Liaisons with Elder Law Section** Charles F. Robinson and Marjorie Ellen Wolasky
- 15. **Liaisons with Tax Section** Lauren Young Detzel, Cristin Keane, William Roy Lane, Jr., Brian Curtis Sparks and Donald Robert Tescher
- 16. **Principal and Income** Edward F. Koren and Pamela O. Price, Co-Chairs, Keith Braun, Vice Chair
- 17. **Probate and Trust Litigation** Jon Scuderi, Chair; John Richard Caskey, Robert Lee McElroy, IV and James Raymond George Co-Vice Chairs
- 18. **Probate Law and Procedure** John Christopher Moran, Chair; Michael Travis

- 19. **Trust Law** Angela McClendon Adams, Chair; Tami Foley Conetta, Jack A. Falk and Mary E. Karr, Co-Vice Chairs
- 20. Wills, Trusts and Estates Certification Review Course Laura K. Sundberg, Chair; Jeffrey Goethe, Linda S. Griffin, Seth Andrew Marmor and Jerome L. Wolf, Co-Vice Chairs

XV. General Standing Committee Reports — Andrew M. O'Malley, Director and Chair-Elect

- 1. **Ad Hoc Leadership Academy** Brian Sparks and Kris Fernandez, Co-Chairs
- 2. Ad Hoc Study Committee on Same Sex Marriage Issues— Jeffrey Ross Dollinger and George Daniel Karibjanian, Co-Chairs
- 3. **Amicus Coordination** Robert W. Goldman, John W. Little, III, Kenneth B. Bell and Gerald B. Cope, Jr., Co-Chairs
- 4. **Budget** Tae Kelley Bronner, Chair; Robert S. Freedman and Pamela O. Price, Co-Vice Chairs
- 5. **CLE Seminar Coordination** Robert S. Swaine and Shane Kelley, Co-Chairs; Thomas Karr, Silvia Rojas, Alex Hamrick, Theo Kypreos, Hardy L. Roberts, III, (General E-CLE) and Paul Roman (Ethics), Co-Vice Chairs
- 6. **Convention Coordination** Dresden Brunner, Chair; Sancha Brennan Whynot and Jon Scuderi, Co-Vice Chairs
- 7. **Fellows** Benjamin Diamond, Chair; Joshua Rosenberg, John Costello and Jennifer Bloodworth, Co-Vice Chairs
- 8. Florida Electronic Filing & Service Rohan Kelley, Chair
- 9. **Homestead Issues Study** Jeffrey S. Goethe (Probate & Trust) and Patricia P. Jones (Real Property), Co-Chairs; J. Michael Swaine, Melissa Murphy and Charles Nash, Co-Vice Chairs
- 10. **Legislation** Sarah Butters (Probate & Trust) and Steven Mezer (Real Property), Co-Chairs; Travis Hayes and Ben Diamond (Probate & Trust), and Alan B. Fields and Art Menor (Real Property), Co-Vice Chairs
- 11. **Legislative Update (2016)** R. James Robbins, Chair; Stacy O. Kalmanson, Thomas Karr, Kymberlee Smith, Barry F. Spivey, Jennifer S. Tobin, Co-Vice Chairs
- 12. **Legislative Update (2017)** –Stacy O. Kalmanson, Chair; Brenda Ezell, Travis

Hayes, Thomas Karr, Joshua Rosenberg, Kymberlee Curry Smith, Jennifer S. Tobin and Salome Zikakis, Co-Vice Chairs

13. Liaison with:

- a. **American Bar Association (ABA)** Edward F. Koren, Julius J. Zschau, George Meyer and Robert S. Freedman
- b. Clerks of Circuit Court Laird A. Lile and William Theodore Conner
- c. FLEA / FLSSI David C. Brennan and Roland "Chip" Waller
- d. Florida Bankers Association Mark T. Middlebrook
- e. **Judiciary** Judge Linda R. Allan, Judge Herbert J. Baumann, Judge Melvin B. Grossman, Judge Hugh D. Hayes, Judge Maria M. Korvick, Judge Norma S. Lindsey, Judge Celeste H. Muir, Judge Robert Pleus, Jr., Judge Walter L. Schafer, Jr., Judge Morris Silberman, Judge Mark Speiser, Judge Richard J. Suarez, and Judge Patricia V. Thomas
- f. Out of State Members Michael P. Stafford, John E. Fitzgerald, Jr., and Nicole Kibert
- g. **TFB Board of Governors** Lansing C. Scriven
- h. **TFB Business Law Section** Gwynne A. Young and Manuel Farach
- i. **TFB CLE Committee** Robert S. Freedman and Tae Kelley Bronner
- j. **TFB Council of Sections** –Deborah P. Goodall and Andrew M. O'Malley
- k. **TFB Pro Bono Committee** Tasha K. Pepper-Dickinson
- 14. **Long-Range Planning** Andrew M. O'Malley, Chair
- 15. **Meetings Planning** George J. Meyer, Chair
- 16. **Member Communications and Information Technology** William A. Parady, Chair; Michael Travis Hayes, Neil Shoter, Hardy Roberts, Jesse Friedman, and Erin Christy, Co-Vice Chairs
- 17. **Membership and Inclusion** –Lynwood F. Arnold, Jr. and Jason M. Ellison, Co-Chairs, Annabella Barboza, Phillip A. Baumann, Guy S. Emerich, Brenda Ezell Theodore S. Kypreos, and Kymberlee Curry Smith, Co-Vice Chairs
- 18. **Model and Uniform Acts** Bruce M. Stone and Richard W. Taylor, Co-Chairs
- 19. **Professionalism and Ethics--General** Paul Roman, Chair; Tasha K. Pepper-Dickinson, Alex Dobrev, and Andrew B. Sasso, Vice Chairs
- 20. **Publications (ActionLine)** Jeffrey Alan Baskies and W. Cary Wright, Co-Chairs (Editors in Chief); Shari Ben Moussa, George D. Karibjanian, Sean M. Lebowitz, Paul Roman and Lee Weintraub, Co-Vice Chairs.
- 21. **Publications (Florida Bar Journal)** Jeffrey S. Goethe (Probate & Trust) and Douglas G. Christy (Real Property), Co-Chairs; Brian Sparks (Editorial Board Probate & Trust), Cindy Basham (Editorial Board Probate & Trust), Michael A. Bedke (Editorial Board Real Property), Homer Duvall (Editorial Board Real Property) and Allison Archbold (Editorial Board), Co-Vice Chairs
- 22. **Sponsor Coordination** Wilhelmina F. Kightlinger, Chair; J. Michael Swaine,

Deborah L. Russell, Benjamin F. Diamond, John Cole, Jason Quintero, Co-Vice Chairs

23. **Strategic Planning** –Deborah P. Goodall and Andrew M. O'Malley, Co-Chairs

XVI. Adjourn: Motion to Adjourn.

MINUTES OF THE

REAL PROPERTY, PROBATE AND TRUST LAW SECTION

Executive Council Saturday, February 25, 2017 Austin, Texas

I. Call to Order – Deborah P. Goodall, Chair

Yeehaw! After a festive couple of days taking in the sights and sounds of the Live Music Capital of the World, and a hardy bit of line dancing on Friday night, our Chair, Ms. Deborah P. Goodall, called the meeting to order at 9:11 a.m. on Saturday, February 25, 2017. Ms. Goodall provided a rousing *Howdy!* to our Council and our guests waking your secretary up from a "meat coma" from the prior evenings' vittles and fixins'.

The week included BBQ, visits to the Lyndon B. Johnson Presidential Library, more BBQ, the Texas State Capitol, more BBQ, and a wide range of food and music events (Did I mention BBQ?). Many thanks to Ms. Goodall, Mary Ann, and our RPPTL team for putting together a great week of food and fellowship.

II. <u>Attendance</u> – William T. Hennessey, Secretary

Mr. Hennessey reminded all members to sign the attendance roster. The roster showing members in attendance is attached as Addendum "A".

III. <u>Minutes of Previous Meeting</u> – William T. Hennessey, Secretary

Mr. Hennessey moved:

To approve the Minutes of the December 10, 2016 meeting of the Executive Council held at The Westin Resort and Marina, Key West. (See Agenda pages 9-36.)

The Motion was unanimously approved.

IV. Chair's Report - Deborah P. Goodall

1. **Milestones**. Ms. Goodall reported that Peggy Isphording and Gerry Flood both celebrated their birthdays with us over the weekend. Ms. Goodall invited members to share their personal and professional achievements so that they can be celebrated within the RPPTL Family.

2. Recognition of General Sponsors and Friends of the Section

Ms. Goodall thanked each of our General Sponsors and Friends of the Section listed on pages 37-39 of the Agenda:

General Sponsors

Overall Sponsors – Legislative Update & Convention & Spouse Breakfast Attorneys' Title Fund Services, LLC – Melissa Murphy.

<u>Thursday Lunch</u> **Management Planning, Inc.** – Roy Meyers

<u>Thursday Night Reception</u> **JP Morgan** – Carlos Batlle/Alyssa Feder/Phil Reagan

Old Republic National Title Insurance Company – Jim Russick

Friday Night Reception

Wells Fargo Private Bank – Mark Middlebrook/George Lange/Alex Hamrick

<u>Friday Night Dinner</u> **First American Title Insurance Company** – Alan McCall

Probate Roundtable

SRR (Stout Risius Ross Inc.) – Garry Marshall

Guardian Trust- Ashley Gonnelli

Real Property Roundtable

Fidelity National Title Group – Pat Hancock

Saturday Lunch
The Florida Bar Foundation – Bruce Blackwell

Saturday Dinner
Wright Investors' Service – Stephen Soper

RPPTL Meeting App

WFG National Title Insurance Company – Joseph Tschida

Friends of the Section

Business Valuation Analysts, LLC – Tim Bronza Corporate Valuation Services, Inc. – Tony Garvy Fiduciary Trust International – Claudia Reithauser North American Title Insurance Company – Andres San Jorge

Valley National Bank - Jacquelyn McIntosh Valuation Services, Inc. – Jeff Bae, JD, CVA Wilmington Trust – David Fritz

- 3. Report of Interim Action of Executive Committee.
- **A. Electronic Wills**. Ms. Goodall explained that RPPTL Section has been actively opposing the electronic wills legislation. Given the importance of the issue of electronic wills to our section, the Executive Committee held a lengthy telephonic meeting on January 17, 2017 and adopted the following as a section legislative position:

Oppose legislation, including 2017 Florida Senate Bill 206, regarding electronic wills, powers of attorney and living wills unless such legislation: (a) eliminates references to powers of attorney and living will; (b) is amended to safeguard the citizens of Florida from fraud and exploitation; (c) includes protections to ensure the integrity, security, and authenticity of an electronically signed will; (d) provides sufficient evidence to authenticate execution by the testator; and (e) retains the requirements that two subscribing witnesses sign in the physical presence of the testator.

See white paper attached at pp. 40 - 56.

Past RPPTL Chair, Chip Waller, thanked Ms. Goodall for involving Past Chairs and others in this important decision before it was made. He also thanked the hard work of Executive Committee in addressing electronic wills. Ms. Goodall added thanks to John Moran and Travis Hayes who have both been instrumental in responding to this legislation.

B. Term Limits. The Section was requested by the Florida Bar to take a formal position in opposition to term limits for the judicial branch. House Joint Resolution 1 (HJR1) and Senate Joint Resolution 482 (SJR482) propose a constitutional amendment imposing term limits on Florida Supreme Court Justices and Court of Appeals Judges. On February 7, 2017, the Executive Committee voted to adopt the following as a section legislative position:

Oppose term limits for judges at any level of Florida's state court system.

See proposed legislation attached pp. 57 - 65.

4. Upcoming Executive Council Meetings. The upcoming meeting schedule with room links are attached at **pp. 66 – 73.** Ms. Goodall's last hurrah, the Section Convention, will be held at the lovely Hyatt Regency Coconut Point in Bonita Springs. The meeting will be family friendly. The seminar will include a technology and ethics seminar.

V. <u>Liaison with Board of Governors Report</u> — Lansing C. Scriven

No report.

VI. Chair-Elect's Report — Andrew M. O'Malley p. 74

The schedule and room block information for Mr. O'Malley's year is included in the agenda at Page 74. Mr. O'Malley reported that the links for the St Augustine meeting will be sent out soon. Mr. O'Malley is still taking suggestions for events in Boston. He assured everyone that we will be taking a Duck Tour (in the event this is at the top of your list). On behalf of Steven Goodall, your secretary suggests that the Duck Tour follow the Annual Patriots Won the Super Bowl Again Parade Route.

Chip Waller thanked the Section leaders for providing advance notice of room block information to Past Chairs of the Section given the problem with room blocks being sold out. Mr. Waller suggested that people with perfect attendance be given first dibs on rooms. Mr. O'Malley reported that we have been working to address the room block problems by expanding the size of the block and initiating a 30 day cancellation policy with the monies from cancellations within the window reverting to the Section.

VII. <u>Treasurer's Report</u> — Tae Kelley Bronner

In Ms. Bronner's absence, Ms. Goodall reported that the Florida Bar has switched to a new accounting system. As a consequence, the Bar is behind in providing the RPPTL Section with financial reports. The Statement of Current Financial is included in the agenda at **p. 75**

VIII. <u>Director of At-Large Members Report</u> — S. Katherine Frazier

Ms. Frazier reported that ALMs kicked off the No Place Like Home project with local legal aid societies. RPPTL volunteers are currently providing services under the No Place Like Home program in First, Sixth, Twelfth, Thirteenth, and Fifteenth Circuits. The materials for No Place Like Home have been distributed to the ALMS. The volunteers will be assisting Florida residents in resolving real estate and probate-related title issues. They will be trained on how to handle the cases which will be vetted by the local legal aid societies. The materials and information are posted on the webpage for the ALMs. Volunteers are still needed as this project expands statewide.

IX. Legislation Committee — Steven H. Mezer (Real Property) and Sarah S. Butters (Probate & Trust), Co-Chairs

Pete Dunbar provided a complete report on current items of interest to the Section. The full legislative report is at Pages 76-114. The good news is that the Section will likely have plenty to report for the Legislative Update.

Sarah Butters reported that the RPPTL Section was active in negotiating and addressing changes to proposed rules promulgated by the Office of Professional Guardian to strengthen the background and disciplinary process relating to professional guardians. Because of the timing, Darby Jones filed a lawsuit asserting a rule challenge to assist in getting our changes made. The matter was settled and the final rules have been published. The new rules will go into effect in March. Chair Goodall thanked Darby Jones and Holland & Knight for their efforts on these issues.

X. <u>CLE Seminar Coordination Report</u> — Robert Swaine (Real Property) and Shane Kelley (Probate & Trust), Co-Chairs

Ms. Goodall reported that "silly season" has begun and that we have seven key CLE programs coming up over the next few months. The full seminar list is published on page 115 of the Agenda. Ms. Goodall thanked all of our volunteers for the hard work in putting together a fantastic seminar slate. Peggy Rolando reported that there will be a seminar on the ins and outs of Condo and Planned Development Law on April 28, 2017.

XI. Real Property Law Division Reports — Robert S. Freedman, Director

Mr. Freedman recognized and thanked each of our committee sponsors in the Real Property Division, which are listed on Page 39 of the Agenda.

Committee Sponsors

Attorneys' Title Fund Services, LLC – Melissa Murphy Commercial Real Estate Committee

Seaside National Bank and Trust- H. Wayne Geist Commercial Real Estate

First American Title Insurance Company – Alan McCall Condominium & Planned Development Committee

First American Title Insurance Company – Wayne Sobien Real Estate Structures and Taxation he FAR/Bar Committee

Hopping Green & Sams – Vinette Godelia Development and Land Use

No Action or Information Items for the RPPTL Section were reported or debated at this meeting.

XII. Probate and Trust Law Division Report — Debra L. Boje, Director

Debra Boje recognized and thanked each of our committee sponsors in the Probate Division, which are listed on page 39 of the Agenda:

BNY Mellon Wealth Management – Joan Crain Estate and Tax Planning Committee & IRA, Insurance and Employee Benefits Committee

Business Valuation Analysts – Tim Bronza Trust Law Committee

Coral Gables Trust – John Harris Probate and Trust Litigation Committee

Kravit Estate Appraisal – Bianca Morabito Estate and Tax Planning Committee

Life Audit Professionals – Joe Gitto and Andrea Obey IRA, Insurance & Employee Benefits Committee & Estate and Tax Planning Committee

Management Planning, Inc. – Roy Meyers Estate & Trust Tax Planning Committee

Northern Trust – Tami Conetta Trust Law Committee

No Action or Information Items for the RPPTL Section were reported or debated at this meeting.

XIII. <u>General Standing Committee Reports</u> — Andrew M. O'Malley, Director and Chair- Elect

Fellows – Benjamin Diamond, Chair; Joshua Rosenberg, John Costello and Jennifer Bloodworth, Co-Vice Chairs

Mr. O'Malley reported that the deadline for new Fellows applications has been extended through March 31, 2017. We have a number of past Fellows who are now serving on the Executive Council.

XIV. Adjourn.

Ms. Goodall reported on the fun events planned for the remainder of the weekend and thanked Mary Ann for all of her good work. Ms. Goodall was recognized to rowdy applause for a successful Austin meeting. There being no further business to come before the Executive Council, Ms. Goodall thanked those in attendance and a motion to adjourn was unanimously approved.

Y'all come back now. Ya hear! William T. Hennessey, Secretary

ATTENDANCE ROSTER

REAL PROPERTY PROBATE & TRUST LAW SECTION EXECUTIVE COUNCIL MEETINGS 2016-2017

	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Executive Committee	RP	P&T	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Goodall, Deborah P Chair		V	√	V	1		10
O'Malley, Andrew M., Chair-Elect	V		V	V	1		Ans
Boje, Debra L., Probate & Trust Law Div. Director		V	√	√	1		FeB
Freedman, Robert S., Real Property Law Div. Director	V		V		1		Paf
Frazier, S. Katherine, Director of At-Large Members	V		√	√	1		2
Hennessey, William T., Secretary		V	√	V	1		IN
Bronner, Tae K., Treasurer		V	√	V	1		
Butters, Sarah S., Legislation Co-Chair (P&T)		V	√	√	1		B
Mezer, Steven H., Legislation Co-Chair (RP)	√		√	√	1		ZM
Kelley, Shane, Legislation CLE Seminar Coordination Co-Chair (P&T)		1	1	√	1		
Swaine, Robert S., CLE Seminar Coordination Co-Chair (RP)	√		√	√	1		
Gelfand, Michael J., Immediate Past Chair	V		1	√	1		mill

Executive Council Members	Division		July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
	RP	P&T	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Adams, Angela M.		1	V	√	1		Ana
Adcock, Jr., Louie N., Past Chair		V					
Akins, David J.		1	√	√			
Allan, Honorable Linda		V					11.2
Altman, Stuart H.		V	√				ANSO
Amari, Richard		V	√				

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Archbold, J. Allison		1	V				Vaa
Arnold, Jr., Lynwood F.		V					
Aron Jerry E. Past Chair	√		√		1		
Awerbach, Martin S.	√		√	V	1		
Bald, Kimberly A.		1	√				
Ballaga, Raul P.	√		√				
Barboza, Annabella	1		√	V			(1
Basham, Cindy		1		V	CB		CH
Baskies, Jeffrey		1	√				
Batlle, Carlos A.		V	√		1		CAB
Baumann, Honorable Herbert J.		1					
Baumann, Phillip A.		1			1		
Beales, III, Walter R. Past Chair	√		√				
Bedke, Michael A.	1		V		1		
Belcher, William F. Past Chair		V	√	√	1		wfb
Bell, Kenneth B.	√						
Bell, Rebecca Coulter		1	√		1		
Beller, Amy		1	√		1		
Bellew, Brandon D.		V	√				
Ben Moussa, Shari D.	√		√				
Bloodworth, Jennifer J.	√			√	1		
Bonevac, Judy B.		1		1	1		CBB
Bowers, Elizabeth Anne		V	√	√	1		
Boyd, Deborah	√				1		

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	P&T	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Braun, Keith Brian		1	V		1		
Brenes-Stahl, Tattiana P.		1	. √	√			
Brennan, David C. Past Chair		V	V			G.	
Brittain, David R.	√		√				
Brown, Mark A.	√		V		1		
Brown, Shawn	V		V	√			
Brunner, S. Dresden		V)				
Bruton, Jr., Ed Burt	√		V		1		
Bucher, Elaine M.		1	V		1		
Butler, Jonathan		√	V	√	1		36
Callahan, Charles III		√	V		1		
Carlisle, David R.		4					
Caskey, John R.		1	V				
Christiansen, Patrick T. Past Chair	√		V				
Christy, Douglas G. III	V		V		1		
Christy, Erin Hope	V		V		1		(gr. 19
Cohen, Howard Allen	V		V		1		M
Cole, John P.		V					
Cole, Stacey L.		V	√	√			
Conetta, Tami F.	(0)	V	√	· 1	1		0
Conner, W. Theodore	√						
Cope, Jr., Gerald B.	√		√				
Costello, T. John, Jr.		V	√				
Curley, Nick		√	V	V	1		

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Detzel, Lauren Y.		1	√		1		
Diamond, Benjamin F.		√			1		
Diamond, Sandra F. Past Chair		√	V				
Direktor, Kenneth Steven	1		√				
Dobrev, Alex	1						
Dollinger, Jeffrey	√						
Dribin, Michael Past Chair		√	√		1		
Dudley, Frederick R.	√						
Duvall, III, Homer	√		√				
Eckhard, Rick	V		√		1		
Ellison, Jason M.	V		√	V			,
Emans, Patrick C		1	√				
Emerich, Guy S.		4			1		
Ertl, Christene M.	V		√				
Ezell, Brenda B.	V		√				
Fagan, Gail		√	1		1	MAT	
Falk, Jr., Jack A.		1	√		1		
Farach, Manuel	1		√		1		ND
Faulkner, Debra Ann		V			1		
Felcoski, Brian J. Past Chair		√	V	√	1		
Fernandez, Kristopher E.	V		√	√	1		Koar
Fields, Alan B.	V		1				837
Fitzgerald, Jr., John E.		√	V	√	1		
Flood, Gerard J.		1		13			777.

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Foreman, Michael L.		1	√				
Frazier, Nathan	V		√	√	1		
Friedman, Jesse B.	V		√		1		
Galler, Jonathan		1	√	V	1		
Gans, Richard R.		1	√		1		
Gentile, Melinda S.	V		√		1		
George, James		√	√				
Godelia, Vinette D.	√		√				
Goethe, Jeffrey S.		√	√	√	1		
Goldman, Louis "Trey"	4		√		1		16
Goldman, Robert W. Past Chair		√	√	V	pus		pwa 14
Graham, Robert M.	√		√		1		4
Granet, Lloyd	√		√				
Griffin, Linda S.		1	√	V	1		
Grimsley, John G. Past Chair		√					
Grossman, Honorable Melvin B.		√					
Gunther, Eamonn W.		√	√		1		
Gurgold, Eric		√	√		1		
Guttmann, III, Louis B Past Chair	√		√				
Hamrick, Alexander H		√		V	AH		MA
Hancock, Patricia J.	4		√	:			
Hayes, Honorable Hugh D.		V					1
Hayes, Michael Travis		√	. 🗸	V	1		TH
Hearn, Steven L. Past Chair		√	√	57	1		

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	P&T	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Henderson, Jr., Reese J.	1						
Henderson, III, Thomas N.	1		√				
Heuston, Stephen P.		√		\checkmark	√		
Hipsman, Mitchell Alec		√					
Hoffman, Brian W.	√		√		1		•
Isphording, Roger O. Past Chair		√	√	√			RU/
Jennison, Julia Lee	1		√	V	1		1
Johnson, Amber Jade F.		1	√	√	1		
Jones, Darby		√	√		1		
Jones, Frederick W.	1		√	V	1		
Jones, Patricia P.H.	1		√	√	1	-	
Judd, Robert B.		√	√		1		
Kalmanson, Stacy O.	1		√				
Karibjanian, George		1	✓		1		
Karr, Mary		1	✓				
Karr, Thomas M.		1	✓		1		
Kayser, Joan B. Past Chair		1					
Keane, Cristin C.	1						
Kelley, Rohan Past Chair		√	✓	V	1		
Kelley, Sean W.		√	√		1		
Khan, Nishad	1		1		1		
Kibert, Nicole C.	1		√	√			
Kightlinger, Wilhelmina F.	1		✓				
Kinsolving, Ruth Barnes, Past Chair	V						

6902792/7 6 22

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	P&T	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Koren, Edward F. Past Chair		V			1		
Korvick, Honorable Maria M.		√					
Kotler, Alan Stephen		1	√		1		
Kromash, Keith S.		1	√				
Kurian, Sanjay	√						
Kypreos, Theodore S.		V	√	1			
Lancaster, Robert L.		√	√		1		
Lane, Jr., William R.		√				1	×1°4.
Larson, Roger A.	1		√		1	(
Leathe, Jeremy Paul		√	√		1		
Lebowitz, Sean M.		√	√		1		
Leebrick, Brian D.	1		√				_
Lile, Laird A. Past Chair		√	√		1		184
Lindsey, Honorable Norma S.	1						
Little, III, John W.	1		√				
Lopez, Sophia A.		√	√				
Lynch, Kristen M.		√					, # 4
Madorsky, Marsha G.		√	√		1)	
Malec, Brian		V	√	- V	1		// /
Marger, Bruce Past Chair		V					
Marmor, Seth A.		1	1				
Marshall, III, Stewart A.		V	√	V			
Marx, James A.		1	√	V	1		
Mastin, Deborah Bovarnick	1		√		1		

23

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25	
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX	
McCall, Alan K.	1		√		1/			
McElroy, IV, Robert Lee		√	√		V			
McIver, Richard		√		√	1			
McRae, Ashley E.	1		√					
Melanson, Noelle	1		√					
Menor, Arthur J.		1	√		1			
Meyer, George F. Past Chair	1		V		1			
Meyer, Michael	√		✓	√	1			
Middlebrook, Mark T.		1	√				MILL	
Miller, Lawrence J.		√	√		1		V	
Mize, Patrick		1	√					
Moran, John C.		1	√		1			
Moule, Rex E.		1						
Muir, Honorable Celeste H.		1	√					
Murphy, Melissa J. Past Chair	V		MM		1		MM	
Nash, Charles I.		1	√				CIN	
Neukamm, John B. Past Chair	1		√	√	1		9/1	
Nguyen, Hung V.		1	√				€	
Overhoff, Alex	1		√				/	
Parady, William A.	√		√	į.	1			
Payne, L. Howard		1	√		1	,	Control	
Pence, Scott P.	√		√		1			
Pepper-Dickinson, Tasha K.		1	√		1			
Perera, Diane	1				1			

6902792/7 8 24

Executive Council	Division		July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Pilotte, Frank		V	√	V	1		FPQ
Platt, William R.		V					700
Pleus, Jr., Honorable Robert J.		V					
Pollack, Anne Q.	1		√				ap
Price, Pamela O.		~		V			
Pyle, Michael A.		V	√		1		
Quintero, Jason	V						
Redding, John N.	√		√	V			
Rendzio, Bryan	V						
Reynolds, Stephen H.		V	√				
Rieman, Alexandra V.		V	√				
Robbins, Jr., R.J.	V		√				
Roberts, III, Hardy L.	V		√				
Robinson, Charles F.		~	√	1	1		
Rodriguez, Carlos A.		V					
Rojas, Silvia B.	1		√	V	1		8he
Rolando, Margaret A. Past Chair	V		V		1		Wak
Roman, Paul E.		V	√		1		m
Rosenberg, Joshua		V	√				
Rubin, Jenna		V					
Russell, Deborah L.		V	√				
Russick, James C.	V		√		1		404
Rydberg, Marsha G.	√			1	1		7-1
Sachs, Colleen C.	V		√				

25

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Sasso, Andrew	V		V				
Schafer, Jr., Honorable Walter L.		√					
Schwartz, Martin	V		√		¥		
Schwartz, Robert M.	1		√		V		
Schwinghamer, Jamie Beth		V	√				
Scriven, Lansing Charles	1		√	√			
Scuderi, Jon		1	√	√	1		
Seaford, Susan	1		√				
Sheets, Sandra G.		1	V				
Sherrill, Richard Norton		1	√		1		
Shoter, Neil B.	V		√				
Silberman, Honorable Morris	V						
Silberstein, David M.		V	1				
Simon, Michael		1					
Sivyer, Neal Allen	1		√		1		
Sklar, William P.	V		√				
Smart, Christopher W.	V		1		,		, i
Smith, G. Thomas Past Chair	V		√		V		
Smith, Kymberlee	V		V				
Smith, Wilson Past Chair		√					
Sneeringer, Michael Alan		√	√		1		
Solomon, Marty James	V		√	/	1		MJS
Spalding, Ann		1					
Sparks, Brian C.		1	V	9			

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Speiser, Honorable Mark A.		1					
Spivey, Barry F.		√	√	V	1		RTO
Spurgeon, Susan K.	V		√		1		/
Stafford, Michael P.		√	√	V	1		/
Staker, Karla J.	V		√		1		
Stern, Robert G.	V		V				
Stone, Adele I.	V						
Stone, Bruce M. Past Chair		√	√		1		
Suarez, Honorable Richard J.		V					
Sundberg, Laura K.		√			1		/
Swaine, Jack Michael Past Chair	٧		√	√			AMI
Taylor, Richard W.	V					l	/
Tescher, Donald R.		V	V				
Thomas, Honorable Patricia V.		V	V		1		AT/
Tobin, Jennifer S.	√		1				V /
Triggs, Matthew H.		√			1		
Udick, Arlene C.	√		· ·	√	- V		acy
Van Lenten, Jason Paul		√	V				
VanSickle, Melissa	٧						-
Villarroel, Nicole Marie	V		1				
Virgil, Eric		√	1	λ	1		
Waller, Roland D. Past Chair	V		V	Med	Was		WU
Wartenberg, Stephanie Harriet		V	V	1			
Weintraub, Lee A.	V		1	√	1		Mes

Executive Council	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Members	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Wells, Jerry B.		1	√		1		
White, Jr., Richard M.		V	√	V			
Whynot, Sancha B.	√		√	V	1		
Wilder, Charles D.		V	√	V	1		
Williams, Margaret A.	1		√		1		
Williamson, Julie Ann Past Chair	V		√				
Wintter, Christopher Q.		√	√		1		
Wohlust, Gary Charles		V	√	V	1		
Wolasky, Marjorie E.		√	√				
Wolf, Jerome L.		√	√				
Wright, William Cary	√		√	V	1	V	cw
Young, Gwynne A.	√		√		1	1	gray
Zeydel, Diana S.C.		√					
Zikakis, Salome J.		V	√		1		SHA
Zschau, Julius J. Past Chair	V						

RPPTL Fellows	Division		July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Ashton, Amber	√		√				
Santos, Angela		√	V				
Villavicencio, Stephanie		V	V				
Work, Scott	√		V				
Sajdera, Christopher	√		V				
Friedman, Briget	√		V				BMF
Rubel, Stacy		V	V	√	1		
Grosso, Jennifer		√					

Legislative	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Consultants	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Dunbar, Peter M.	√		V	V	√		RUD
Edenfield, Martha Jane	√		V		1		me
Finkbeiner, Brittany	√		V		1		
Roth, Cari L.	√		V				

20	Div	ision	July 30	Oct 8	Dec 10	June 3	Feb 25
Guests	RP	Р&Т	Breakers	Disney	Key West	Bonita Spgs	Austin TX
Daniel McDermott					1		
Elizabeth Hughes					1		
Ashley Duz					1		
Rose La Femina					1		
KRIS LIFRZ						2	/
MICHAEL HARVE	V						V

Resolution

The Executive Council of the Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section Of The Florida Bar Recognizing the Service and Contributions of

John E. Morris

Hereas, JOHN E. NORRIS of Lake City, Florida, was a respected and deeply loved member of the Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar who passed away at the age 92 on May 6, 2016 survived by his loving family of Guy and Suzanne Norris, Jim White, sisters-in-law Lillian Norris, Mable W. Hurt and Clara Williams; Carl and Joan Allison, Steve and Teresa Allison, Chuck and Vesna Allison; grandchildren, William, John, James, and Paul Norris, Heidi White, Stephanie, Amanda, Michelle, Desiree, Sydney and Cameron Allison, and 2 great grandchildren and numerous nieces and nephews all of whom he dearly loved; and

Exercise, John served his country with distinction and was a World War II Army veteran having fought with 69th Infantry Division in the European theater in Italy, France, and Germany;

Exercis, after his service in World War II, John received his undergraduate and law degrees from the University of Florida with high honors, where he remained an avid Florida Gator and season ticket holder for football and basketball for over 50 years; and

Whereas, John began his legal career in Mayo, Florida in 1949 he was admitted to The Florida Bar; and

Thereas, John later moved to Lake City and had a long and distinguished career as a real estate attorney first with the law firm of Brannon, Brown & Smith (which later became Brannon, Brown, Norris, Vocelle & Haley) and then later with the firm of Norris & Koberline, now known as Norris & Norris where he practiced with his son, Guy; and

Exercis, John was a true "Southern Gentleman", a mentor, a lawyer's lawyer, a joke-teller without equal, a world traveler, and a friend to all; and

Whereas, John dedicated countless hours of service to his church, his community, and The Florida Bar; and

Whereas, John had many professional accomplishments as a lawyer but he was most proud of having served as Chair of the Real Property Probate and Trust Law Section from 1989-1990 and as member of the Board of Governors representing the Third Judicial Circuit; and

Exercise, John's long-standing and dedicated service to the Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar is appreciated and missed; he will best be remembered for long-standing membership and active participation on its Executive Council, his tireless efforts and good humor as Chair, and his service in educating other lawyers through the State of Florida; and

Thereas, beyond the practice of law, John also had a history of dedicated involvement with his community having served as President of the Lake City-Columbia County Chamber of Commerce, President of the Rotary Club of Lake City and the Mayo Rotary Club, and as an Elder Emeritus of the First Presbyterian Church where he taught Sunday School for more than 25 years; and

Executive Council of the Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar recognizes the extraordinary dedication and service that John has provided during his lifetime to the nation, his community, his family, and The Florida Bar, particularly its Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section, and acknowledges that he will be sorely missed.

Row, Therefore, be it resolved by the Executive Council of the Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar that the loss of John Ewing Norris is mourned, and that his distinguished service and rich contributions to the practice of law, particularly to the practice of real estate law, are respected, appreciated, acknowledged, and will be remembered forever.

Unanimously Abopted by the Executive Council of the Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar in Bonita Springs, Florida, this 3rd day of June, 2017.



Deborah Packer Goodall, Chair Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section The Florida Bar William T. Hennessey, Secretary Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section The Florida Bar



The Florida Bar Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section

Special Thanks to the GENERAL SPONSORS

<u>Overall Sponsors - Legislative Update & Convention & Spouse Breakfast</u>
Attorneys' Title Fund Services, LLC – Melissa Murphy

<u>Thursday Lunch</u> **Management Planning, Inc.** - Roy Meyers

<u>Thursday Night Reception</u>

JP Morgan - Carlos Batlle / Alyssa Feder

Old Republic National Title Insurance Company - Jim Russick

<u>Friday Night Reception</u>

Wells Fargo Private Bank - Mark Middlebrook / George Lange / Alex Hamrick

<u>Friday Night Dinner</u>

First American Title Insurance Company - Alan McCall

Probate Roundtable
SRR (Stout Risius Ross Inc.) - Garry Marshall
Guardian Trust – Ashley Gonnelli

<u>Real Property Roundtable</u> **Fidelity National Title Group** - Pat Hancock

Saturday Lunch
The Florida Bar Foundation – Bruce Blackwell
Stewart Title – Laura Licastro

<u>Saturday Dinner</u> **Wright Investors' Service** – Stephen Soper

<u>RPPTL Meeting App</u>
WFG National Title Insurance Company – Joseph Tschida



The Florida Bar Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section

Special Thanks to the FRIENDS OF THE SECTION

Business Valuation Analysts, LLC - Tim Bronza

Corporate Valuation Services, Inc. - *Tony Garvy*

Fiduciary Trust International - Claudia Reithauser

North American Title Insurance Company – *Valerie Grandin*

Valley National Bank - Jacquelyn McIntosh

Valuation Services, Inc. - Jeff Bae, JD, CVA

Wilmington Trust – *David Fritz*



The Florida Bar Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section

Special Thanks to the COMMITTEE SPONSORS

Attorneys' Title Fund Services, LLC – Melissa Murphy Commercial Real Estate Committee

BNY Mellon Wealth Management – Joan Crain Estate and Trust Tax Planning Committee & IRA, Insurance and Employee Benefits Committee

Business Valuation Analysts – Tim Bronza Trust Law Committee

Coral Gables Trust – John Harris Probate and Trust Litigation Committee

First American Title Insurance Company – Alan McCall Condominium & Planned Development Committee

First American Title Insurance Company – Wayne Sobien Real Estate Structures and Taxation Committee

> **Hopping Green & Sams** – Vinette Godelia Development and Land Use

Kravit Estate Appraisal – Bianca Morabito Estate and Trust Tax Planning Committee

Life Audit Professionals – Joe Gitto and Andrea Obey IRA, Insurance & Employee Benefits Committee & Estate and Trust Tax Planning Committee

Management Planning, Inc. – Roy Meyers Estate & Trust Tax Planning Committee

> Northern Trust – Tami Conetta Trust Law Committee

Pluris Valuation Advisors – Miranda McCray Asset Protection Committee

Seaside National Bank and Trust – H. Wayne Griest Commercial Real Estate

LEGISLATIVE POSITION REQUEST FORM

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE

Date Form Received

GENERAL INFORMATION

Submitted By William P. Sklar, Chair, Condominium and Planned Development Committee of

the Real Property Probate & Trust Law Section (RPPTL Approval Date: February

17, 2017)

Address CityPlace Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1200, West Palm Beach, FL

33401-6350 Telephone: (561) 650-0342

Position Type Condominium and Planned Development Committee, RPPTL Section, The

Florida Bar

(Florida Bar, section, division, committee or both)

CONTACTS

Board & Legislation Committee Appearance

William P. Sklar, Carlton Fields, CityPlace Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1200, West Palm Beach, FL 33401-6350 Telephone: (561) 650-0342

Email: wsklar@carltonfields.com

Steven H. Mezer, Becker & Poliakoff, 1511 North Westshore Boulevard, Suite 1000, Tampa, Florida 33607, Telephone: (813) 527-3900, Email:

smezer@bplegal.com

Peter M. Dunbar, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 815, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100, Email:

pdunbar@deanmead.com

Martha J. Edenfield, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 815, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100,

Email: medenfield@deanmead.com

Appearances

Before Legislators (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

Meetings with

Legislators/staff (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

PROPOSED ADVOCACY

All types of partisan advocacy or nonpartisan technical assistance should be presented to the Board of Governors via this request form. All proposed legislation that has *not* been filed as a bill or a proposed committee bill (PCB) should be attached to this request in legislative format - Standing Board Policy 9.20(c). Contact the Governmental Affairs office with questions.

If Applicable,	lf	App	lica	ble,
----------------	----	-----	------	------

List The Following N/A
(Bill or PCB #) (Bill or PCB Sponsor)

Indicate Position Support ____ Oppose ____ Tech Asst. ___ Other ____

Proposed Wording of Position for Official Publication:

"Oppose creation of criminal penalties for violations of statutes pertaining to condominium association official records and condominium association elections, as well as any change to create criminal penalties for any violation of the Florida Condominium Act for which a criminal penalty does not already exist, including changes to Fla. Stat. Section 718.111(12) and creation of new statutory provisions within Fla. State Chapter 718. or otherwise."

Reasons For Proposed Advocacy:

Directors within a Florida condominium association are volunteers and are not paid for their service. Creation of criminal penalties for violations of statutory requirements pertaining to access to official records and the election of directors would have a deleterious effect on the operation of Florida condominium associations, both in terms of expense and being able to find individuals willing to so serve in a volunteer capacity as a result of the potential for criminal liability for seemingly innocuous actions. Remedies already exist under statute for violations, and enforcement of violations through state regulatory agencies is the appropriate avenue for redress of such statutory violations as compared to imposition of criminal penalties. Finally, imposition of criminal penalties will have a detrimental effect on condominium association operations and will lead to increased assessment against unit owners, as a result of indemnification requirements and lack of insurance coverage.

PRIOR POSITIONS TAKEN ON THIS ISSUE

Please indicate any prior Bar or section positions on this issue to include opposing positions. Contact the Governmental Affairs office if assistance is needed in completing this portion of the request form.

Most Recent Position	NONE		
	(Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)
Others (May attach list if more than one)	NONE		
	(Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)

REFERRALS TO OTHER SECTIONS, COMMITTEES OR LEGAL ORGANIZATIONS

The Legislation Committee and Board of Governors do not typically consider requests for action on a legislative position in the absence of responses from all potentially affected Bar groups or legal organizations - Standing Board Policy 9.50(c). Please include all responses with this request form.

Referrals

List here other Bar sections, committees or attorney organizations]				
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position)			
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position)			
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position)			

Please submit completed Legislative Position Request Form, along with attachments, to the Governmental Affairs Office of The Florida Bar. Upon receipt, staff will further coordinate the scheduling for final Bar action of your request which usually involves separate appearances before the Legislation Committee and the Board of Governors unless otherwise advised. For information or assistance, please telephone (904) 561-5662 or 800-342-8060, extension 5662.

WHITE PAPER

OPPOSITION TO IMPOSITION OF CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN VIOLATIONS OF THE FLORIDA CONDOMINIUM ACT 1,2

SUMMARY OF CURRENT LAW

Chapter 718, Florida Statutes (the "Condominium Act") provides numerous protections to unit owners in connection with the operation and management of their condominiums and their condominium associations. Two such protections pertain to the requirement for delivery and maintenance of association official records and the process for election of directors.

Section 718.111(12) requires a condominium association to maintain an extensive list of items comprising the official records of the association, and to make such records available to any association member or such member's representative at all reasonable times. The failure of an association to provide the records within 10 working days after receipt of a written request creates a rebuttable presumption that the association willfully failed to comply with this requirement. A unit owner who is denied access to official records is entitled to the actual damages or minimum damages for the association's willful failure to comply. Minimum damages are \$50 per calendar day for up to 10 days, beginning on the 11th working day after receipt of the written request. Furthermore, the failure to permit inspection entitles any person prevailing in an enforcement action to recover reasonable attorneys' fees from the person in control of the records who, directly or indirectly, knowingly denied access to the records. With respect to accounting records in particular, any person who knowingly or intentionally defaces or destroys such records, or who knowingly or intentionally fails to create or maintain accounting records that are required to be created or maintained, with the intent of causing harm to the association or one or more of its members, is personally subject to a civil penalty which can be imposed by in an enforcement proceeding instituted by the division pursuant to Section. 718.501(1)(d).

Section 718.112(2)(d) provides detailed processes and procedures for the election of condominium association directors by the unit owners. This statutory subsection has been in place for numerous years, and creates mechanisms for ensuring that all votes cast to elect directors are anonymous. Any problems with the election can be addressed through current "arbitration of dispute" provisions contained in Section 718.1255. In addition, or alternatively, if unit owners are dissatisfied with the

111104510.3

¹ The Condominium and Planned Development Committee of the Real Property, Probate and Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar unanimously voted at its meeting in July, 2016, that it was opposed to the criminalization of any violations of the Condominium Act. The Committee is comprised of attorneys representing condominium developers, condominium associations and unit owners; as such, the interests of such attorneys are often not aligned based upon their clientele, so it is telling that such a unanimous vote to oppose criminalization occurred.

² This White Paper pertains to limited issues contained in the current versions of HB 1327 and SB 1682. The Condominium and Planned Development Committee previously technical comments with respect to all portions of such bills for delivery to the bills' sponsors.

results of an election, in whole or in part, Section 718.112(2)(j) provides mechanisms for unit owners to seek and undertake the recall of board members and elect replacement directors.

II. PROPOSED CHANGES

Certain of the proposed changes to the Condominium Act as contained in HB 1237 and SB 1682 (2017) seek to create new categories of criminal conduct for (1) the failure of an individual to comply with the official records requirements of the Condominium Act, and (2) failure to comply with the Condominium Act requirements pertaining to the election of directors.

III. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

- 1. With respect to the condominium association's official records, revisions are proposed to Section 718.111(12) which would provide that:
- a. any director or member of the board of directors or any member of the condominium association who "knowingly, willfully, and repeatedly violates" the Section 718.111(12)(c) requirement for providing access to the official records will be guilty of committing a second-degree misdemeanor as provided in Sections 775.082 or 775.083. The phrase "repeatedly violates" is defined to mean more than 2 violations within a 12 month period. This is a new statutory concept;
- b. any person who knowingly or intentionally defaces or destroys association accounting records that are required by the Condominium Act to be maintained during the period for which such records are required to be maintained, or who knowingly or intentionally fails to create or maintain accounting records that are required to be created or maintained, with the intent of causing harm to the condominium association or one or more of its members, will be guilty of committing a first-degree misdemeanor, punishable as provided in Sections 775.082 or 775.083 (this statutory concept currently exists, but such a violation results in the imposition of a civil penalty levied under s. 718.501(1)(d)); and
- c. any person who willfully and knowingly refuses to release or otherwise produce association records with the intent of facilitating the commission of a crime or avoiding or escaping detection, arrest, trial, or punishment for a crime will be guilty of committing a third-degree felony, punishable as provided in Sections 775.082, 775.083 or 775.084. This is a new statutory concept.
- 2. With respect to election matters, a new statutory section (in HB 1327, referenced as Section 718.71; in SB 1682, referenced as Section 718.129) is proposed to be created which would provide that:
- a. a person who willfully, knowingly, and falsely swears or affirms to an oath or affirmation, or procures another person to willfully, knowingly, and falsely swear or affirm to an oath or affirmation, in connection with or arising out of voting or

casting a ballot in an association election commits a third-degree felony, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 1027 775.083, or s. 775.084;

- b. a person who willfully and knowingly perpetrates or attempts to perpetrate, or willfully and knowingly aids another person in perpetrating or attempting to perpetrate, fraud in connection with or arising out of a vote or ballot cast, to be cast, or attempted to be cast by an elector in an association election commits a third-degree felony, punishable as 1034 provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084; and
- c. a person who willfully, knowingly, and fraudulently changes or attempts to change a vote or ballot cast, to be cast, or attempted to be cast by an elector in an association election to prevent such elector from voting or casting a ballot as he or she intended in such election commits a third-degree felony, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

Each of the foregoing are new statutory concepts.

IV. ANALYSIS

The aforementioned provisions provide for criminal penalties for specified actions or nonactions and there are multiple reasons why such provisions should not be approved by the Legislature:

- 1. It is poor public policy to criminalize violations undertaken by those who volunteer to serve their condominium association. The individuals who would be exposed to criminal prosecution are unpaid volunteers and should not be held criminally liable in an unprecedented way for violations that can be redressed without intervention of the criminal justice system. Further, it is poor public policy to create criminal punishments for any violations of the Condominium Act for which existing laws already are in existence (as one example, embezzlement).
- 2. Criminal penalties will have a chilling effect on the operation of Florida condominium associations, as individuals will be extremely reluctant to serve as directors and officers out of fear of being charged with criminal activity where no criminal intent in fact existed.
- 3. To the extent that a person commits a crime, such as embezzlement of condominium association funds or other fraudulent acts, laws already existing within Chapter 775 to punish such illegal behavior.
- 4. Remedies already exist as a result of violations of Section 718.111(12) pertaining to official records. Section 718.111(12) provides for civil penalties for failure to comply with requirements for providing access to records or with respect to destroying or defacing records. Creating a criminal penalty punishable by a term of imprisonment not to exceed 60 days and/or a fine not to exceed \$500 imposes a tremendous hardship upon the volunteer unit owner, especially given that the vast majority of violations of Section 718.111(12) are the result of incorrect interpretations of

statute or inadvertent failure to respond. The "knowingly, willfully, and repeatedly violates" standard does not necessarily mean that there was criminal intent by the volunteer unit owner. Finally, arbitration under Section 718.1255 may be available to resolve disputes involving the official records (this will depend upon the specific nature of the dispute).

- 5. If a volunteer unit owner is found guilty of knowingly or intentionally defacing or destroying accounting records, the potential punishment of imprisonment not to exceed 1 year and/or a fine not to exceed \$1,000 imposes a tremendous hardship upon the volunteer unit owner. By way of example, a unit owner who deletes an email containing a management company's comments regarding a budget expense could be found to have acted "knowingly or intentionally" and be subject to criminal prosecution. There are numerous other potential examples that can be cited which could lead to criminal prosecution despite the lack of criminal intent (said another way, the "knowingly or intentionally" standard does not necessarily mean that there was criminal intent by the volunteer unit owner).
- 6. With regard to elections, as noted above, procedures exist for arbitration of election disputes and/or recall of directors if unit owners are dissatisfied with the results of a particular election. The point being made by the legislators sponsoring HB 1327 and SB 1682 about improper actions in the election process is understood, as there have been some serious and significant issues that have occurred (most notably in south Florida) involving improper elections, although it is believed that this is not the case for the vast majority of Florida condominium associations.
- 7. The criminal penalties sought to be imposed for violation of the elections statutes is excessive, as the potential punishment for a third-degree felony of imprisonment not to exceed 5 years and/or a fine not to exceed \$5,000 is disproportionate given the existing statutory provisions and requirements and imposes a tremendous hardship upon the volunteer unit owner.
- 8. Notwithstanding any other arguments against criminalization for the above violations, there is no reason that the statute pertaining to violent or habitual criminals (Section 775.084) should in any fashion relate to violations of the Condominium Act.
- 9. Many condominium associations have their day-to-day operations run by a professional management company, as hired by the association's board of directors. However, the directors remain ultimately responsible for the operation of the association. Activities such as providing access to the official records and overseeing the election process are handled by the professional management company, but violations that would result in potential criminal penalties could still be visited upon the volunteer directors, based upon the terms and provisions of the condominium's governing documents and the management agreement (which usually includes a provision requiring the association to indemnity the management company and its employees, and an additional requirement for indemnification to protect against criminal penalties would increase costs and expenses to the unit owners).

10. A significant number of Florida condominium associations are not operated by professional management companies, but rather are self-managed by the volunteer unit owners. This means that the volunteer unit owners are responsible for the day-to-day operations of the condominium. Imposing criminal penalties will result in the vast majority of existing volunteer directors to resign, as well as significantly discourage others from seeking to be directors, thereby seriously damaging the ability of the association to operate and function. Alternatively, such condominium associations will feel the need to employ professional management in an attempt to protect against criminal violations, which will increase costs but, as noted above, will not necessarily eliminate the risk of criminal prosecution.

V. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

The subject provisions of HB 1327 and SB 1682 could have a significant impact on local governments and police, which would be required to respond to and investigate alleged reports of such violations of the Condominium Act.

VI. DIRECT IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR

It is already difficult for many condominium associations to solicit unpaid, volunteer unit owners to serve as members of the condominium association's board of directors. The subject provisions of HB 1327 and SB 1682 would have a further chilling effect on such participation, which will be harmful to many condominiums and condominium associations. Further, the provisions of HB 1327 and SB 1682 will result in increased insurance premiums and questions concerning coverage, given that there is no coverage available for alleged criminal activities (said another way, the condominium association will have to pay to defend its directors against potentially specious claims of violations brought by owners, thereby increasing assessments charged to the unit owners).

VII. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

Criminalizing acts which may not otherwise necessarily rise to an actionable level, which are vague or undefined or for which there is no criminal intent could raise constitutional issues, including due process concerns.

VIII. OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

- 1. Community Associations Institute.
- 2. Community association managers.

RPPTL 2017 - 2018

Executive Council Meeting Schedule Andrew O'Malley's Year

Limit 1 reservation per registrant, additional rooms will be approved upon special request. Each hotel has a 30 day cancellation policy on all individual room reservations.

Location Date July 27 – July 30, 2017 **Executive Council Meeting & Legislative Update** The Breakers Palm Beach, Florida Room Rate: \$225 Room Block Link: Sold Out - Email mobos@floridabar.org to be added to the waitlist for this hotel Alternative Room Blocks are available at the following hotels: **Hilton West Palm Beach** Room Rate: \$205 Room Block Link: https://aws.passkey.com/gt/212377258?gtid=c2fb64f5e7718e07468df34e25e76c4a **Hyatt Place West Palm Beach/Downtown** Room Rate: \$105 Room Block Link: https://westpalmbeach.place.hyatt.com/en/hotel/home.html?corp_id=G-FBAR/ October 11 - 15, 2017 Out of State Meeting/ Executive Council/ Boston, MA Fairmont Copley Plaza Boston, MA Guest Room Rate: \$375 Signature Room Rate: \$455* Fairmont Gold Rooms: \$500* Fairmont Gold Signature Rooms & Junior Suites: \$525* Fairmont Gold One Bedroom Suite: \$775* Room Block Link: https://resweb.passkey.com/go/floridabarrrptl This room block is currently sold out to be added to the waitlist, email mobos@floridabar.org. December 7 - 10, 2017 **Executive Council & Committee Meetings** The Ritz-Carlton Naples, FL Room Rate: \$285 Room Block Link: Please note at this time the group block is completely full. You may be placed on waitlist by calling the Reservation Office directly at 877-590-8187. February 22 - 25, 2018 **Executive Council & Committee Meetings** Casa Monica Hotel St. Augustine, FL Room Rate: \$269 Reservation Link: Book your group rate for The Florida Bar Alternative Room Blocks are available at the following hotels: Hilton St. Augustine Room Rate: \$199 for Wednesday, \$259 for Thursday - Sunday Room Block Link: http://group.hilton.com/floridabar Holiday Inn Historic St. Augustine

Room Rate: \$169 for Wednesday-Thurs, \$199 for Friday -Sunday

Room Block Link:

Click Here to link to hotel, or call (877)847-3736, Room Block Code **TFB**

May 31 – June 3, 2018

Executive Council Meeting & Convention

Tradewinds Island Resort on St. Pete Beach

St. Pete Beach, FL Room Rate: \$249

Tropical View Hotel Room Rate: \$269* Tropical View One Bedroom Suite: \$319*

Reservation Link: TBA

^{*}Subject to availability



RPPTL Financial Summary from Separate Budgets

2016-2017 [July 1 - April 30] YEAR TO DATE REPORT

General Budget	YTD	
Revenue	\$ 1,336,998	
Expenses	\$ 1,057,580	
Net:	\$ 279,418	
CLI	YTD	
Revenue	\$ 111,731	
Expenses	\$ 166,369	
Net:	\$ (54,638)	
T (000 0)		
Trust Officer Conference		
Revenue	\$ 5,298	
Expenses	\$ 11,196	
Net:	\$ (5,898)	
Legislative Update		
Revenue	\$ 57,635	
Expenses	\$ 91,590	
Net:	\$ (33,955)	
Convention		
Revenue	\$ (467)	
Expenses	\$ 2,201	
Net:	\$ (2,668)	

Roll-up Summary (Total)

Revenue:	\$ 1,511,196
Expenses	\$ 1,328,936
Net Operations	\$ 182,259

Beginning Fund Balance:	\$ 1,477,974
Current Fund Balance (YTD):	\$ 1,660,233
Projected June 2017 Fund Balance	\$ 1,414,883

RPPTL General Budget As of April 30, 2017

Account	Old Account Number	Αŗ	oril	ΥT	ΓD	Bu	dget	% to Budget
Revenue								
964-9640-00964-00000-3001 Dues RPPTL-Annual Fees	RPGNRL 31431	\$	840	\$	608,520	\$	597,000	102%
964-9640-00964-00000-3002 Dues RPPTL-Affiliate Fees	RPGNRL 31432	\$	40	\$	5,280	\$	4,400	120%
964-9640-26400-00000-3301 RPPTL Gen-Registration-Live (RPPTL EC Event Registration)	RPGNRL 35603	\$	(4,967)	\$	124,302	\$	190,000	65%
964-9640-26400-00000-3331 RPPTL Gen-Registration-Ticket	RPGNRL 35003	\$	-	\$	(245)	\$	-	0%
964-9640-26400-00000-3351 RPPTL Gen-Sponsorship Rev (Section General, FOS, Cmte Sponsorships)	RPGNRL 35201	\$	39,688	\$	186,363	\$	180,000	104%
964-9640-26400-00000-3391 RPPTL Gen-Section Profit Split (CLE Revenue)	RPGNRL 32191	\$	67,813	\$	252,413	\$	119,800	211%
964-9640-26400-00000-3392 RPPTL Gen-Section Differential	RPGNRL 32293	\$	3,840	\$	18,900	\$	30,000	63%
964-9640-26400-00000-3561 RPPTL Gen-Advertising (Advertising Revenue from ActionLine)	RPGNRL 34704	\$	-	\$	7,548	\$	20,000	38%
964-9640-26400-00000-3899 RPPTL Gen-Investment Alloc	RPGNRL 38499	\$	16,987	\$	133,918	\$	25,172	532%
Total Revenue		\$	124,241	\$1	1,336,999	\$	1,166,372	115%
Expenses								
964-9640-26400-00000-4131 RPPTL Gen-Telephone Expense	RPGNRL 71001	\$	174	\$	1,301	\$	1,200	108%
964-9640-26400-00000-4133 RPPTL Gen-Internet Service	RPGNRL 71005	\$	-	\$	-	\$	150	0%
964-9640-26400-00000-4134 RPPTL Gen-Web Services	RPGNRL 84102/84422	\$	2,270	\$	32,578	\$	50,000	65%
964-9640-26400-00000-4301 RPPTL Gen-Photocopying	RPGNRL 84010	\$	-	\$	58	\$	300	19%
964-9640-26400-00000-4311 RPPTL Gen-Office Supplies	RPGNRL 84009	\$	-	\$	473	\$	700	68%
964-9640-26400-00000-5051 RPPTL Gen-Credit Card Fees	RPGNRL 36998	\$	(50)	\$	3,182	\$	3,900	82%
964-9640-26400-00000-5101 RPPTL Gen-Consultants (Legislative Consultants)	RPGNRL 84501	\$	30,000	\$	120,000	\$	120,000	75%
964-9640-26400-00000-5121 RPPTL General-Newsletter (ActionLine)	RPGNRL 84006/ 84279	\$	15,160	\$	39,552	\$	67,500	59%
964-9640-26400-00000-5199 RPPTL Gen-Other Contract Services (Scrivner)	RPGNRL 84016	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,000	0%
964-9640-26400-00000-5501 RPPTL Gen-Employee Travel	RPGNRL 51101	\$	(3,895)	\$	11,640	\$	8,000	146%
964-9640-26400-00000-5531 RPPTL Gen-Brd/Off/Memb Travel	RPGNRL 84051/84503	\$	213	\$	22,464	\$	21,000	107%
964-9640-26400-00000-6001 RPPTL Gen-Post 1st Class/Bulk	RPGNRL 84001	\$	29	\$	825	\$	1,500	55%
964-9640-26400-00000-6311 RPPTL Gen-Mtgs General Meeting (EC Meeting Expenses)	RPGNRL 84201	\$	-	\$	488,337	\$	505,000	97%
964-9640-26400-00000-6325 RPPTL Gen-Mtgs Hospitality	RPGNRL 84061/ 84239	\$	-	\$	23,418	\$	30,000	78%
964-9640-26400-00000-6399 RPPTL Gen-Mtgs Other (Officer Conference and Strategic Planning Expenses)	RPGNRL 84015/ 84216	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,500	0%
964-9640-26400-00000-6401 RPPTL Gen-Speaker Expense	RPGNRL 84054/88221	\$	-	\$	1,393	\$	1,000	139%
964-9640-26400-00000-6451 RPPTL Gen-Committee Expense	RPGNRL 84075/ 84101	\$	173	\$	52,604	\$	100,000	53%
964-9640-26400-00000-6599 RPPTL Gen-Brd/Off Other (ALMs)	RPGNRL 84111	\$	-	\$	2,101	\$	8,000	26%
964-9640-26400-00000-7001 RPPTL Gen-Grant/Award/Donation (Mem. Trib./Srv Rec/Diversity/Rltor Rel)	RPGNRL 84524/85064/84107/84106	\$	616	\$	5,103	\$	22,200	23%
964-9640-26400-00000-7011 RPPTL Gen-Scholarship/Fellowsh (Fellowships/Leadership/Law School Liaison)	RPGNRL 84322/84330/84310	\$	216	\$	16,835	\$	32,500	52%

RPPTL General Budget As of April 30, 2017

Account	Old Account Number	Аp	ril	Υ	TD	Buc	lget	% to Budget
964-9640-26400-00000-7999 RPPTL Gen-Other Operating Exp	RPGNRL 84998/84999	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
964-9640-00964-00000-8021 RPPTL Gen-Section Admin Fee (Charge per member for Bar Services)	RPGNRL 31433	\$	324	\$	207,623	\$	205,943	101%
964-9640-26400-00000-8101 RPPTL Gen-Printing In-House*	RPGNRL 81411/RPGNRL 84002	\$	4	\$	24,794	\$	700	3542%
964-9640-26400-00000-8901 RPPTL General-Eliminated Expense (Lapel Pin Sponsorship)**		\$	-	\$	3,000	\$	-	-
964-9640-26400-00000-9692 RPPTL Gen-To/From Council (Council of Sections)	RPGNRL 84701	\$	-	\$	300	\$	300	100%
Total Expense		\$	45,234	\$	1,057,581	\$	1,187,393	89%
Net Income		\$	79,008	\$	279,418	\$	(21,021)	1329%

^{*}The ActionLine printing was put into this category. Will move to 964-9640-26400-00000-5121 RPPTL General-Newsletter (ActionLine) for next statement.

^{**}Annual Convention lapel pin sponsorships were placed in incorrect account.
Will move to correct account for next statement.

RPPTL Legislative Update Budget As of April 30, 2017

964-9641-26420-00000-3321 RPPTL Legis Update-Registration-Webcast 964-9642-26420-00000-3401 RPPTL Legis Update-Sales CD/DVD 964-9642-26420-00000-3411 RPPTL Legis Update-Sales Published Materials 964-9642-26420-00000-3341 RPPTL Legis Update-Exhibit Fee Rev	RPLGUP 32006/32010 RPLGUP 32205/32207	\$	-	\$ 10	5,385	\$	20,000	82%
964-9642-26420-00000-3411 RPPTL Legis Update-Sales Published Materials	RPLGUP 32205/32207	4			,		20,000	82%
		\$	1,125	\$ 33	3,750	\$	20,500	159%
964-9642-26420-00000-3341 RPPTL Legis Undate-Exhibit Fee Rev	RPLGUP 32301	\$	-	\$ 2	1,400	\$	2,000	70%
50 : 50 : 1	RPLGUP 35101	\$	-	\$ 6	5,100	\$	12,500	48%
Total Revenue		\$	1,125	\$ 57	7,635	\$	55,000	105%
Expenses								
964-9642-26420-00000-4111 RPPTL Legis Update-Equipment Rental	RPLGUP 61201	\$	9,063	\$ 9	9,063	\$	13,500	67%
964-9642-26420-00000-5031 RPPTL Legis Update-AV Services -inReach Recording	RPLGUP 84258	\$	-	\$ 2	1,495	\$	-	0%
964-9642-26420-00000-5051 RPPTL Legis Update-Credit Card Fees	RPLGUP 36998	\$	16	\$	589	\$	700	84%
964-9642-26420-00000-5501 RPPTL Legis Update-Employee Travel	RPLGUP 51101	\$	-	\$:	1,962	\$	2,000	98%
964-9642-26420-00000-6001 RPPTL Legis Update-Post 1st Class/Bulk	RPLGUP 75102	\$	-	\$	9	\$	50	17%
964-9642-26420-00000-6021 RPPTL Legis Update-Post Express Mail	RPLGUP 75401	\$	4	\$	439	\$	500	87%
964-9642-26420-00000-4301 RPPTL Legis Update-Photocopying	RPLGUP 84010	\$	-	\$	-	\$	50	0%
964-9642-26420-00000-4311 RPPTL Legis Update-Office Supplies	RPLGUP 84009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	150	0%
964-9642-26420-00000-5199 RPPTL Legis Update-Other Contract Services	RPLGUP 84012	\$	-	\$ 4	4,661	\$	5,200	90%
964-9642-26420-00000-5571 RPPTL Legis Update-Speaker Travel	RPLGUP 88231	\$	-	\$:	1,216	\$	-	0%
964-9642-26420-00000-6321 RPPTL Legis Update-Mtgs Meals (Breakfast)	RPLGUP 84062/88269	\$	-	\$ 40	0,410	\$	42,000	96%
964-9642-26420-00000-6325 RPPTL Legis Update-Mtgs Hospitality (Reception & Hospitality)	RPLGUP 84061/88265	\$	-	\$ 8	3,405	\$	14,500	58%
964-9642-26420-00000-6341 RPPTL Legis Update-Equipment Rental	reclassed to 4111	\$	(9,063)	\$	-	\$	-	0%
964-9642-26420-00000-6401 RPPTL Legis Update-Speaker Expense (Gifts, Hotel, Other)	RPLGUP 84254/88233/88239	\$	-	\$ 3	3,795	\$	4,750	80%
964-9642-26420-00000-7999 RPPTL Legis Update-Other Operating Expense	RPLGUP 84999			\$	405	\$	-	%
964-9642-26420-00000-8001 RPPTL Legis Update-Administration Gen	RPLGUP 86001	\$	-	\$	500	\$	1,000	50%
964-9642-26420-00000-8131 RPPTL Legis Update-A/V Services (Time Taping Editing)	RPLGUP 86432	\$	-	\$ 3	3,987	\$	4,000	99%
964-9642-26420-00000-8101 RPPTL Legis Update-Printing In-House	RPLGUP 88241	\$	-	\$	-	\$	350	0%
964-9642-26420-00000-8141 RPPTL Legis Update-Journal/News Services (Advertising News)	RPLGUP 86532	\$	-	\$	824	\$	1,500	55%
964-9642-26420-00000-5121 RPPTL Legis Update-Printing-Outside	RPLGUP 88242	\$	-	\$ 13	3,831	\$	10,000	138%
964-9642-26420-00000-8171 RPPTL Legis Update-Course Approval Fee	RPLGUP 88252	\$	-	\$	-	\$	150	0%
Total Expenses		\$	20	\$ 93	1,590	\$:	100,400	91%

CLE COURSE SCHEDULE

*AS OF 5/19/17

Date	Course Title		Location
		No.	
June 2, 2017	RPPTL Convention Seminar	2317	Hyatt Coconut Point
June 21, 2017	IRC Section 1031	2704	Audio Webcast
July 26, 2017	Attorney Loan Officer Conference	2410	The Breakers Kravis Center
July 28, 2017	Legislative Update Seminar	TBD	The Breakers
August 24 -27, 2017	ATO 2017	2458	The Breakers
November 3, 2017	RPPTL Section Probate Law Seminar	2574	Fort Lauderdale
December 1, 2017	Estate and Trust Planning/Asset Protection	2583	Orlando
February 9-10, 2018	Advanced Real Property Certification Review Course	2597	Orlando
February 9-10, 2018	Advanced Condominium Law Certification Review	2623	Orlando
March 2, 2018	Trust and Estate Symposium	2607	Tampa
March 8-11, 2018	Construction Law Certification Review 2017	2608	JW Marriott, Orlando
March 9-11, 2018	11th Annual Construction Law Institute	2609	JW Marriott, Orlando
April 6-7, 2018	2018 Wills, Trusts and Estate Certification Review Course	2621	Orlando
June 1, 2018	Convention CLE	2638	Tradewinds Island Resort

Note: The List above does not include all Audio Webcast Programs. These programs will be announced throughout the year.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

HAL B. BIRCHFIELD and PAUL G. MOCKO, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CASE NO. 4:15-cv-00615

JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, in his official capacity as Surgeon General and Secretary of Health for the State of Florida, and KENNETH JONES, in his official capacity as State Registrar of Vital Statistics for the State of Florida,

Defendant

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT

In *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 135 S. Ct. 2584 (2015), the Supreme Court held unconstitutional state laws prohibiting or refusing to recognize same-sex marriages. Prior to that time, Florida law prohibited same-sex marriages in Florida and did not recognize same-sex marriages lawfully entered in other jurisdictions. As a result, when a party to a same-sex marriage that was lawfully entered in

another jurisdiction died in Florida, the death certificate omitted any reference to the marriage and surviving spouse.

The State of Florida now has acquiesced in *Obergefell*, including by listing same-sex spouses on death certificates. But the State still refuses to correct any pre-*Obergefell* death certificate unless the surviving spouse obtains an individual court order approving the correction. In this class action, the plaintiffs—survivors of same-sex spouses who died in Florida before the state recognized same-sex marriages—challenge the State's insistence on individual court orders.

Ι

The plaintiff Hal Birchfield lawfully married James Merrick Smith in New York in 2012. Mr. Smith died in Florida in 2013. The plaintiff Paul Mocko lawfully married William Gregory Patterson in California in 2014. Mr. Patterson died in Florida later that year.

At the time of those deaths, the Florida Constitution and Florida Statutes provided that marriage was a relationship between one man and one woman, that no same-sex marriage could be entered into in Florida, and that no same-sex marriage entered into elsewhere could be recognized in Florida, even if the marriage was lawful where entered. *See* Fla. Const. art. I, § 27; Fla. Stat. § 741.212; Fla. Stat. § 741.04(1).

Death certificates are issued in the jurisdiction where a person dies. As required by the later-invalidated Florida provisions that were then in effect, the death certificates for Mr. Smith and Mr. Patterson did not refer to their marriages and surviving spouses.

Prior to *Obergefell*, lower-court decisions called into question the constitutionality of the Florida same-sex-marriage provisions. *Obergefell* then settled the issue; the provisions are unconstitutional. Had Mr. Smith and Mr. Patterson died after *Obergefell*, the state would have issued death certificates noting their marriages and listing the surviving spouses. But the deaths occurred and death certificates were issued earlier. When the surviving spouses who were omitted from the certificates, Mr. Birchfield and Mr. Mocko, sought to have the death certificates corrected, the state said it could not correct a previously issued death certificate without an individual court order addressing the specific certificate.

Mr. Birchfield and Mr. Mocko filed this action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated. They named as defendants two state officials—first, the Surgeon General, who also holds the title of Secretary of Health, and second, the State Registrar of Vital Statistics. The Surgeon General is the head of the Department of Health, whose responsibilities include issuing death certificates. The State Registrar directs the Office of Vital Statistics, which is a unit of the

Department of Health responsible for preservation of vital records, including death certificates.

The plaintiffs have moved to certify a class and for summary judgment. A separate order certifies a class. This order grants summary judgment.

H

History records instances in which state officials have stubbornly resisted federal constitutional rulings. This is not one of them. The defendants make no claim that the state's prior ban on same-sex marriages retains any force at all. But they point to a generally applicable state statute having nothing to do with same-sex marriage:

CERTIFICATE OF DEATH AMENDMENTS.—Except for a misspelling or an omission on a death certificate with regard to the name of the surviving spouse, the department may not change the name of a surviving spouse on the certificate *except by order of a court of competent jurisdiction*.

Fla. Stat. § 382.016(2) (emphasis added). The defendants read this provision to require an individual court order before a death certificate is amended to recognize a marriage and list a surviving spouse.

One might plausibly read this provision differently. One might conclude that the explicit exception to the court-order requirement—the exception for "an omission on a death certificate with regard to the name of the surviving spouse"—applies to a death certificate that both omits the fact that the decedent was married

and omits the name of the surviving spouse. But long before this controversy arose, the Department adopted a rule interpreting the statute differently. See Fla. Admin. Code 64V-1.007(3)(e), (3)(f) & (5) (allowing an amendment to marital status or the name of the surviving spouse—but not both—without a court order). The defendants refuse to depart from that interpretation. And the plaintiffs cannot obtain relief in this court based on any assertion that state law allows issuance of amended certificates without a court order. See, e.g., Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp. v. Halderman, 465 U.S. 89, 121 (1984) (holding that the Eleventh Amendment bars any claim in federal court against a state or against a state officer based on state law).

As a matter of federal constitutional law, a state cannot properly refuse to correct a federal constitutional violation going forward, even if the violation arose before the dispute over the constitutional issue was settled. See, e.g., Harper v. Va. Dep't of Taxation, 509 U.S. 86, 97 (1993) ("When this Court applies a rule of federal law to the parties before it, that rule is the controlling interpretation of federal law and must be given full retroactive effect in all cases still open on direct review and as to all events, regardless of whether such events predate or postdate our announcement of the rule.") (emphasis added); see also Glazner v. Glazner, 347 F.3d 1212, 1218 (11th Cir. 2003) (en banc). If the law were otherwise, the schools might still be segregated.

The defendants take no issue with this principle. They are willing to correct any pre-Obergefell constitutional violation. But the defendants insist that, as a prior condition to any correction, an affected party must obtain an order in response to an individual claim in state court. Not so. As the Supreme Court said long ago, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 affords a person whose federal constitutional rights have been violated "a federal right in federal courts." *Monroe v. Pape*, 365 U.S. 167, 180 (1961); *see also Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908) (allowing injunctive relief against a state official for violations of federal law). In short, a federal court has jurisdiction to remedy a federal violation, including, when otherwise proper, through a class action. There are exceptions, but none applies here.

This is precisely such a case. The plaintiffs are entitled to appropriate injunctive relief correcting the state's prior, unremedied violation of the plaintiffs' constitutional rights. To the extent the defendant state officials simply need a clear resolution of the perceived conflict between the federal constitutional requirement and the state statute, this order provides it.

Ш

The state of course has every right to insist on appropriate documentation before amending a death certificate. In Rule 64V-1.007(3)(e), 3(f), and (5), the state has provided that a death certificate's information about marital status or a spouse's identity, but not both, can be corrected without a court order upon

submission of an application, affidavit, and appropriate documentary evidence.

This order provides that, upon submission of the same materials, the defendants must correct a constitutional error that affected a death certificate's information on both marital status and a spouse's identity.

IV

For these reasons,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The plaintiffs' summary-judgment motion, ECF No. 28, is granted.
- 2. The defendants must amend any Florida death certificate, without a court order other than this one, when these conditions are met:
 - (a) at the time of death, the decedent was a party to a same-sex marriage that was recognized as lawful in the jurisdiction where it was entered; and
 - (b) the surviving spouse submits an application to amend the certificate and an affidavit and supporting documentation equivalent to an application, affidavit, and supporting documentation that would be sufficient to obtain an amended certificate as to a decedent for whom, on an original death certificate, *either* an opposite-sex marriage was not noted *or* a surviving spouse was not correctly identified.

- 3. This injunction binds the defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys—and others in active concert or participation with any of them—who receive actual notice of this injunction by personal service or otherwise.
 - 4. The clerk must enter judgment and close the file.
- 5. The court reserves jurisdiction to enforce the injunction and to award costs and attorney's fees under Local Rules 54.1 and 54.2.

SO ORDERED on March 23, 2017.

s/Robert L. Hinkle
United States District Judge

Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT

JA	M	ES	\mathbf{O}	B	ER.
<i>U L</i> 1		-	•	┗.	

CASE NO. 4D14-4597 L.T. CASE NO. 14-6782 (05)

Appellant,

v.

TOWN OF LAUDERDALE-BY-THE-SEA, a Florida municipality,

Appellee.	

APPELLEE, TOWN OF LAUDERDALE-BY-THE SEA'S NOTICE TO INVOKE DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION

NOTICE IS GIVEN that appellee, Town of Lauderdale-By-The Sea ("Town"), invokes the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court to review this Court's decision of January 25, 2017, on motion for rehearing. The decision was rendered on March 22, 2017 upon the Court's granting of the Town's motion to certify a question of great public importance.

- 1. The decision is within the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court because, as noted above, it passes upon a question certified by this Court to be of great public importance. *See* Fla. R. App. P. 9.030(a)(2)(A)(v).
- 2. The decision is also within the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure

9.030(a)(2)(A)(iv) because it expressly and directly conflicts with decisions of the Florida Supreme Court and other district courts of appeal on the same issue of law, namely, whether the effect of a lis pendens terminates at final judgment. *See De Pass v. Chitty*, 105 So. 148, 149 (Fla. 1925); *Peninsular Naval Stores Co. v. Cox*, 49 So. 191, 194 (1909); *Hotel Eur., Inc. v. Aouate*, 766 So. 2d 1149, 1151 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000); *Marchand v. De Soto Morg. Co.*, 149 So. 2d 347, 359 (Fla. 2d DCA 1962); *see also, e.g., J.B.J. Inv. of S. Florida v. Maslanka*, 163 So. 3d 726, 728 (Fla. 5th DCA 2015); *Whitburn, LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 190 So. 3d 1087, 1090-91 (Fla. 2d DCA 2015); *U.S. Nat. Ass'n v. Bevans*, 138 So. 3d 1185, 1189 (Fla. 3d DCA 2014); *Taylor v. Steckel*, 944 So. 2d 494, 497 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006); *cf. Vonmitshcke-Collande v. Kramer*, 841 So. 2d 481, 482 (Fla. 3d DCA 2003).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on April 21, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing Notice to Invoke Discretionary Jurisdiction and served by E-mail **Manuel Farach, Esq.** mfarach@mcglinchey.com; and cfeld@mcglinchey.com, McGlinchey Stafford, PLLC, *Attorneys for Appellant*, 1 E. Broward Blvd. Ste 1400, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-1834.

Respectfully submitted,

WEISS SEROTA HELFMAN COLE & BIERMAN, P.L.

Attorneys for Appellee, Town of Lauderdale-By-The-Sea 2525 Ponce De Leon Blvd., Suite 700

Coral Gables, Florida 33134

Telephone: (305) 854-0800

By: <u>/s/ Laura K. Wendell</u>

EDWARD G. GUEDES

Florida Bar No. 769103

Primary: eguedes@wsh-law.com Secondary: szavala@wsh-law.com

LAURA K. WENDELL Florida Bar No. 53007

Primary: lwendell@wsh-law.com
Secondary: lmartinez@wsh-law.com

SUSAN L. TREVARTHEN Florida Bar No. 906281

Primary: strevarthen@wsh-law.com
Secondary: nsalgado@wsh-law.com

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT

JAMES OBER,

Appellant,

v.

TOWN OF LAUDERDALE-BY-THE-SEA a Florida Municipality, Appellee.

No. 4D14-4597

[January 25, 2017]

ON MOTION FOR REHEARING

Appeal from the Circuit Court for the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit, Broward County; Thomas M. Lynch, IV, Judge; L.T. Case No. 14-006782(05).

Manuel Farach of McGlinchey Stafford, Fort Lauderdale, for appellant.

Susan L. Trevarthen, Laura K. Wendell, Eric P. Hockman and Adam A. Schwartzbaum of Weiss Serota Helfman Cole & Bierman, P.L., Coral Gables, for appellee.

Heather K. Judd and Jordan R. Wolfgram, Assistant City Attorneys, Office of the City Attorney For The City of St. Petersburg, St. Petersburg, for Amicus Curiae City of St. Petersburg.

Alexander L. Palenzuela of Law Offices of Alexander L. Palenzuela, P.A., for Amicus Curiae City of Coral Gables.

Chris W. Altenbernd, Marty J. Solomon and Nicholas A. Brown of Carlton Fields Jorden Burt, P.A., Tampa, for Amicus Curiae The Florida Land Title Association.

Irwin R. Gilbert of Kelley Kronenberg, West Palm Beach, for Amicus Curiae The Business Law Section of the Florida Bar.

Joseph E. Foster and Carrie Ann Wozniak of Akerman LLP, Orlando, and Richard H. Martin of Akerman LLP, Tampa, for Amicus Curiae Florida Bankers Association.

Kenneth B. Bell and John W. Little, III of Gunster, West Palm Beach, and Robert W. Goldman of Goldman, Felcoski & Stone, P.A., Naples, for Amicus Curiae The Real Property Probate & Trust Law Section of the Florida Bar.

David Rosenberg and Robert R. Edwards of Robertson, Anschutz & Schneid, PL, Boca Raton, Andrea R. Tromberg and Jason Joseph of Gladstone Law Group, P.A., Boca Raton, and David Newman and Ari Miller of Choice Legal Group, P.A., Fort Lauderdale, for Amicus Curiae The American Legal and Financial Network.

Julia C. Mandell, City Attorney, City of Tampa, and Ernest Mueller, Senior Assistant City Attorney, Tampa, and Victoria Méndez, City Attorney, City of Miami, Miami, for Amicus Curiae City of Tampa, and The City, County and Local Government Section of the Florida Bar.

PER CURIAM.

We grant appellant James Ober's motion for rehearing, withdraw our opinion of August 24, 2016, and substitute the following.

This case involves the application of section 48.23, Florida Statutes (2014), the lis pendens statute, to liens placed on property between a final judgment of foreclosure and a judicial sale. We hold that such liens are discharged by section 48.23(1)(d).

Background

On November 26, 2007, a bank, which is not a party in this lawsuit, recorded a lis pendens on certain property as part of a foreclosure lawsuit against a homeowner, also not a party in this case. On September 22, 2008, the bank obtained a final judgment of foreclosure. From July 13, 2009 through October 27, 2011, appellee Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, recorded seven liens on the subject property related to various code violations occurring after the entry of the final judgment.

On September 27, 2012, the bank purchased the property at a foreclosure sale. It later sold the property to Ober.

Ober filed suit to quiet title, attempting to strike the liens against his property. The Town's counterclaim sought to foreclose the liens. The trial court granted the Town's motion, denied Ober's motion, and entered a final judgment of foreclosure on the seven liens recorded prior to the judicial sale, as well as on three liens imposed after the sale of the property. Ober

does not argue that those three post-judicial sale liens were discharged, and on remand the trial court may enter judgment on them.

Analysis

Insofar as this case concerns the interpretation of a statute, the standard of review is de novo. *Brown v. City of Vero Beach*, 64 So. 3d 172, 174 (Fla. 4th DCA 2011). Section 48.23(1)(d) states, in pertinent part:

[T]he recording of . . . notice of lis pendens . . . constitutes a bar to the enforcement against the property described in the notice of *all* interests and liens . . . unrecorded at the time of recording the notice unless the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien intervenes in such proceedings within 30 days after the recording of the notice. If the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien does not intervene in the proceedings and *if such proceedings are prosecuted to a judicial sale* of the property described in the notice, the property shall be forever discharged from all such unrecorded interests and liens.

(Emphasis added).

We reject the Town's argument that the statute applies only to liens existing or accruing *prior* to the date of the final judgment. The language of the statute is broad, applying to "all interests and liens." Significantly, the statute expressly contemplates that its preclusive operation continues through a "judicial sale." This is consistent with how foreclosure suits operate in the real world. As the amicus brief of the Florida Bankers Association points out, foreclosures are unlike many civil lawsuits in that "much remains to be accomplished after entry of final judgment, including the foreclosure sale, the issuance of certificates of sale and title, and, in many instances, the prosecution of a deficiency claim, all under court supervision." In a foreclosure lawsuit, the final judgment is not the end of the road, but merely a way station to the final result. *See Park Fin. of Broward, Inc. v. Jones*, 94 So. 3d 617, 618 (Fla. 4th DCA 2011) (stating that mortgage foreclosure actions are different from typical civil actions).

A proper reading of section 48.23(1)(d) is, as the Florida Land Title Association suggests, that "when a foreclosure action is prosecuted to a judicial sale, that sale discharges all liens, whether recorded before the final judgment or after, if the lienor does not intervene in the action within 30 days" after the recording of the notice of lis pendens.

This view is in accord with Form 1.996(a) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. The form provides a sample foreclosure judgment, with a provision stating:

On filing the certificate of sale, defendant(s) and all persons claiming under or against defendant(s) since the filing of the notice of lis pendens shall be foreclosed of all estate or claim in the property . . ., except as to claims or rights under chapter 718 or chapter 720, Florida Statutes, if any.

As the Business Law Section of the Florida Bar notes, this form reflects the common understanding of the operation of the lis pendens statute. See Hancock Advert., Inc. v. Dep't of Transp., 549 So. 2d 1086, 1089 (holding that the court is "entitled to consider" the "practical construction which has in fact been adopted by the industry" when dealing with a statutory interpretation issue). The form was first adopted in 1971. See In re Fla. Rules of Civil Procedure, 253 So. 2d 404, 419 (Fla. 1971). It has been reviewed and revised by the Florida Supreme Court since 1971, most recently in January 2016. See In re Amendments to Fla. Rules of Civil Procedure, 190 So. 3d 999 (Fla. 2016). The January 2016 revisions maintained the language quoted above. Id. at 1010.

Conclusion

The practical problem in this case is the long lag time between the foreclosure judgment and the foreclosure sale. Resolution of the competing interests—of the Town, the lending and title insurance industries, property owners, and buyers at foreclosure sales—is in the province of the legislature.

We reverse the final judgment and remand to the circuit court for further proceedings.

GROSS, FORST and KLINGENSMITH, JJ., concur.

* * *

St. Andrews Holdings v. Save Calusa Trust

Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 29, 2016

CASE NO.: SC16-1189 Lower Tribunal No(s).: 3D14-2682;

3D14-2690; 132012CA033641000001

ST. ANDREWS HOLDINGS, LTD., vs. SAVE CALUSA TRUST, ET AL. ETC., ET AL.

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

This cause having heretofore been submitted to the Court on jurisdictional briefs and portions of the record deemed necessary to reflect jurisdiction under Article V, Section 3(b), Florida Constitution, and the Court having determined that it should decline to accept jurisdiction, it is ordered that the petition for review is denied.

No motion for rehearing will be entertained by the Court. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.330(d)(2).

LEWIS, QUINCE, CANADY, POLSTON, and PERRY, JJ., concur.

A True Copy Test:

John A. Tomasino

Clerk, Supreme Court

ca

Served:

STEVEN L. BRANNOCK KENNETH BRADLEY BELL SARAH C. PELLENBARG KATHERINE R. MAXWELL ALEXANDRA JENNIFER OVERHOFF DENNIS ALEXANDER KERBEL CRAIG EDWARD LEEN JEFFREY S. BASS ROBERT W. GOLDMAN FRANCES G. DE LA GUARDIA LAUREN E. MORSE CECI CULPEPPER BERMAN ROBERT L. SCHIMMEL ROBERT L. KRAWCHECK LILIAN V. AVELLAAN LORENZO JACKSON, JR. **KEVIN CROW KAPLAN** HON. MARY CAY BLANKS, CLERK DAVID ALLAN FREEDMAN HON. JENNIFER D. BAILEY, JUDGE MICHAEL P. BONNER HON. HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

When does a local government ordinance become a restrictive covenant that is subject to being extinguished through application of the Marketable Record Title Act, Florida Statute section 712.01 *et. seq.*? That was the question in Save Calusa Trust v. St. Andrews Holdings, Ltd., 193 So. 3d 910 (Fla. 3d DCA 2016), where the Third District Court of Appeal held that a restrictive covenant imposed by government as part of development order is not subject to and cannot be extinguished by the Marketable Record Title Act.

I. Facts

This case begins in 1967 when a developer sought to create a golf-course in Miami-Dade County. The real property was zoned General Use ("GU"), which did not permit a golf course, so the developer sought and obtained an "unusual use" that same year with the County's Zoning Appeals Board ("ZAB") adopted a resolution with the condition that a restrictive covenant be recorded that limit the future use of the property to a golf course. This first developer sold to a second developer who, in fact, recorded a restrictive covenant as follows:

The aforedescribed property may only be used for the following purposes: A golf course and for the operation of a country club which may include a clubhouse, pro shop, locker rooms, swimming pools, cabanas, liquor, beer and wine facilities, dining room facilities, parking, tennis courts, putting greens, golf driving ranges and all other uses incidental thereto.

These restrictions shall continue for a period of ninety-nine years unless released or revised by the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Dade, State of Florida, or its successors with the consent of 75% of the members of the corporation owning the aforedescribed property and those owners within 150 feet of the exterior boundaries of the aforedescribed property.

"[t]hat restrictive covenants running with the land in proper covenant form, meeting with the approval of the Zoning Director, be recorded to ensure that the golf course be perpetually maintained as such...."

Save Calusa, 193. So. 3d at 912.

The property was developed as a golf course, and a "ring" of 140 homes were built around the golf course. These owners in the "ring" paid no dues for the maintenance of the golf course and did not otherwise maintain the course. Id. at 912 - 23. This arrangement stayed in place until the golf course closed in 2011. A later developer sought to re-develop the golf course, and to no one's surprise, failed to get 75% of the "ring" homeowners to approve the proposed change. Accordingly, the county refused to let the newest developer change the zoning of the parcel. Id at 913. This litigation followed.

II. Case

Rather than filing an administrative challenge to the county's decision, the owner of the now defunct golf-course filed suit seeking to invalidate the deed restriction under the Marketable Record Title Act (MRTA) and joined the "ring" homeowners and the county. The trial court

entered a detailed summary judgment finding for the developer that the restrictive covenants were barred by MRTA. The homeowners and the county appealed to the Third District.

III. Analysis

The Third District reversed and held that the use restrictions were exempt from MRTA:

While we are not unsympathetic to Owner's arguments, we cannot so readily divorce the covenant from the governmental approval process that spawned it. The record reflects that ZAB's approval of Developer's unusual use application for the golf course acreage was final administrative agency action. ZAB's unusual use approval was not a recommendation to the County Commission, but rather, a final approval conditioned on the recordation of the restrictive covenant. The record clearly reflects that the ZAB Resolution imposed a condition that a restrictive covenant be generated and recorded. As the unusual use approval was final as of August 16, 1967, the date of the ZAB Resolution, so was the prescribed restrictive covenant. That the Developer's successor took seven months to record the restrictive covenant is of no significance.

Id. at 915.

In other words, the Third District held the fact that the restrictive covenant arose out of the governmental approval process imbued it with the ability to withstand extinguishment under MRTA since it was now a government regulation. This decision has created a great deal of concern among some because almost all planned subdivision restrictions are created through a "governmental approval process" and could conceivably be exempt from MRTA. The concern is that MRTA is intended to clear land titles and there should be no exceptions to its extinguishment provisions other than those specifically set forth in the statute. Moreover, the Save Calusa opinion contains some imprecise language that restrictive covenants imposed by government do not constitute defects in marketable, a position rejected by most real estate practitioners. The landowners sought discretionary review in the Florida Supreme Court, but its petition was rejected.

IV. Conclusion

It remains to be seen whether Save Calusa Street will be a "one-off" opinion that is limited to its facts, or whether later courts will adopt its view that government-approved restrictive covenants as being exempt from MRTA's extinguishment provisions. Real estate practitioners are cautioned to be aware of the case and its facts as it has created uncertainty in the application of MRTA.

UPDATED 2017 POST SESSION REPORT

NUMERICAL INDEX SUMMARY OF 2017 LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

Steve Mezer and Sarah Butters, Legislative Co-Committee Chairmen and Peter Dunbar, Martha Edenfield, Brittany Finkbeiner and Cari Roth RPPTL Legislative Counsel

May 8, 2017

The *initial* post-Session report follows below. The Section's initiatives and bills where the Section provided technical assistance appear in the first part of the summary. The part of the report following the list of Section initiatives includes other items of interest that passed, as well as the items of interest that did not pass.

Where the Governor has taken final action on the measures, the appropriate Session Law number follows the summary of the bill in **bold type**. The full texts of each enrolled bill, as well as applicable legislative staff reports, are available on the legislative web sites (www.myfloridahouse.com;; and www.leg.state.fl.us.). A summary of each measure that passed appears below by category in numerical bill order.

I. <u>SECTION INITIATIVES AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE</u>

<u>Electronic Wills</u>: CS/CS/HB 277 by Representative Grant creates the Florida Electronic Wills Act and authorizes the electronic execution and storage of wills. The Section opposes the remote presence that has been included in the bill by amendment. The bill was also amended to include the Section's trust initiatives. The remote presence provisions have a delayed effective date of April 1, 2018. CS/CS/HB 277 has passed

the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

Real Property Improvements—Contract Completion: CS/CS/HB 377 by Representative Leek revises the provisions of Chapter 95 to provide that the completion of a contract relating to the design, planning or construction of improvements to real property shall be the later of the date of final performance of all contracted services or when the final payment for such services becomes due. CS/CS/HB 377 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

Estoppel Certificates: CS/CS/SB 398 by Senator Passidomo and Representative Donalds revises procedures for providing estoppel certificates by condominium, cooperative and homeowners associations; requires the delivery of certificates within 10 days; specifies the information to be contained in the certificate; provides that the certificate must be effective for 30 days; and provides for fees that may be charged for the certificate. CS/CS/CS/SB 398 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Guardianship</u>: CS/CS/HB 399 by Representative Diamond and Senator Passidomo contains the Section's initiatives on guardianship and includes the ability of a guardian to initiate divorce proceedings for the ward; removes the statutory cap on funeral expenses of the ward; and creates a notice-and-demand procedure for hearsay and other objections to the examining committee reports in proceedings. CS/CS/HB 399 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)**

<u>Trusts</u>: CS/CS/HB 481 by Representative Moraitis and Senator Young contains the Section's trust initiatives that include a provision to resolve the inconsistency in the current law regarding notices to the Attorney General relating to charitable trusts; modernization of the statutory authority for decanting trusts; clarifies that the settlor's intent is paramount when interpreting the terms of the trust; and clarifies the duty of a trustee concerning accounting during any period. CS/CS/HB 481 died in the Senate Rules Committee when the Session adjourned, but the legislation passed as an amendment to CS/CS/HB 277.

Community Associations: CS/CS/CS/HB 653 by Representative Moraitis and Senator Passidomo makes a series of revisions to the housing chapters (718, 719 and 720) that include extending the time for a response for records production from 5 to 10 days; eliminating the auditing exemption for communities of 50 units or less; eliminating the restriction on the waiver of financial reporting; clarifying the notice requirements for special assessments; providing for exemptions for fire sprinkler retrofitting; and eliminating the bulk buyer sunset for Part VII of the Condominium Act. The legislation also contains the provisions found in CS/CS/CS/HB 1237 and CS/SB 1520. CS/CS/CS/HB 653 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Elective Share</u>: CS/CS/SB 724 by Senator Passidomo and Representative Berman contains the Section's elective share revisions that include the manner in which protected homestead is included in the elective share; the time for filing the election; and provisions addressing attorney's fees and unproductive property. CS/SB 724 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

Condominiums: CS/CS/CS/HB 1237 by Representative Diaz makes a series of revisions to the Condominium Act that include provisions that an attorney may not represent both a management company and condominium association; it restricts purchasers of a condominium unit at a foreclosure sale of a condominium lien; it extends records access to a tenant and imposes criminal penalties for the failure to provide records; it imposes new website requirements on an association containing 150 or more units; it imposes new requirements on the delivery of financial reports; it imposes term limits on board members; it prohibits certain service providers; it provides new conflict of interest standards for officers and board members; it permits the privatization of arbitrators; it creates a new section dealing with fraudulent voting activities; and it creates a new filing requirement of financial institutions at the Division of Condominiums, Timeshares and Mobile Homes. The Section opposed the new criminal penalties in the initial legislation. CS/CS/SB 1682 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Charitable Trusts</u>: CS/HB 1379 by Representative Diaz contains several provisions relating to the Department of Legal Affairs. Sections 7 through 12 of the bill revise the provisions of Chapter 736 substituting the state attorney with the Florida Attorney General in matters relating to charitable trusts. CS/HB 1379 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)**

Condominium Terminations: CS/SB 1520 by Senator Latvala and Representative White revises the optional termination process for condominiums. The similar provisions passed in CS/CS/CS/HB 653, and the combined changes in the legislation clarify the public policy basis for terminations; revise the percentage that may object to the termination from 10% to 5%; expand the notice requirements for bulk ownership; revise the statutory content of a plan of termination; and require filing of a plan with the Division of Condominiums, Timeshares and Mobile Homes before an approved plan can be recorded. CS/SB 1520 has passed the Legislature and pending delivery and action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Community Associations</u>: HB 6027 by Representative Williamson repeals the exemption from financial reporting requirements for communities with 50 units or less and also repeals the restriction on the waiver of financial reporting requirements in Chapter 718, 719 and 720. The same language appears in CS/CS/CS/HB 653. HB 6027 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)**

II. INITIATIVES OF INTEREST

<u>Property Tax Cap</u>: CS/HJR 21 by Representative Burton is a proposed constitutional amendment that will make permanent the 10% cap on assessment increases for non-homestead real estate for purposes of calculating property taxes. The purpose will appear on the 2018 General Election ballot.

<u>Public Records—Attorney Fees</u>: CS/CS/SB 80 by Senator Steube will require a complainant seeking attorney's fees from a public body for the failure to the provide public records to show evidence that the complainant gave written notice to the agency before making a claim for attorney fees for the failure to provide the records can be made. CS/CS/SB 80 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Property Taxes—Solar Energy Devices</u>: CS/SB 90 by Senator Brandes extends the tax exemptions for renewable energy improvements to commercial property by exempting 80% of the just valuation of the improvements; expands the equipment entitled to the exemption; and provides implementing language for the solar energy constitutional amendment. CS/SB 90 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Fictitious Name Registrations</u>: CS/CS/HB 169 by Representative White revises the Fictitious Name Act to require a business entity registrant to be organized and in active status; it provides that with respect to a general partnership, it is the general partners who are the registrants; it provides for the reregistration when a business is sold; and expands the terms and words that may not be used by a registrant. CS/CS/HB 169 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Self-Storage Facilities</u>: CS/CS/HB 357 by Representative Moraitis revises the provisions of Chapter 83 relating to self-storage facilities and permits a lien sale to be conducted on a public website; it permits a landlord to provide property value limits on property be stored by a tenant; it permits the landlord to tow a boat or motor vehicle of a delinquent tenant from the premises; and it authorizes the landlord to charge a reasonable late fee. CS/CS/HB 357 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Notaries Public</u>: CS/HB 401 by Representative Abruzzo expands the list of forms of identification that a notary public may rely upon in notarizing a signature on a document to include a veteran health identification card. CS/HB 401 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)**

<u>Property Tax Exemption—First Responders</u>: CS/CS/HB 455 by Representative Metz exempts the homestead property of first responders permanently and totally disabled from injuries sustained in the line of duty and their surviving spouses from ad valorem taxes. CS/CS/HB 455 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)**

<u>Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services</u>: CS/CS/HB 467 by Representative Raburn is the comprehensive Department package. Among its provisions are modifications to the regulatory scheme for surveying and mapping, and Section 3 of the bill provides specifically that includes orientation of improved or unimproved real property and appurtenances, including condominiums. CS/CS/HB 467 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)**

<u>Guardianship—Technical</u>: SB 502 by Senator Benacquisto is a statutory revisers bill and Section 45 of the bill makes a technical change in a cross-reference to new section 744.2003 based on renumber of the section in Chapter 2016-40, Laws of Florida. SB 502 has passed the Legislature and been approved by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-3, Laws of Florida.)**

<u>Timeshares</u>: CS/SB 818 by Senator Hutson and Representative La Rosa are companion bills that revise procedures for the extension and termination of timeshare plans; provide new requirements on the board of the association and association expenses; and address extension of multisite timeshare plans. CS/CS/HB 399 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

Reportable Pollution Release: CS/CS/SB 1018 by Senator Grimsley creates the "Public Notice of Pollution Act" that requires reporting of spills to the Division of Emergency Management at DEP within 24 hours of discovery of the release. The legislation requires the Department to create a website for the posting of notices and promulgate rules to implement the Act. The legislation also provides for site assessment and rehabilitation for real property contaminated by petroleum and dry cleaning solvent. CS/CS/SB 1018 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017- , Laws of Florida.)

<u>Unmanned Devices</u>: CS/HB 1027 by Representative Yarborough provides deals with unmanned devices, and Section 8 of the bill creates a partial preemption of local regulation of drones and creates a new regulatory framework for their use at the state level. The legislation also prohibits the use of drones over areas considered "critical infrastructure facilities." CS/HB 1027 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)**

Multifamily Residential Docks: CS/CS/HB 7043 by the House Government Accountability Committee is a comprehensive bill dealing with vessels and floating structures. Section 1 of the bill grandfathers certain oversized condominium and multifamily docking facilities from submerged land lease payments. Section 2 of the bill provides additional clarity on what is considered commercial versus recreational use of a vessel. CS/CS/HB 7043 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Elder Affairs Rule Ratification</u>: HB 7073 by the House Committee on Children and Families provides for the rule ratification of the Department's rules relating to professional guardians. HB 7073 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. **(Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)**

Homestead Exemption Increase: HJR 7105 by the House Ways and Means Committee is a proposed constitutional amendment that will increase the homestead exemption to \$100,000, and it will appear on the ballot in 2018. HB 7107 is the implementing legislation that will take effect upon the passage of HJR 7105. HB 7107 has passed the Legislature and is pending action by the Governor. (Chapter 2017-__, Laws of Florida.)

<u>Taxation</u>: HB 7109 by the House Ways and Means Committee is the comprehensive tax package for the Session. Section 5 of the bill simplifies the annual tax exemption application for not-for-profit senior centers; Section 6 provides an additional tax exemption for low income multifamily housing projects; Section 21 of the bill reduces the sales tax on the leases of real property from 6% to 5.8%; and Section 49 amends s. 733.2121 and revises the process by which a personal representative may serve a notice to creditors on the Department of Revenue. HB 7109 is pending action as part of the consideration of the budget deliberations that will be considered in the Extended Session on Monday of next week. **(Chapter 2017-___, Laws of Florida.)**

III. INITIATIVES OF INTEREST THAT FAILED

<u>Judicial Term Limits</u>: HJR 1 by Representative Sullivan and SJR 482 by Senator Hutson are companion measures that propose an amendment to Florida Constitution imposing a two-term limit on Justices of the Supreme Court and Judges on the District Courts of Appeal. The Section opposes the term limits initiative. HJR 1 died in committee upon adjournment of the 2017 Session.

Override of Judicial Rulings: HJR 121 by Representative Gonzalez and SJR 1098 by Senator Perry are companion measures that propose an amendment to Florida Constitution permitting a legislative review and override of a judicial ruling that declares an act of the legislature to be void. HJR 121 received 3 committee references. SJR 1098 received 4 referrals. The legislation died in committee upon adjournment of the 2017 Session.

<u>Vacation Rentals</u>: CS/CS/SB 188 by Senator Steube and CS/HB 425 by Representative La Rosa are similar bills that expand the current state preemption of regulation of vacation rentals by local government and restrict the ability of counties and municipalities to regulate the use of vacation rentals based solely on their classification or occupancy. CS/CS/CS/SB 188 and CS/HB 425 died on the Special Order Calendar upon adjournment of the 2017 Session.

<u>POLST</u>: SB 228 by Senator Brandes would authorize a doctor to withhold life sustaining treatment to a patient (POLST). The Section has a standing position against

POLST legislation without sufficient procedural safeguards currently not included in SB 228. SB 228 received 3 committee references and the legislation died in committee upon adjournment of the 2017 Session.

MRTA—Covenant Exemptions: CS/CS/CS/HB 735 by Representative Edwards and Senator Passidomo add covenants of a mandatory property owners association as additional exceptions that may be extended under; it authorizes the revitalization of covenants to all mandatory property owners association; and it provides for procedures to revitalize covenants in communities without a mandatory association. CS/CS/CS/HB 735 died in the Senate in returning Messages.

<u>UVTA</u>: HB 1159 by Representative Moraitis and SB 1566 by Senator Simmons are companion bills adopting the Uniform Voidable Transfers Act proposed by the Business Law Section, and the RPPTL and Tax Law Sections are opposed to the legislation without further clarifying changes and negotiations continue. HB 1159 received 3 references and pending in its final committee. SB 1566 received 3 references and has passed the first committee. The legislation died in committee when the Legislature adjourned.

<u>UVTA</u>: HB 1159 by Representative Moraitis and SB 1566 by Senator Simmons are companion bills adopting the Uniform Voidable Transfers Act proposed by the Business Law Section, and the RPPTL and Tax Law Sections are opposed to the legislation without further clarifying changes and negotiations continue. The legislation died in committee upon adjournment of the 2017 Session.

Report of the **Model and Uniform Acts** General Standing Committee-Bruce M. Stone and Richard W. Taylor, Co-Chairs

Prepared for the Executive Council Meeting, May 31-June 3, 2016

- 1. The Uniform Law Commission (ULC) is also known as the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. The website is http://www.uniformlaws.org. Information on each of its Model Acts is found on the website and for many of the Acts there is an enactment kit which can be downloaded to provide additional information.
- 2. At its 2017 Midyear Meeting, the Executive Committee of the Uniform Law Commission (ULC) authorized the appointment of four new drafting committees and three new study committees.
 - 3. The new drafting committees are:
- A. Drafting Committee on Electronic Wills
 This committee will draft a uniform act or model law addressing
 the formation, validity and recognition of electronic wills. The
 committee may seek expansion of its charge to address end-of-life
 planning documents such as advance medical directives or powers
 of attorney for health care of finance.
- B. Joint Drafting Committee on Registration of Foreign Judgments to Harmonize the Law of Canada and the US This committee will work joint with members appointed by the Uniform Law Conference of Canada to harmonize the law between Canada and U.S. jurisdictions regarding the registration of final and conclusive foreign money judgments originating in either country (enforceable in the jurisdiction of origin) where recognition is sought in a jurisdiction in the other country, which are not already excluded from the coverage of the Canadian Uniform Foreign Country Money Judgments Recognition Act or the Uniform Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Act.
- C. Drafting Committee on Tort Law relating to Drones This committee will draft a uniform act or model law addressing tort liability and defenses associated with the unique use of aerial drones.
- D. Drafting Committee on Highly Automated Vehicles This committee will draft the outline for a model law or uniform act addressing definitions and scope, and registration and titling of highly automated vehicles for consideration by the Executive Committee of the Uniform Law Commission in July 2017. On the direction of the Executive Committee, the drafting committee may then draft a model law or uniform act governing these aspects of highly automated vehicles.

4. The new study committees are:

- A. Study Committee on Right of Publicity. This committee will study the need for and feasibility of drafting a uniform act or model law addressing the right of publicity.
- B. Study Committee on Amendments to the Uniform Conservation Easement Act.
 This committee will study the need for and feasibility of

drafting, and appropriate breadth and depth of potential amendments to the Uniform Conservation Easement Act in light of legislative, case law, and other legal developments since the UCEA's adoption.

C. Study Committee on Economic Rights of Unmarried Cohabitants

This committee will study the need for and feasibility of drafting a uniform act or model law addressing the economic rights of unmarried cohabitants in the United States, both at divorce and upon death.

- 5. Drafting committees, composed of commissioners, with participation from observers, advisors and reporter-drafters, meet throughout the year. Tentative drafts are not submitted to the entire Commission until they have received extensive committee consideration.
- 6. The Voidable Transactions Act Amendments Formerly Fraudulent Transfer Act was introduced in the Florida Legislature as HB1159/SB1566 by Moraitis/Simmons but died in committee when the Legislature adjourned.
- 7. The 2017 annual meeting of the Uniform Law Commission will be held July 14-20, 2017 at San Diego, California.
- 8. The Joint Editorial Board on Uniform Trust and Estate Act will meet May 12-13, 2017 in Chicago, Illinois.

Comprehensive Rider to the Residential Contract For Sale And Purchase THIS FORM HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE FLORIDA REALTORS AND THE FLORIDA BAR

	nitialed by all parties , the parties acknowledge that the disclosure set forth below was provided to Buyer prior to on of the Florida Realtors/Florida Bar Contract For Sale and Purchase between the parties and the clauses below will be rated therein:
and	ing the Property described as (SELLER)
concern	ing the Property described as
Buyer'	s Initials Seller's Initials
	B. HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION/COMMUNITY DISCLOSURE
PART A	A. DISCLOSURE SUMMARY.
PROVICE CONTRACTOR WRITT DISCLO	E DISCLOSURE SUMMARY REQUIRED BY SECTION 720.401, FLORIDA STATUTES, HAS NOT BEEN DED TO THE PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER BEFORE EXECUTING THIS CONTRACT FOR SALE, THIS RACT IS VOIDABLE BY BUYER BY DELIVERING TO SELLER OR SELLER'S AGENT OR REPRESENTATIVE EN NOTICE OF THE BUYER'S INTENTION TO CANCEL WITHIN 3 DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF THE DSURE SUMMARY OR PRIOR TO CLOSING, WHICHEVER OCCURS FIRST. ANY PURPORTED WAIVER OF OIDABILITY RIGHT HAS NO EFFECT. BUYER'S RIGHT TO VOID THIS CONTRACT SHALL TERMINATE AT NG.
BUYER	SHOULD NOT EXECUTE THIS CONTRACT UNTIL BUYER HAS RECEIVED AND READ THIS DISCLOSURE.
	DISCLOSURE SUMMARY FOR
	(Name of Community)
1.	AS A BUYER OF PROPERTY IN THIS COMMUNITY, YOU WILL BE OBLIGATED TO BE A MEMBER OF A
2.	HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION ("ASSOCIATION"). THERE HAVE BEEN OR WILL BE RECORDED RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS ("COVENANTS") GOVERNING THE USE AND OCCUPANCY OF PROPERTIES IN THIS COMMUNITY.
3.	YOU WILL BE OBLIGATED TO PAY ASSESSMENTS TO THE ASSOCIATION. ASSESSMENTS MAY BE SUBJECT TO PERIODIC CHANGE, IF APPLICABLE, THE CURRENT AMOUNT IS:
	\$perAND, IF APPLICABLE, \$per YOU WILL ALSO BE OBLIGATED TO PAY ANY SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED BY THE ASSOCIATION.
	SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS MAY BE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. IF APPLICABLE, THE CURRENT AMOUNT IS: \$
4.	YOU MAY BE OBLIGATED TO PAY SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS TO THE RESPECTIVE MUNICIPALITY, COUNTY, OR SPECIAL DISTRICT. ALL ASSESSMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO PERIODIC CHANGE.
5.	YOUR FAILURE TO PAY SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS OR ASSESSMENTS LEVIED BY A MANDATORY HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION COULD RESULT IN A LIEN ON YOUR PROPERTY.
6.	THERE MAY BE AN OBLIGATION TO PAY RENT OR LAND USE FEES FOR RECREATIONAL OR OTHER COMMONLY USED FACILITIES AS AN OBLIGATION OF MEMBERSHIP IN THE HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION. IF APPLICABLE, THE CURRENT AMOUNT IS: \$ Per AND, IF APPLICABLE, \$ per
7.	THE DEVELOPER MAY HAVE THE RIGHT TO AMEND THE RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE ASSOCIATION MEMBERSHIP OR THE APPROVAL OF THE PARCEL OWNERS.
8.	THE STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE FORM ARE ONLY SUMMARY IN NATURE, AND, AS A PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER, YOU SHOULD REFER TO THE COVENANTS AND THE ASSOCIATION
9.	GOVERNING DOCUMENTS BEFORE PURCHASING PROPERTY. THESE DOCUMENTS ARE EITHER MATTERS OF PUBLIC RECORD AND CAN BE OBTAINED FROM THE RECORD OFFICE IN THE COUNTY WHERE THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED, OR ARE NOT RECORDED AND CAN
DATE _	BE OBTAINED FROM THE DEVELOPER. BUYER
DATE _	BUYER

CR—5 Rev. _/17 $\,$ © 2017 Florida Realtors $\,$ ® and The Florida Bar. All rights reserved.

B. HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION/COMMUNITY DISCLOSURE (CONTINUED)

PART B.

The	Property	is locate	d in a	community	with a	mandatory	homeowners'	association	or ar	association	that ma	ay requ	uire tl	he
pavr	nent of as	ssessmen	ts. cha	arges, or imp	ose res	strictions on	the Property ("	"Association"	١.					

·	and prior to installments installments time of Clo	o the Closing Date, and s due before Closing D s due after Closing Date	nts exist as of the Effective Date, or an assessment dany such assessment(s) may be paid in instantate and (CHECK ONE): Buyer Seller (if e. If Seller is checked, Seller shall pay the assess:	illments, then Seller shall pay all left blank, then Buyer) shall pay
(1)	and prior to installments installments	o the Closing Date, and s due before Closing D s due after Closing Date	d any such assessment(s) may be paid in instate and (CHECK ONE): Buyer Seller (if	illments, then Seller shall pay all left blank, then Buyer) shall pay
	\$	to	per	for
		to	·	
	\$	to	per	for
	\$		per	for
	\$	to	per	for
	a) Buyer shall		, AND OTHER ASSOCIATION CHARGES: transfer, initial contribution, and/or membership:	fees charged by Association. If
d s ir n	Association app days after Effectign and deliven Interviews or petion of granted with	proval no later than ctive Date, the appropria or any documents require ersonal appearances if re thin the stated time perion	iation approval of this transfer or Buyer is required (if left blank, then 5) days prior to Closing. We are party shall begin the approval process with A red by the Association, pay application or transfer equired, and use diligent effort to timely obtain As od above, Buyer may terminate this Contract, are all further obligations under this Contract.	Vithin (if left blank, then 5) ssociation. Buyer and Seller shall er fees as applicable, provide for ssociation approval. If approval is

Page 2 of 2 B. HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION/COMMUNITY DISCLOSURE CR—5 Rev. _/17 © 2017 Florida Realtors® and The Florida Bar. All rights reserved.

A Bill To Be Entitled

An Act relating to open and expired permits; creating s. 553.7905 to provide procedures for closing open and expired building permits; amending s. 489.129 to clarify that failure to obtain inspections and close permits is a violation of a contractor's license; providing an effective date.

8 9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

Section 1. Section 553.7905, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

553.7905 Open and expired permits; procedures for closing; notices to owners applying for permits.

Any building permit issued for any portion construction of any commercial, residential mixed-use project that has not received final inspection approval and complied with other requirements of the permit at issue within one year from the expiration of the notice of commencement or last amendment thereto, or in the absence of a notice commencement within from the one year inspection conducted under the permit or, if inspections have been performed on a project without a notice of commencement, within two years from the date of issuance of the permit, shall be considered an open permit. If an open permit expires without receiving final inspection approval and complying with other requirements of the permit at issue, it shall also be considered an expired permit as defined in Section 105.4 of the Florida Building Code. A closed permit is a permit in which any of the following apply: 1) a final inspection approval is authorized along with other permit requirements, 2) where no work was started under the original permit within six months issuance of the permit, 3) where or requirements of subsections 1(a) or (b) below have

been satisfied. Uncompleted permitted projects may be transferred or sold and completed by a new owner in accordance with any local governing jurisdiction's procedures or requirements. Open and expired permits may be closed by or on behalf of the current property owner, regardless of whether the property owner is the same owner who originally applied for the permit or is a subsequent owner, by complying with requirements for closing permits pursuant to a mutual agreement between the current property owner and the building department that issued the permit or, absent such an agreement, by complying with the following procedures:

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

51

52

5354

55

56 57

58 59

60

61 62

63

64 65

66 67

68 69

70

71

72

The property owner may retain the original contractor who obtained the permit or hire a different Florida licensed contractor, bearing any required for the performance of any work necessary to satisfy conditions of the permit at issue to close the open or expired permit, to reactivate the permit if it is expired, perform any necessary work to fulfill all requirements of the open or expired permit, including correction of any code violations in accordance with the code in effect when the application for the permit was filed, satisfy any requirements of the permit at issue not yet satisfied, and obtain any necessary inspections and perform any other actions required for a proper closure of the permit. The Florida license of whichever contractor performs these functions shall be current and active. Said contractor and owner shall comply with the building department's change of contractor process, after which said contractor shall not be liable for any existing defects or existing work failing to comply with any applicable code, regulation, ordinance, requirement of the permit at issue or law other than as to work actually performed by said contractor. The property owner and permit holder under the original open or expired permit shall

remain liable, within the period of any applicable statute of limitations or repose, for any defects in its work or failure to comply with any applicable regulation, ordinance, permit requirement To the extent required by Chapter 489, Fla. law. Stats., the owner or contractor may hire licensed subcontractors in the scope of the permitted work who functions of the perform the contractor outlined in this subsection to the extent of work covered by its license. All work required to properly close an open or expired permit under this section shall be performed in accordance with the building effect on the date of filing of application for the open or expired permit.

73

74 75

76 77

78

79

80

8182

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97 98

99

100

101

102103

104

105106

107

108

- As an alternative to the procedure subsection 1(a) above, the property owner may hire a licensed engineer or architect, possessing a current and active Florida license, experienced in designing, supervising or inspecting work of the nature of the work covered by the open or expired permit at issue having at least three years' experience performing field inspections as to such work, inspect the construction work subject to the open or expired building permit, direct any repairs necessary to comply with all requirements of the permit issue, then confirm compliance therewith by submitting an affidavit bearing the seal of the engineer architect to the issuing building department. The affidavit shall be substantially in the following form:
- I, (specify name), possess a current and active (specify architectural or engineering) license within the State of Florida and am experienced in designing, supervising, or inspecting work of the nature covered by the open or expired permit at the real property located at (specify address). I have at least three

years' experience in performing field inspections as to such work. I have inspected the construction work subject to the open or expired building permit number (specify number) and I confirm that the construction work complies with all known requirements of the permit at issue.

115116

109

110

111112

113

114

Signed:

117118

(affix licensing seal)

119120

121

122123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141142

143

144

If any of the permitted work includes construction outside the engineer's or architect's area expertise, the owner, engineer or architect may hire engineers or architects licensed in the scope of the permitted work, who may direct any necessary repairs to comply with all requirements of the permit issue, then the engineer or architect hired by the property owner, engineer or architect shall confirm compliance by submitting to the issuing building department a signed and sealed affidavit attesting to The building department issuing the permit shall accept the affidavit or affidavits referenced in this subsection, as satisfaction of all requirements of the permit at issue and shall thereafter close the building permit, unless it conducts its own final inspections within seven business days of receipt of affidavit or affidavits. Τf the building department conducts their own final inspection and discovers conditions constituting code or violations within the scope of work covered by the permit, then said conditions shall be repaired to the building department's satisfaction as a condition to closing the permit. All work required to properly close an open or expired permit under this section shall be performed in accordance with the building

code in effect on the date of filing of the application for the open or expired permit.

145

146147

148149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

- (c) The procedures in subsections 1(a) and (b) above shall apply regardless of whether the building permit is still open or has expired. In lieu of the procedures in subsections 1(a) and (b), the owner may use the original contractor to close the permit.
- A failure to properly close a building permit within five years after expiration of the date of recordation of the notice of commencement or last amendment thereto or, if no notice of commencement was recorded, then within seven years after the building permit was issued, shall not authorize the permitting authority to deny issuance of permits to, notices of violation to, or fine, penalize, sanction, assess fees against a subsequent arms-length purchaser of the subject property for value. permitting authority shall continue to have all rights and remedies against the property owner and contractor The Florida identified on the permit. Building Commission shall adopt rules and amend the applicable Florida Building Code to enact procedures designed to encourage property owners and contractors to close permits properly.
- Individual trade permits or other permit types as determined by the Building Official may be closed out when no apparent safety hazard exists, and for which no code violations have been previously documented, after six years from issuance of the This provision shall not apply to permits for building projects still under construction legally granted permit extensions. Local boards or governmental jurisdictions may adopt stricter standards to govern the closeout of building permits, provided that such stricter standards may be applied only prospectively and may not apply retroactively to

previously issued permits, regardless of whether the permits remain open or have expired, and provided that such stricter standards may not change the procedures referenced in subsections 1(a) and (b) above and may not supersede this statute.

181

182183

184

185 186

187

188

189

190191

192

193

194195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210211

212

213

214

215

216

- (4) As an alternative to the procedures referenced in sections 1(a) and 1(b) above on real property consisting of single or multiple family dwellings up to and including four units, with the approval of the Building Official, the owner of a home for sale may assume the role of an owner/builder in order to resolve an open permit for a substantially completed project when the project is abandoned or otherwise not completed by the licensed contractor who obtained the permit, which shall not require the owner to continue to reside in the home for one year.
- (5) A Building Official is authorized to refuse to accept new permit applications from any contractor who holds expired or inactive permits in excess of a specific publicized threshold, set in advance by written policy or ordinance in a local jurisdiction. A contractor shall be allowed to hold an unlimited number of active permits.
- authorizing (6) Provisions permits administratively closed by the Building Official shall not be applicable to permits subject to regulation by agencies not specifically enforcing external Florida Codes except where the Building Official has regulatory authority over other areas related to the permit, such as zoning or other land development code provisions. Such agencies and regulations not subject to these provisions include, but are not limited to, local zoning and land use regulations, local storm regulations, local platting water management subdivision requirements, Department of regulations, Department of Business and Professional

Regulation requirements, local utility standards, and provisions of the National Flood Insurance Program and Community Rating System.

217

218219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238239

240

241

242

243244

245

246247

248

249

250

(7) When issuing any building permit, the building department shall provide to the property owner a mandatory written notice, which may be electronically provided if the permit package is electronically provided, in the following form:

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING COMPLYING WITH THE INSPECTION AND APPROVAL PROCESS FOR ALL PERMITS

"You are receiving a building permit authorizing the construction referenced in the application that was submitted to this building department by you or on The permit is issued with conditions, your behalf. including required building inspections and assurances construction complies with the the submitted with the permit application and any other conditions referenced in the permit. It is critical ensure vou that all necessarv building inspections are passed before the expiration of any notice of commencement or amendment thereto, as these inspections are important to ensure construction has been performed in a safe and proper manner. If you have any questions regarding these procedures, please call the building department. Your failure to comply may also result in unsafe conditions arising from your construction."

(8) The applicable governmental entity may charge only one search fee for searching for and identifying open or unexpired building permits for any units or subunits assigned by any municipality or county to a particular tax parcel identification number, in an amount commensurate with research and time costs incurred by the jurisdiction.

(9) As to all permits issued after the effective date of this section, the building department shall send a written notice to the current property owner at a point from one year to three years after issuance of any permit that has not been properly closed out within that time advising the property owner of the need to properly close out the permit upon completion of the work covered by same. Failure to send written notice shall not relieve the contractor or property owner from taking the necessary actions to legally close out a permit.

251

252253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263264

265266

267

268

269

270271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279280

281282

283

284

285

(10) Nothing in this Act shall prevent local government jurisdictions from enforcing any provision of a local land development code or other local ordinances to the extent not inconsistent with this section or prevent local governmental jurisdictions from enacting provisions that further enhance the process of closing out open or expired permits.

Section 2. Section 489.129(1)(o), Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

489.129 Disciplinary proceedings.-

The board may take any of the following actions against any certificateholder or registrant: place on probation or reprimand the licensee, revoke, suspend, or deny the issuance or renewal of the certificate registration, require financial or restitution to a consumer for financial harm directly related to a violation of a provision of this part, impose an administrative fine not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, require continuing education, or assess costs associated with investigation and prosecution, if the contractor, financially responsible officer, or business organization for which the contractor is a primary qualifying agent, a financially responsible officer, or a secondary qualifying agent responsible

286	under s. 489.1195 is found guilty of any of the
287	following acts:
288	(o) Proceeding on any job without obtaining
289	applicable local building department permits and
290	inspections or failing to properly close out any
291	permits or satisfy any applicable permit requirements.
292	
293	Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1
294	2017.
295 296 297 298 299	ACTIVE: 9489893_1 ACTIVE: 9689304_1

WHITE PAPER

OPEN AND EXPIRED PERMITS

I. SUMMARY

This legislation provides a procedure by which property owners may close dormant open or expired building permits in instances when the contractor who obtained the permit is no longer around to close it by calling for a final inspection. Unfortunately, this is an all too frequent occurrence. It has frustrated countless sellers in the sale of real property, after a simple municipal search reveals the existence of a long open or expired building permit. In particular, this bill will provide a mechanism for sellers and purchasers of real property, on which a building permit was previously obtained, but not properly closed, to close the permits as part of the purchase and sale transaction for the property. The bill does not have a fiscal impact on state funds.

II. CURRENT SITUATION

Most homeowners hire contractors to perform home improvements. In most cases, the contractors obtain the proper building permits as required by law. The work is performed, the homeowner is satisfied. Unfortunately, often times, the job seems complete to the homeowner; however, they may not realize that a final inspection was never performed and thus the building permit was never properly closed.

These open or expired permits are usually undetected in the local municipalities' building department records. The property owner likely paid the contractor for the completed work, but has no mechanism to know that the permit was properly closed. It is anything but simple for a property owner to discover this information at or immediately after the contractor leaves the job. The work seems property completed from a visual standpoint. The contractor may tell the homeowner that the job is complete.

In many other situations, the construction work was not actually completed for any number of reasons and the failure to call for a final inspection left the incomplete nature of the work undetected by the building department. Regardless of whether the work has been completed or not, the problem becomes exacerbated when the owner sells the property to an innocent third party purchaser. The purchaser usually searches for open permits and, when they are detected, a decision must be made about whether to buy the property knowing a final inspection was not obtained, and hence that there may or may not be unremedied construction defects. This is not a title defect for which insurance is available, so the purchasers must either abandon their goal of buying the property based upon an unknown situation or proceed with the purchase, again not knowing whether the construction was properly performed, especially in the large number of cases where the construction work has been covered up and can no longer be inspected a part of the purchase transaction. By this time, the contractor is usually no longer available to provide information or remedial work, creating anxiety and uncertainty over the extent or existence of the risk of construction defects.

Unfortunately, if the permit was not properly closed with the local municipality, it may be many years before the property owners' are advised by their buyers' attorney/title company (or lenders' attorney/title company in a refinance) that the contractors failed to properly close the permits by calling for a final inspection and submitting the appropriate paperwork to the building department. Thereafter, these homeowners face incredible stress and pressure to get the dormant permits closed to allow for a sale or refinance to occur. They must hire an expeditor or another contractor to attempt to close a long dormant permit. Many in the trade do not want to take this on, given the stale nature of the permit, and fear of what each municipality may require under its particular building department requirements.

Further complicating this problem is that the most common purchase contract in the State is the FAR/BAR contract. For the past several years, the contract does not require a seller to close these permits; a situation that now promotes passing the problem on to the buyer, or frustrating the sale of the property entirely. This situation arises due to no fault of the homeowner who hired a licensed contractor, paid the contractor and assumed that the contractor performed all activities necessary to comply with their licensure. Although the Florida Construction Licensing Code in Chapter 489 contemplates licensed contractors obtaining all required inspections, that statute is not well enforced and this situation is pervasive.

III. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

A. A Proposed New Statute Section 553.7905

Any building permit that has not been properly closed by passing all necessary final inspections and complying with other permit requirements within one year from the expiration of the notice of commencement or last amendment thereto, or in the absence of a notice of commencement within one year from the last inspection conducted under the permit, or if no inspections have been performed on a project without a notice of commencement, within two years from the date of issuance of the permit, may be closed by or on behalf of the current property owner, even if the current owner is not the same owner who originally applied for the permit, by complying with one of the following procedures:

- 1. The property owner may hire a Florida licensed contractor to reopen the permit if it is expired, perform any necessary work to fulfill all requirements of the permit, and call for the necessary inspections and properly close the permit. The contractor will not be liable for any defects in the work performed by the prior contractor who failed to close the permit, but will be liable for any defects in its own work. All work required to properly close the permit shall be performed in accordance with the building code in effect on the date of issuance of the open or expired permit.
- 2. As an alternative procedure to the one listed above, the property owner may hire a licensed engineer or architect to inspect the work, direct any repairs necessary to comply with permit requirements, then confirm compliance by submitting an affidavit to the building department. The building department may conduct its own final inspections within five business days of receiving the affidavit or the statute provides that the building department shall be deemed to have accepted the affidavit as satisfaction of all permit requirements and shall thereafter close the permit.

A failure to properly close a building permit within five years after expiration of the date of recording of the notice of commencement or last amendment thereto or, if no notice of commencement was recorded, then within seven years after the building permit was issued, shall not, in and of itself, authorize the permitting authority to deny future permits to, or issue notices of violation, fines, penalties, sanctions or fees against, a subsequent bona fide purchaser of the residence for value. The permitting authority will, however, continue to have all rights and remedies against the original property owner and contractor who obtained and failed to close the permit. This provision preserves all legal rights the building department has, but makes clear the bona fide subsequent purchaser will not inherit the responsibility for same merely by purchasing the home.

When issuing any building permit, the building department shall provide to the property owner a mandatory written notice using the same language that is provided in the new statute advising the owner of the importance of properly closing permits.

The building department shall send a written notice to permit holders on one- to four-family residences one year after issuance of any permit that has not been properly closed within that time advising the permit holder of the need to properly close the permit upon completion of the work.

Municipalities, counties and building departments may not charge separate search fees for open or expired permits for separate units or sub-units assigned to a single tax parcel identification number. Only one search fee per tax parcel identification number may be charged, in an amount not to exceed \$150.00.

B. Section 489.129

Section 489.129 of the Florida Construction Licensing Code, governing disciplinary proceedings against licensed contractors, will be amended to specify that the failure to properly close permits or satisfy any permit requirements shall be grounds for disciplinary proceedings by the Construction Industry Licensing Board against the contractor who obtained the permit, but failed to properly close it. The scope of discipline, if any, will be determined by the Construction Industry Licensing Board and not set out in this proposed legislation.

IV. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

The proposal does not have a fiscal impact on state or local governments. It does however benefit the local governments by clearing up dormant open or expired permits from their system, eliminating unnecessary recordkeeping and system maintenance of these old permits.

V. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR

There are no economic costs to the private sector other than costs that would be incurred to properly close the permit, which costs would be required absent this law because the permits have to be closed anyway. The economic benefits to the private sector are enhanced because, with a specific, easy to follow procedure for closing permits, real estate sales transactions that may have not been pursued because of the uncertainty tied to open or expired permits will now move forward. Many other real estate professionals, including, but not limited to: lenders, real

estate agents, title companies would benefit from this legislation as it would provide a clear avenue for transactions to move forward.

VI. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

The legislation does not raise any constitutional issues.

VII. OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

The Building Officials Association of Florida were consulted regarding this proposal and may be interested in the final legislation. We believe they may generally support this legislation, although they may have further changes to the exact final language.

ACTIVE: BPUsers/SMEZER:9718512_1

LEGISLATIVE POSITION REQUEST FORM

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE

Date Form Received
GENERAL INFORMATION
Submitted By (List name of the section, division, committee, bar group or individual) Real Property Probate and Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar
Address (List street address and phone number) Deborah P. Goodall, Esq., Chair, Goldman Felcoski & Stone, P.A., 327 Plaza Real, Ste. 230, Boca Raton, FL 33432; (561) 395-0400
Position Level (Florida Bar or Section / Division / Committee or both, if requested) Open Permits Task Force, RPPTL Section, The Florida Bar
PROPOSED ADVOCACY
All types of partisan advocacy or nonpartisan technical assistance should be presented to the Board of Governors via this request form. Every request should e accompanied by a copy of any existing or proposed legislation, or a detailed presentation of the matter at issue. Contact the Governmental Affairs office with questions.
If Applicable, List The Following:
(Bill or PCB #) (Sponsor)
Indicate Position: ■ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ Technical or Other Non-Partisan Assistance
Proposed Wording of Position for Official Publication:
Support the establishment of a procedure by which property owners may close open or expired permits, the protection from liability of bona fide purchasers of property with open or expired permits, and the establishment of procedures to reduce the number of future open or expired permits.

Reasons For Proposed Advocacy:

Most Recent Position

Although open or expired permits are not title defects for which insurance or other protections are available, they may nevertheless create significant liability for purchasers of real property, thereby jeopardizing potential property sales. Where the original construction contractor is no longer available, it is often difficult to properly inspect work and close permits, especially in an expedited time frame sufficient to accommodate property closing schedules. An easy to follow procedure is necessary to permit an owner of property to expeditiously close building permits in a manner that will not jeopardize a potential sale of property. The number of instances in which property sales are lost because of open or expired permits is extremely high, necessitating a process to comply with permits and facilitate property sales.

PRIOR POSITIONS TAKEN ON THIS ISSUE

Please indicate any prior Bar or section/divisions/committee positions on this issue, to include opposing positions. Contact the Governmental Affairs office if assistance is needed in completing this portion of the request form.

(Bar / Section / Division / Committee) None	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)	(Date)	
Others (Attach list if more than one)				
(Bar / Section / Division / Committee) None	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)		

REFERRALS TO OTHER SECTIONS, COMMITTEES OR LEGAL ORGANIZATIONS

A request for action on a legislative position must be circulated to all divisions, sections and committees that might be interested in the issue. The Legislation Committee and Board of Governors may delay final action on a request in the absence for any responses from such groups. Please include all responses with this request form.

Referrals

1.	(Name of Group or Organization) Building Officials Association of Floirda	Support Support
2.	(Name of Group or Organization) Florida Engineering Society	(Support, Oppose or No Position) Currently considering
3.	(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position)

CONTACTS

Board & Legislation Committee Appearance

(List name, address and phone number)

Steven H. Mezer, Esq., Becker & Poliakoff, P.A., Tower Place, 1511 N. Westshore Blvd., Ste. 1000, Tampa, FL 33607; (813) 527-3900

Deborah P. Goodall, Esq., Goldman Felcoski & Stone P.A., 327 Plaza Real, Ste. 230, Boca Raton, FL 33432; (561) 395-0400

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq., Dean Mead & Dunbar, 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301; (850) 999-4100

Martha J. Edenfield, Esq., Dean Mead & Dunbar, 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301; (850) 999-4100

Appearances before Legislators

(List name and phone number of those having direct contact before House/Senate Committees)

Steven H. Mezer, Esq., Becker & Poliakoff, P.A., Tower Place, 1511 N. Westshore Blvd., Ste. 1000, Tampa, FL 33607; (813) 527-3900

Deborah P. Goodall, Esq., Goldman Felcoski & Stone P.A., 327 Plaza Real, Ste. 230, Boca Raton, FL 33432; (561) 395-0400

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq., Dean Mead & Dunbar, 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301; (850) 999-4100

Martha J. Edenfield, Esq., Dean Mead & Dunbar, 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301; (850) 999-4100

Lee A. Weintraub, Esq., Becker & Poliakoff, P.A., One E. Broward Blvd., Ste. 1800, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301; (954) 985-4147

Meetings with Legislators/staff

(List name and phone number of those having direct contact with Legislators)

Steven H. Mezer, Esq., Becker & Poliakoff, P.A., Tower Place, 1511 N. Westshore Blvd., Ste. 1000, Tampa, FL 33607; (813) 527-3900

Deborah P. Goodall, Esq., Goldman Felcoski & Stone P.A., 327 Plaza Real, Ste. 230, Boca Raton, FL 33432; (561) 395-0400

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq., Dean Mead & Dunbar, 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301; (850) 999-4100

Martha J. Edenfield, Esq., Dean Mead & Dunbar, 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301; (850) 999-4100

Lee A. Weintraub, Esq., Becker & Poliakoff, P.A., One E. Broward Blvd., Ste. 1800, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301; (954) 985-4147

Please submit completed Legislative Position Request Form, along with attachments, to the Governmental Affairs Office of The Florida Bar. Upon receipt, staff will further coordinate the scheduling for final Bar action of your request -- which may involve a separate appearance before the Legislation Committee unless otherwise advised.

For information or assistance, please contact the Governmental Affairs Office of The Florida Bar at 850-561-5662 or Toll-Free 800-342-8060, extension 5662.

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to forcible entry and unlawful detainer;
3	amending sections 82.01, 82.02, 82.03, 82.04, 82.05,
4	82.091, 82.101, F.S.; renumbering section 82.045, F.S., to
5	section 82.08, F.S.; creating section 82.08, F.S.;
6	repealing section 82.061, F.S., relating to process to
7	service complaint; repealing section 82.071, F.S., relating
8	to evidence of damages; and repealing section 82.081, F.S.,
9	relating to form of verdict; defining the terms "unlawful
10	entry", "forcible entry" and "unlawful detention";
11	providing a cause of action for terminating possession due
12	to unlawful entry or forcible entry or unlawful detention;
13	limiting the actions and the effect of judgment; providing
14	for service of process; providing for damages; and
15	providing an effective date.
16	
17	Be it Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
18	
19	Section 1. Section 82.01, Florida Statutes, is amended to
20	read:
21	82.01. <u>Definitions.</u> "Unlawful entry and forcible entry"
22	defined.
23	(1) "Unlawful entry" is defined as a person's entry into
24	and possession of any property except when entry is given by a
25	person entitled to possession thereof or as authorized by law,
26	even if the possession is temporary or of a portion of the
27	property.
28	(2) "Forcible entry" is defined as a person's entry into
29	and possession of any property with force, not in a peaceable,
30	easy and open manner, even when entry is authorized by a person
31	entitled to possession thereof and even if the possession is
32	temporary or of a portion of the property.

- 33 (3) "Unlawful detention" is defined as a person holding
- 34 possession of property without the consent of a person entitled
- 35 to possession or after consent is withdrawn, even if the
- 36 possession is temporary or of a portion of the property.
- 37 (4) "Record title holder" is defined as a person holding
- 38 title to property evidenced by an instrument or instruments
- 39 recorded in the public records of the county where the property
- 40 is located.
- 41 (5) "Property" is defined as land, tenements, and
- 42 hereditaments, including any building or structure thereon, or
- 43 any part thereof, existing, built, erected, or placed on land or
- 44 other property, permanently or temporary, and the appurtenant
- 45 facilities, grounds, areas and property held out for the use of
- 46 persons in possession generally. No person shall enter into any
- 47 lands or tenements except when entry is given by law, nor shall
- 48 any person, when entry is given by law, enter with strong hand
- 49 or with multitude of people, but only in a peaceable, easy and
- 50 open manner.
- 51 Section 2. Section 82.02, Florida Statutes, is amended to
- 52 read:
- 82.02 Applicability. "Unlawful entry and unlawful detention"
- 54 defined.
- 55 (1) This Chapter shall not apply with regard to possession
- 56 under a residential tenancy governed by Chapter 83 Florida
- 57 statutes.
- 58 (2) This Chapter shall not apply with regard to possession
- 59 under Chapters 513 and 723.
- 60 (1) No person who enters without consent in a peaceable,
- 61 easy and open manner into any lands or tenements shall hold them
- 62 afterwards against the consent of the party entitled to
- 63 possession.

- 64 (2) This section shall not apply with regard to residential
- 65 tenancies.
- 66 Section 3. Section 82.03, Florida Statutes, is amended to
- 67 read:
- 82.03 Remedies. Remedy for unlawful entry and forcible
- 69 entry.-
- (1) By an action under this Chapter, a party entitled to
- 71 possession of property, including constructive possession by a
- 72 record title holder, may terminate the possession of all or of
- any portion of said property, by any person holding possession
- 74 by "Unlawful entry" or "Forcible entry" or "Unlawful detention".
- 75 (2) A plaintiff is not required to give a defendant any
- 76 pre-suit notice as a condition precedent to maintaining an
- 77 action under this Chapter.
- 78 (3) The actions for possession and damages may be
- 79 bifurcated. If the plaintiff recovers possession, the plaintiff
- 80 shall recover from the defendant or defendants damages of double
- 81 the reasonable rental value of the property for the time from
- 82 the beginning of the "Unlawful entry" or "Forcible entry" or
- 83 "Unlawful detention" until possession is delivered, if the trier
- 84 of fact finds that the detention is willful and knowingly
- 85 wrongful. Plaintiff may recover other damages to the property or
- 86 for waste.
- 87 (4) All actions under this Chapter shall be conducted
- 88 according to the summary procedure provided in s. 51.011, and
- 89 the court shall advance the cause on the calendar. If any person
- 90 enters or has entered into lands or tenements when entry is not
- 91 given by law, or if any person enters or has entered into any
- 92 lands or tenements with strong hand or with multitude of people,
- 93 even when entry is given by law, the party turned out or
- 94 deprived of possession by the unlawful or forcible entry, by
- 95 whatever right or title the party held possession, or whatever

- 96 estate the party held or claimed in the lands or tenements of
- 97 which he or she was so dispossessed, is entitled to the summary
- 98 procedure under s. 51.011 within 3 years thereafter.
- 99 Section 4. Section 82.04, Florida Statutes, is amended to
- 100 read:
- 101 82.04 Questions involved in this proceeding. Remedy for
- 102 unlawful detention.
- 103 In actions under this Chapter, the court shall determine the
- 104 right of possession and damages and no question of title of the
- 105 property shall be determined, other than as necessary to
- 106 determine the right of possession or the record title holder.
- 107 (1) If any person enters or has entered in a peaceable manner
- 108 into any lands or tenements when the entry is lawful and after
- 109 the expiration of the person's right continues to hold them
- 110 against the consent of the party entitled to possession, the
- 111 party so entitled to possession is entitled to the summary
- 112 procedure under s. 51.011, at any time within 3 years after the
- 113 possession has been withheld from the party against his or her
- 114 consent.
- 115 (2) This section shall not apply with regard to residential
- 116 tenancies.
- 117 Section 5. Section 82.045, Florida Statutes, is renumbered
- 118 to Section 82.08, and amended to read:
- 82.08 82.045 Remedy for unlawful detention by a transient
- 120 occupant of residential property.-
- (1) As used in this section, the term "transient occupant"
- means a person whose residency in a property dwelling intended
- 123 for residential use has occurred for a brief length of time, is
- 124 not pursuant to a lease, and whose occupancy was intended as
- 125 transient in nature.
- 126 (a) Factors that establish that a person is a transient
- 127 occupant include, but are not limited to:

- 128 1. The person does not have an ownership interest,
- 129 financial interest, or leasehold interest in the property
- 130 entitling him or her to occupancy of the property.
- 131 2. The person does not have any property utility
- 132 subscriptions.
- 133 3. The person does not use the property address as an
- 134 address of record with any governmental agency, including, but
- 135 not limited to, the Department of Highway Safety and Motor
- 136 Vehicles or the supervisor of elections.
- 137 4. The person does not receive mail at the property.
- 138 5. The person pays minimal or no rent for his or her stay
- 139 at the property.
- 140 6. The person does not have a designated space of his or
- 141 her own, such as a room, at the property.
- 7. The person has minimal, if any, personal belongings at
- 143 the property.
- 144 8. The person has an apparent permanent residence
- 145 elsewhere.
- (b) Minor contributions made for the purchase of household
- 147 goods, or minor contributions towards other household expenses,
- 148 do not establish residency.
- (2) A transient occupant unlawfully detains a residential
- 150 property if the transient occupant remains in occupancy of the
- 151 residential property after the party entitled to possession of
- 152 the property has directed the transient occupant to leave.
- 153 (3) Any law enforcement officer may, upon receipt of a
- 154 sworn affidavit of the party entitled to possession that a
- 155 person who is a transient occupant is unlawfully detaining
- 156 residential property, direct a transient occupant to surrender
- 157 possession of residential property. The sworn affidavit must set
- 158 forth the facts, including the applicable factors listed in

- paragraph (1)(a), which establish that a transient occupant is unlawfully detaining residential property.
- 161 (a) A person who fails to comply with the direction of the
- 162 law enforcement officer to surrender possession or occupancy
- 163 violates s. 810.08. In any prosecution of a violation of s.
- 164 810.08 related to this section, whether the defendant was
- 165 properly classified as a transient occupant is not an element of
- 166 the offense, the state is not required to prove that the
- 167 defendant was in fact a transient occupant, and the defendant's
- 168 status as a permanent resident is not an affirmative defense.
- (b) A person wrongfully removed pursuant to this
- 170 subsection has a cause of action for wrongful removal against
- 171 the person who requested the removal, and may recover injunctive
- 172 relief and compensatory damages. However, a wrongfully removed
- 173 person does not have a cause of action against the law
- 174 enforcement officer or the agency employing the law enforcement
- 175 officer absent a showing of bad faith by the law enforcement
- 176 officer.
- 177 (4) A party entitled to possession of a property has a
- 178 cause of action for unlawful detainer against a transient
- 179 occupant pursuant to s. 82.034. The party entitled to possession
- 180 is not required to notify the transient occupant before filing
- 181 the action. If the court finds that the defendant is not a
- 182 transient occupant but is instead a tenant of
- 183 residential property dwelling governed by part II of chapter 83,
- 184 the court may not dismiss the action without first allowing the
- 185 plaintiff to give the transient occupant the notice required by
- 186 that part and to thereafter amend the complaint to pursue
- 187 eviction under that part.
- 188 Section 6. Section 82.05, Florida Statutes, is amended to
- 189 read:

190 82.05 <u>Process, Service</u>. Questions involved in this
191 proceeding.

obtain service shall be 6 hours.

200

- 192 (1) After at least two attempts to obtain service as 193 provided by law, if the defendant cannot be found in the county 194 in which the action is pending and either the defendant has no 195 usual place of abode in the county or there is no person 15 196 years of age or older residing at the defendant's usual place of 197 abode in the county, the sheriff shall serve the summons by 198 attaching it to some part of the property involved in the 199 proceeding. The minimum time delay between the two attempts to
- 201 (2) If a plaintiff causes, or anticipates causing, a 202 defendant to be served with a summons and complaint solely by 203 attaching them to some conspicuous part of the property involved 204 in the proceeding, the plaintiff shall provide the clerk of the 205 court with two additional copies of the complaint and two 206 prestamped envelopes addressed to the defendant. One envelope 207 shall be addressed to the residence of the defendant, if known. 208 The second envelope shall be addressed to the last known 209 business address of the defendant, if known. The clerk of the 210 court shall immediately mail the copies of the summons and complaint by first-class mail, note the fact of mailing in the 211 212 docket, and file a certificate in the court file of the fact and 213 date of mailing. Service shall be effective on the date of 214 posting or mailing, whichever occurs later; and at least 5 days 215 from the date of service must have elapsed before a judgment for 216 final removal of the defendant may be entered. No question of 217 title, but only right of possession and damages, is involved in 218 the action.
- 219 Section 7. Section 82.091, Florida Statutes, is amended to 220 read:

- 221 82.091 Judgment and execution.-If the court shall enter 222 judgment for verdict is in favor of plaintiff, the court shall 223 enter judgment that plaintiff shall recover possession of the 224 property to which plaintiff is entitled described in the 225 complaint with his or her, and plaintiff's damages and costs, 226 and the court shall award a writ of possession forthwith to be 227 executed without delay and execution for plaintiff's damages and 228 costs. If the judgment verdict is for defendant, the court shall 229 enter judgment against plaintiff dismissing the complaint and 230 order that defendant recover costs. 231 Section 8. Section 82.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to
- 231 Section 8. Section 82.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to 232 read:
- 233 82.101 Effect of judgment.—No judgment rendered either for 234 plaintiff or defendant bars any action of trespass for injury to 235 the property or ejectment or quiet title action between the same 236 parties respecting the same property. No judgment verdict is 237 conclusive as to of the facts therein found in any future 238 action for of trespass or ejectment or quiet title. A judgment 239 rendered either for plaintiff or defendant under this Chapter 240 may be superseded, in whole or in part, by a subsequent judgment 241 in an action for trespass for injury to the property or 242 ejectment or quiet title action involving the same parties 243 respecting the same property.
- Section 9. Section 82.061, Florida Statutes, is repealed.
- Section 10. Section 82.071, Florida Statutes, is repealed.
- 246 Section 11. Section 82.081, Florida Statutes, is repealed.
- 247 Section 12. This act shall take effect upon becoming a
- 248 law.

REAL PROPERTY, PROBATE & TRUST LAW SECTION OF THE FLORIDA BAR (RPPTL)

White Paper

Proposal To Amend Chapter 82, Fla. Stat. Forcible Entry and Unlawful Detainer

I. SUMMARY

This proposal is intended to:

- 1. provide a cause of action for unlawful detainer where a person obtains possession of property with the consent of the person entitled to possession but that consent is later withdrawn,
- 2. clarify the forcible entry and unlawful detainer statutes by providing definitions,
- 3. eliminate any ambiguity as to whether pre-suit notice is a condition precedent to an action for forcible entry or unlawful detainer,
- 4. clarify that an action for unlawful detainer may be used where the property is residential but the relationship between the plaintiff and defendant is not that of landlord and tenant, which is subject to the provisions of Chapter 83, Part II, Florida Statutes,
- 5. remove the procedural jury verdict forms contained within the statute; and,
- 6. modernize much of the archaic language used in the current law which derives from old English statutes that makes it difficult to apply to current practice.

II. CURRENT SITUATION

The current Forcible Entry and Unlawful Detainer statute is generally intended to provide a procedure to expeditiously recover possession of property under certain circumstances. As written, it has generated confusion and uncertainty amongst practitioners, the courts and the general public. An absence of significant case law has contributed to the lack of guidance to the legal community. Chapter 82 contains numerous provisions the committee sought to address, including:

- 1. Under current § 82.01 and § 82.02, unlawful entry, forcible entry and unlawful detention are defined, but § 82.03 only provides remedies for unlawful entry and forcible entry. Although the title to § 82.04 is "[r]emedy for unlawful detention," no explicit remedy for unlawful detention is given.
- 2. Current Chapter 82 does not contain a definition of the word "property" but uses a variety of similar meaning words that may be taken out of context or be ambiguous, nor does it contain a definition of "record title holder".

- 3. The statute does not explicitly state whether pre-suit notice is a requirement prior to commencing an action under Chapter 82.
- 4. The current statute contains a procedural jury verdict form for forcible or unlawful entry and for unlawful detainer.

III. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

- 1. Chapter 82, Florida Statutes, has been limited in its use because as written, it does not expressly provide a cause of action to recover possession where a person has possession of property through the consent of the owner or person entitled to possession, but the owner revokes that consent ("unlawful detention"). Under a modern day scenario, two common factual situations where unlawful detainer would be applicable are: (1) where a property is purchased with a person already occupying the property, such as a "squatter," or (2) a person entitled to possession invites a family member or other person to reside at the property, and the person who granted that possession subsequently revokes their consent. The affect of the proposed changes would be to provide a cause of action to remove the person and recover possession.
- 2. Pre-suit notice is generally a condition precedent to filing an action for possession under the residential and commercial eviction statutes. The current unlawful detainer statute contains no pre-suit notice requirement, but neither does it explicitly state that pre-suit notice is not a condition precedent to bringing an action. The proposed change to the statute would clarify that no pre-suit notice is required prior to filing an action under Chapter 82.
- 3. Revising the definitions of "unlawful entry", "forcible entry" and "unlawful detention" and including definitions of "property" and "record title holder" ptovide clarity and uniformity that is absent from the current statute.
- 4. The removal of the procedural jury verdict forms from the statute brings the statute in line with modern day civil practice.

IV. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

The proposal does not have a fiscal impact on state and local governments.

V. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR

The proposal does not have a direct economic impact on the private sector.

VI. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

The proposal raises no constitutional issues.

VII. OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

No other parties of interest are identified.

LEGISLATIVE POSITION REQUEST FORM

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE

Date Form	Received	
------------------	----------	--

GENERAL	. INFO	RMAT	ΓΙΟΝ
---------	--------	------	------

Submitted By Arthur J. Menor, Chair, Real Property Problems Study Committee of the Real

Property Probate & Trust Law Section (RPPTL Approval

Date_____, 2017)

Address Shutts & Bowen LLP, City Place Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1100,

West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone (561) 650-8510.

Position Type Real Property Problems Study Committee, RPPTL Section, The Florida Bar

(Florida Bar, section, division, committee or both)

CONTACTS

Board & Legislation Committee Appearance

Arthur J. Menor, Shutts & Bowen LLP, City Place Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1100, West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone (561) 650-8510. **Steven H. Mezer**, Becker & Poliakoff, Tower Place, 1511 N. Westshore Blvd., Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33607, Telephone: (813) 527-3900

Peter M. Dunbar, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite

815, Tallahassee, FL 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100 Email:

pdunbar@deanmead.com

Martha J. Edenfield, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street,

Suite 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100

Email:medenfield@deanmead.com (List name, address and phone number)

Appearances

Before Legislators (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

Meetings with

Legislators/staff (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

PROPOSED ADVOCACY

All types of partisan advocacy or nonpartisan technical assistance should be presented to the Board of Governors via this request form. All proposed legislation that has *not* been filed as a bill or a proposed committee bill (PCB) should be attached to this request in legislative format - Standing Board Policy 9.20(c). Contact the Governmental Affairs office with questions.

If Applicable,

List The Following N/A

(Bill or PCB #) (Bill or PCB Sponsor)

Indicate Position Support ____ Oppose ____ Tech Asst. ___ Other ____

Proposed Wording of Position for Official Publication:

"Supports proposed legislation to provide a cause of action for unlawful detainer, clarify the applicability of actions for forcible entry and unlawful detainer, clarify that no pre-suit notice is required in such actions, remove procedural jury verdict forms, and modernize archaic language."

Reasons For Proposed Advocacy:

Currently there is no remedy for unlawful detainer though it is defined in Chapter 82. In addition, the existing statute contains some ambiguous provisions and outdated language which should be clarified for the benefit of practitioners, the judiciary and the public.

Governmental Affairs offic	e if assistance is needed in completing the	nis portion of the request form.	
Most Recent Position	NONE		
	(Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)
Others			
(May attach list if			

(Support or Oppose)

(Date)

PRIOR POSITIONS TAKEN ON THIS ISSUE

Please indicate any prior Bar or section positions on this issue to include opposing positions. Contact the

REFERRALS TO OTHER SECTIONS, COMMITTEES OR LEGAL ORGANIZATIONS

(Indicate Bar or Name Section)

The Legislation Committee and Board of Governors do not typically consider requests for action on a legislative position in the absence of responses from all potentially affected Bar groups or legal organizations - Standing Board Policy 9.50(c). Please include all responses with this request form.

Referrals

more than one)

NONE

(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Positio

Please submit completed Legislative Position Request Form, along with attachments, to the Governmental Affairs Office of The Florida Bar. Upon receipt, staff will further coordinate the scheduling for final Bar action of your request which usually involves separate appearances before the Legislation Committee and the Board of Governors unless otherwise advised. For information or assistance, please telephone (904) 561-5662 or 800-342-8060, extension 5662.

- 1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to ejectment; amending s. 66.021, F.S.; 3 revising procedure for ejectment; providing for exclusive 4 jurisdiction of circuit courts; providing an effective 5 date. 6 7 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 8 9 Section 1. Section 66.021, Florida Statutes, is amended to 10 read: 11 66.021 EjectmentProcedure. 12 (1) RIGHT OF ACTION.—A person with a superior right to possession of real property may maintain an action in ejectment 13 14 to recover possession of the property. 15 (2) JURISDICTION.—Circuit courts shall have exclusive 16 jurisdiction for an ejectment action. 17 (3) NOTICE.—A plaintiff shall not be required to provide any 18 pre-suit notice or demand to a defendant as a condition to 19 maintaining an action under this part. 20 (4) LANDLORD NOT A DEFENDANT. When it appears before 21 trial that a defendant in ejectment is in possession as a tenant 22 and that his or her landlord is not a party, the landlord shall 23 be made a party before further proceeding unless otherwise ordered by the court. 24 25 (5) DEFENSE MAY BE LIMITED. A defendant in an action of
- ejectment may limit his or her defense to a part of the property mentioned in the complaint, describing such part with reasonable certainty.

- (6) (6) (3) WRIT OF POSSESSION; EXECUTION TO BE JOINT OR SEVERAL.
- 30 When plaintiff recovers in ejectment, he or she may have one writ
- 31 for possession, damages and costs or, if the plaintiff elects,
- 32 have separate writs for possession and damages.
- 33 (7)(4) CHAIN OF TITLE. The Plaintiff with his or her
- 34 complaint and the defendant with his or her answer
- 35 shall include serve a statement setting forth chronologically the
- 36 chain of title on which the party he or she will rely at
- 37 trial and attach copies of each instrument identified in the
- 38 statement. The If any part of the chain of title is recorded,
- 39 statement shall set forth the names of the grantors and the
- 40 grantees, the dates for each instrument, and if the instrument is
- 41 recorded, the statement shall set forth the book and page of the
- 42 record or instrument number of the record thereof; if an
- 43 unrecorded
- 44 instrument is relied on, a copy shall be attached. The court may
- 45 require the original to be submitted to the opposite party for
- 46 inspection. If the party relies on a claim or right without color
- 47 of title, the statement shall specify how and when the claim
- 48 originated and the facts on which the claim is based. If
- 49 defendant and plaintiff claim under a common source, the
- 50 statement need not deraign title before the common source.
- 51 (8)(5) TESTING SUFFICIENCY. If either party seeks wants to
- 52 test the legal sufficiency of any instrument or court proceeding

- 53 in the chain of title of the opposite party, the party shall do
- 54 so before trial by motion setting up his or her objections with a
- 55 copy of the instrument or court proceedings attached. The motion
- 56 shall be disposed of before trial. If either party determines
- 57 that he or she will be unable to maintain his or her claim by
- 58 reason of the order, that party may so state in the record and
- 59 final judgment shall be entered for the opposite party.
- 60 (9) OPERATION.—This section is cumulative to other existing
- 61 remedies and shall not be construed to limit other remedies
- 62 available under Florida law.
- 63 Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

REAL PROPERTY, PROBATE & TRUST LAW SECTION OF THE FLORIDA BAR (RPPTL) White Paper

Proposal to Amend § 66.021, Fla. Stat.

I. SUMMARY

This proposal is intended to:

- (1) provide a statutory definition for ejectment actions;
- (2) include in the ejectment statute a statement reflecting that circuit courts have exclusive jurisdiction over those actions;
- (3) eliminate any ambiguity as to whether pre-suit notice is a condition precedent to an ejectment action; and
- (4) update language in the statute.

II. CURRENT SITUATION

Under current § 66.021, Fla. Stat. the situation is as follows:

- (1) The statute provides no definition for ejectment actions;
- (2) The statue does not explicitly state whether a plaintiff in an ejectment action has a pre-suit obligation to provide notice to a defendant;
- (3) The Florida Statutes provide circuit courts with exclusive jurisdiction for ejectment actions in § 26.012(f), Fla. Stat. but this jurisdictional provision is not referenced in the ejectment statute;
- (4) Legal practitioners and lay people may encounter confusion as to the difference between ejectment actions and other possessory actions under Chapters 82 and 83 of the Florida Statutes. One example is *Pro-Art Dental Lab, Inc. v. V-strategic Group, LLC*, 986 So. 2d 1244 (Fla. 2007). There, a commercial tenant filed an ejectment action in county court. The Florida Supreme Court held that the proper result would be dismissal of the action, or removal, because county courts lack jurisdiction over ejectment actions. The Court suggested that the landlord's confusion may have occurred because possessory actions under Florida law can be "somewhat overlapping" and "may certainly be similar in some respects." *Id.* at 1250-1251. The *Pro-Art* case is a cautionary tale in that the landlord endured three rounds of appellate review before having to re-file the action in circuit court.

III. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

1. The proposal amends the statue to add a definition for ejectment actions: "A person with a superior right to possession of real property may maintain an action in ejectment to recover possession of the property."

This change provides a statutory definition for ejectment actions. The statute never previously defined this type of action, and litigants have relied upon case law and secondary sources to fill this gap. The definition provided by the proposal intends to make ejectment a comprehensive cause of action which can overlap with alternate possessory actions.

2. The proposal includes a statement that circuit courts have exclusive jurisdiction over these actions.

This change is superfluous to existing law, since § 26.012(f), Fla. Stat. already contains this jurisdictional provision. The inclusion of this language into § 66.021, Fla. Stat. intends to reduce the possibility that litigants incorrectly file an ejectment action in county rather than circuit court.

3. The proposal clarifies that ejectment actions have no pre-suit notice requirement.

The current ejectment statute does not impose a pre-suit notice requirement, but Chapter 83, Florida Statutes, does require a plaintiff to provide a specific form of pre-suit notice to defendants in other possessory actions. No cases from Florida's District Courts of Appeal have found that a plaintiff in an ejectment action must provide a defendant a pre-suit notice similar to those found in possessory actions under Chapter 83, Florida Statutes. The proposal clarifies that a plaintiff's right to possession in an ejectment action is not dependent upon any pre-suit notice. This clarification intends to eliminate the possibility of a dismissal of an ejectment action under a finding that the plaintiff failed to comply with conditions precedent. Conditions precedent for ejectment actions have never been explicitly adopted into the statute or previous case law.

4. The proposal rewords the statutory requirement that the parties demonstrate a chain of title in their pleadings.

The changes to the statutory pleading requirements demonstrating a chain of title intend to simplify the statute's current language.

IV. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

The proposal does not have a fiscal impact on state and local governments.

V. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR

The proposal does not have a direct economic impact on the private sector.

VI. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

There are no known constitutional issues.

VII. OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

No other parties of interest are identified.

LEGISLATIVE POSITION REQUEST FORM

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE

Date Form Received _____

GEN	 A I				
	41	1174	,		
\sim		11.4	\cdot		-

Submitted By Arthur J. Menor, Chair, Real Property Problems Study Committee of the Real

Property Probate & Trust Law Section (RPPTL Approval

Date_____, 2016)

Address Shutts & Bowen LLP, City Place Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1100,

West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone (561) 650-8510.

Position Type Real Property Problems Study Committee, RPPTL Section, The Florida Bar

(Florida Bar, section, division, committee or both)

CONTACTS

Board & Legislation Committee Appearance

Arthur J. Menor, Shutts & Bowen LLP, City Place Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1100, West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone (561) 650-8510. **Steven H. Mezer**, Becker & Poliakoff, Tower Place, 1511 N. Westshore Blvd., Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33607, Telephone: (813) 527-3900

Peter M. Dunbar, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite

815, Tallahassee, FL 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100 Email:

pdunbar@deanmead.com

Martha J. Edenfield, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100 Email:

medenfield@deanmead.com

(List name, address and phone number)

Appearances

Before Legislators (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

Meetings with

Legislators/staff (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

PROPOSED ADVOCACY

All types of partisan advocacy or nonpartisan technical assistance should be presented to the Board of Governors via this request form. All proposed legislation that has *not* been filed as a bill or a proposed committee bill (PCB) should be attached to this request in legislative format - Standing Board Policy 9.20(c). Contact the Governmental Affairs office with questions.

If A	۱nr	۱lic	·ah	عا
11 <i>F</i>	1N	,,,,	an	ıς,

List The Following N/A

(Bill or PCB #)

(Bill or PCB Sponsor)

Indicate Position

Support

Oppose

Tech Asst. ____ Other ____

Proposed Wording of Position for Official Publication:

"Supports proposed legislation to provide a statutory definition for Ejectment actions, provide for jurisdiction in the circuit courts for such actions, eliminate any ambiguity over whether pre-suit notice is required in such actions, and update the language in the existing Ejectment statute."

Reasons For Proposed Advocacy:

The proposed legislation clarifies the ejectment statute to assist legal practitioners, lay people and the judiciary in understanding when this possessory action may be utilized.

Governmental Affairs office	e if assistance is needed in completing the	nis portion of the request form.	
Most Recent Position	NONE		
	(Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)
Others			
May attach list if			

(Support or Oppose)

(Date)

PRIOR POSITIONS TAKEN ON THIS ISSUE

Please indicate any prior Bar or section positions on this issue to include opposing positions. Contact the

REFERRALS TO OTHER SECTIONS, COMMITTEES OR LEGAL ORGANIZATIONS

(Indicate Bar or Name Section)

The Legislation Committee and Board of Governors do not typically consider requests for action on a legislative position in the absence of responses from all potentially affected Bar groups or legal organizations - Standing Board Policy 9.50(c). Please include all responses with this request form.

Referrals

more than one)

NONE

(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position

Please submit completed Legislative Position Request Form, along with attachments, to the Governmental Affairs Office of The Florida Bar. Upon receipt, staff will further coordinate the scheduling for final Bar action of your request which usually involves separate appearances before the Legislation Committee and the Board of Governors unless otherwise advised. For information or assistance, please telephone (904) 561-5662 or 800-342-8060, extension 5662.

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to extent of liens; amending s.
3	713.10, F.S.; clarifying existing law; revising
4	language that provides that the interest of a lessor
5	is not subject to a lessee's improvements if the
6	lessee is leasing a mobile home lot; amending s.
7	713.13, F.S.; clarifying existing law; providing that
8	the notice of commencement can be for a term longer or
9	shorter than one year; providing an effective date.
10	
11	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
12	
13	Section 1. Section 713.10(2)(b)3, Florida Statutes, is
14	deleted in its entirety.
15	
16	Section 2. Section 713.10, Florida Statutes, is revised to
17	add a new subsection (4):
18	
19	(4) The interest of the lessor is not subject to liens for
20	improvements made by the lessee when the lessee is a mobile home
21	owner who is leasing a mobile home lot in a mobile home park
22	from the lessor.
23	
24	Section 3. Section 713.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is
25	revised to read:
26	
27	(c) If the contract between the owner and a contractor
28	named in the notice of commencement expresses a period of time
29	for completion of the improvement, the notice of commencement
30	must state that it is effective for at least that period of
31	time. The expiration date stated in the notice of commencement
32	may be more or less than one year. If no period of time is

- 33 stated, then the expiration date of the notice of commencement
- 34 will be one year from the date of recording. The preceding
- 35 sentence clarifies existing law and applies to all notices of
- 36 commencement in this state, regardless of when recorded. Any
- 37 payments made by the owner after the expiration of the notice of
- 38 commencement are considered improper payments.

39

40 Section 4. Section 713.13(6), Florida Statutes is revised 41 to read:

42

43 (6) A notice of commencement is not effectual in law or 44 equity against a conveyance, transfer, or mortgage of or lien on 45 the real property described in the notice, or against creditors 46 or subsequent purchasers for a valuable consideration, after the 47 expiration date of the notice of commencement as it may be 48 amended. If no expiration date is stated in the notice of 49 commencement as it may be amended, the expiration date is one 50 year after the recording of the notice of commencement.

51

52 Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

WHITE PAPER

PROPOSED REVISION OF SECTIONS 713.10 AND 713.13, FLORIDA STATUTES

Prepared by the Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of the Florida Bar Real Property Problems Study Committee

I. SUMMARY

Section 713.10(2)(b), Florida Statutes, sets forth three separate circumstances for which the interest of the lessor is not subject to liens for improvements made by the lessee. However, the current statute omits the word "or" preceding clause 3 of subsection 713.10(2)(b), which causes the subsection to be ambiguous and subject to various interpretations. To remedy this ambiguity, that portion of the statute was deleted and a new subsection 713.10(4) was included.

Section 713.13, Florida Statutes provides that before a contractor begins construction or repair to any improvement on real property located in Florida, a notice of commencement must be recorded. It also sets forth the many procedures and requirements that must be followed by the contractor and the property owner in connection with the notice of commencement. In the statute's current form, a possible ambiguity exists regarding whether the expiration date on a notice of commencement may be less than one year from the date of recording. In situations where the construction or repair work will clearly last for less than a year, the parties frequently do not specify an expiration date, and thus the default expiration date of one year from recording is deemed to apply. Further, even if the parties specify an expiration date of less than a year, a title company may not rely on that date in addressing the notice of commencement as an exception or requirement in the title commitment. Problems may, and often do, arise where the construction or repair is only for a period much shorter than one year, but the parties fail to terminate the notice of commencement upon the completion of the work. Failure to properly terminate a notice of commencement causes extra, unanticipated, and unnecessary work on behalf of parties involved in a later real estate transactions when the notice of commencement must be properly terminated in accordance with Florida law. Ultimately, the parties to the transaction must locate and obtain a contractor's final payment affidavit and final lien waivers from any lienors giving notice or with a direct contract with respect to a notice of commencement recorded well before the contemplated transaction. This proposed revision to an existing statute is intended to achieve greater clarity regarding the duration of notices of commencement, which may encourage contractors and owners to specifically determine and state the time period that both parties expect the construction to last and avoid the time and expense necessary to terminate an unexpired notice of commencement in order to close a sale or loan transaction. The bill does not have a fiscal impact on state funds.

II. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS

A. Section 713.10(2)(b)

Current Situation:

Section 713.10(2)(b)currently provides:

- (b) The interest of the lessor is not subject to liens for improvements made by the lessee when:
- 1. The lease, or a short form or a memorandum of the lease that contains the specific language in the lease prohibiting such liability, is recorded in the official records of the county where the premises are located before the recording of a notice of commencement for improvements to the premises and the terms of the lease expressly prohibit such liability; or
- 2. The terms of the lease expressly prohibit such liability, and a notice advising that leases for the rental of premises on a parcel of land prohibit such liability has been recorded in the official records of the county in which the parcel of land is located before the recording of a notice of commencement for improvements to the premises, and the notice includes the following:
- a. The name of the lessor.
- b. The legal description of the parcel of land to which the notice applies.
- c. The specific language contained in the various leases prohibiting such liability.
- d. A statement that all or a majority of the leases entered into for premises on the parcel of land expressly prohibit such liability.
- 3. The lessee is a mobile home owner who is leasing a mobile home lot in a mobile home park from the lessor.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

By deleting 713.10(2)(b)(3) entirely and adding a new subsection 713.10(4) which states:

"The interest of the lessor is not subject to liens for improvements made by the lessee when the lessee is a mobile home owner who is leasing a mobile home lot in a mobile home park from the lessor," clarifies that the foregoing is a separate and additional circumstance in which the interest of the lessor is not subject to liens for improvements made by the lessee.

B. Section 713.13(1)(c)

Current Situation:

In its current form, Statute 713.13 does not explicitly provide that the period for a notice of commencement may be for shorter than one (1) year. 713.13(1)(c) provides:

"If the contract between the owner and a contractor named in the notice of commencement expresses a period of time for completion for the construction of the improvement greater than 1 year, the notice of commencement must state that it is effective for a period of 1 year plus any additional period of time. Any payments made by the owner after the expiration of the notice of commencement are considered improper payments."

Because this section only references situations where a notice of commencement may be for longer than one year, the language of this provision has been subject to different interpretations regarding whether the term of a notice of commencement must be for at least one year. In order to clarify that a notice of commencement may be for shorter than one year, this proposal seeks to replace the current statute with the following:

"If the contract between the owner and a contractor named in the notice of commencement expresses a period of time for completion of the improvement, the notice of commencement must state that it is effective for at least that period of time. The expiration date stated in the notice of commencement may be more or less than one year but if no period of time is stated then the expiration date of the notice of commencement will be one year from the date of recording. The preceding sentence clarifies existing law and applies to all notices of commencement in this state, regardless of when recorded. Any payments made by the owner after the expiration of the notice of commencement are considered improper payments."

Effect of Proposed Changes:

This revised section will clarify that a notice of commencement may have an expiration date that is less than one year from recording. This clarification may encourage parties to a notice of commencement to select an expiration date that is less

than one year from the date of recording, where previous uncertainty regarding the term may have caused the expiration date to be left blank, resulting in a one year term, a much longer period than is necessary to properly protect each party's interests.

C. Section 713.13(1)(d) [item 9 in the statutory form]

Current Situation:

Item 9 of the statutory form provided in 713.13(1)(d) states:

"9. Expiration date of notice of commencement (the expiration date will be 1 year from the date of recording unless a different date is specified)"

This proposal seeks to slightly amend this item on the statutory form to state:

"9. Expiration date of notice of commencement (the expiration date will be 1 year from the date of recording unless a longer or shorter time period is specified)"

Effect of Proposed Changes:

Replacing "different date" with "longer or shorter time period" on the statutory form will clarify and clearly provide for parties completing a notice of commencement that the expiration date may be less than one year from the date of recording. Using this revised language on the notice of commencement form may encourage parties to select a shorter expiration date, when the parties may otherwise forget or not realize that a shorter expiration date can be selected if it was not specifically enumerated on the form. If parties to a notice of commencement select an expiration date which is earlier than one year from the date of recording, this will reduce the possibility of a notice of commencement remaining open longer than necessary and avoid the time and expense necessary to terminate the open notice of commencement in order to close a sale or loan transaction.

D. Section 713.13(6)

Current Situation:

Section 713.13(6) currently provides:

"Unless otherwise provided in the notice of commencement or a new or amended notice of commencement, a notice of commencement is not effectual in law or equity against a conveyance, transfer, or mortgage of or lien on the real property described in the notice, or against creditors or subsequent purchasers for a valuable consideration, after 1 year after the date of recording the notice of commencement."

Similar to the previous two sections above, the proposed change to 713.13(6) clarifies that parties to the notice of commencement may select a date that is either longer or shorter:

"Unless a longer or shorter period of time is otherwise provided in the notice of commencement or a new or amended notice of commencement, a notice of commencement is not effectual in law or equity against a conveyance, transfer, or mortgage of or lien on the real property described in the notice, or against creditors or subsequent purchasers for a valuable consideration, after 1 year after the date of recording the notice of commencement."

Effect of Proposed Changes:

Adding "a longer or shorter period of time is" to the first sentence clarifies that the parties to a notice of commencement may select a date earlier than one year from recording. As stated throughout this proposal, this change is intended to encourage parties to construction contracts to be completed in less than one year to select an expiration date for the notice of commencement that is less than one year from the date of recording, which may reduce the possibility of an open notice of commencement and the time and expense necessary to terminate it in order to close a sale or loan transaction.

III. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

There is no expected fiscal impact on state or local governments.

IV. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR

Revising the portion of the law regarding liens for improvements made by lessees of mobile home lots eliminates the potential for ambiguity and varying interpretations of the law. Further, clarifying that a notice of commencement may be for less than one year may encourage parties to choose an expiration date that is less than a year from the date of recording. If a notice of commencement is not properly terminated, but has an expiration date which is earlier than the default term of one year, the earlier expiration date reduces the probability of it becoming an open and stale notice of commencement which might delay the issuance of title insurance. As a result, this will help expedite and streamline real estate purchase and sale transactions. During the period between when a title commitment is first issued by a title insurance company and the date the transaction is expected to close, a stale notice of commencement can unnecessarily absorb limited time and resources, and in some situations it can delay closing. When a notice of commencement is no longer applicable, and obtaining a release or affidavit from a contractor is difficult or even impossible, these proposed clarifications to the statute could save prospective buyers and sellers, law firms, title companies and agents from

expending unnecessary efforts to achieve the formality of closing out stale and no longer relevant notice of commencements. These proposed revisions to the statute may result in more efficient transactions which save time and money for all parties involved, with no additional risk.

V. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

No constitutional issues are expected to arise as a result of this proposal.

VI. OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

Other interested parties include the construction law committee, real property litigation committee, title insurance companies, title agents and lobbying groups.

LEGISLATIVE POSITION REQUEST FORM

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE

Date Form Received	
--------------------	--

GENERAL INFORMATION

Submitted By Arthur J. Menor, Chair, Real Property Problems Study Committee of the Real

Property Probate & Trust Law Section (RPPTL Approval Date December _____

2016)

Address Shutts & Bowen LLP, CityPlace Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1100, West

Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone (561) 650-8510.

Position Type Real Property Problems Study Committee, RPPTL Section, The Florida Bar

(Florida Bar, section, division, committee or both)

CONTACTS

Board & Legislation Committee Appearance

Arthur J. Menor, Shutts & Bowen LLP, CityPlace Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1100, West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone (561) 650-8510. **Steven H. Mezer**, Becker & Poliakoff, Tower Place, 1511 N. Westshore Blvd., Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33607, Telephone: (813) 527-3900

Peter M. Dunbar, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite

815, Tallahassee, FL 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100 Email:

pdunbar@deanmead.com

Martha J. Edenfield, Dean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 815, Tallahassee, FL 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100 Email:

medenfield@deanmead.com

(List name, address and phone number)

Appearances

Before Legislators (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

Meetings with

Legislators/staff (SAME)

(List name and phone # of those having face to face contact with Legislators)

PROPOSED ADVOCACY

All types of partisan advocacy or nonpartisan technical assistance should be presented to the Board of Governors via this request form. All proposed legislation that has *not* been filed as a bill or a proposed committee bill (PCB) should be attached to this request in legislative format - Standing Board Policy 9.20(c). Contact the Governmental Affairs office with questions.

lf	App	licable	٠,
----	-----	---------	----

List The Following N/A

(Bill or PCB #) (Bill or PCB Sponsor)

Indicate Position Support Oppose Tech Asst. Other

Proposed Wording of Position for Official Publication:

"Supports proposed legislation to: (1) clarify that the interest of a lessor is not subject to improvements made by the lessee of a mobile home lot in s. 713.10, Florida Statutes; and (2) eliminate ambiguity regarding whether the expiration date on a notice of commencement may be less than one year from the date of recording, including an amendment to s. 713.13, Florida Statutes."

Reasons For Proposed Advocacy:

The proposed revisions to s. 713.10, Florida Statutes, eliminates confusing language and clarifies that the interest of a landlord is not subject to liens for improvements made by a lessee of a mobile home lot. The proposed revisions to s. 713.13, Florida Statutes, further clarifies the duration of notices of commencement, which may encourage contractors and owners to specifically determine and state the time period that both parties expect the construction to last. Where construction or repair work is for a period much shorter than one

year, problems may arise if the notice of commencement is not properly terminated. Failure to properly terminate can result in extra unanticipated and unnecessary work on behalf of parties involved in a later real estate purchase and sale transaction to locate and obtain a release or affidavit from a contractor that was a party to the notice of commencement recorded well before the contemplated sale of the property.

PRIOR POSITIONS TAKEN ON THIS ISSUE			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Bar or section positions on this issue to in	11 01	he
Governmental Affairs offic	e if assistance is needed in completing th	is portion of the request form.	
Most Recent Position	NONE		
	(Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Date
Others (May attach list if more than one)	NONE (Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Data
	,	(Support or Oppose)	(Date
REFERRALS TO OTHER SECTIONS, COMMITTEES OR LEGAL ORGANIZATIONS			
The Legislation Committee	e and Board of Governors do not typically	consider requests for action on a le	aislative

position in the absence of responses from all potentially affected Bar groups or legal organizations - Standing

Board Policy 9.50(c). Please include all responses with this request form.

Referrals

N/A	
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position

Please submit completed Legislative Position Request Form, along with attachments, to the Governmental Affairs Office of The Florida Bar. Upon receipt, staff will further coordinate the scheduling for final Bar action of your request which usually involves separate appearances before the Legislation Committee and the Board of Governors unless otherwise advised. For information or assistance, please telephone (904) 561-5662 or 800-342-8060, extension 5662.

A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

An act relating to (a) the provision for liens upon real or personal property where no lis pendens has been recorded, has expired, been withdrawn or otherwise discharged; (b) the clarification of existing law to provide that a recorded lis pendens which has not expired, been withdrawn otherwise discharged, or remains in effect through the issuance instrument transferring title pursuant to a judicial sale; (C) amending sections 48.23(1)(b)2. and 48.23(1)(d); and, (d) providing for an effective date.

WHEREAS, on August 24, 2016, the Fourth District Court of Appeal rendered a decision limiting the duration of the effectiveness of the lis pendens statute to the entry of a final judgment of foreclosure. Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, 2016 WL 4468134 (Fla. 4th DCA 2016).

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2017, the Fourth District Court of Appeal granted rehearing and held that an effective lis pendens discharges subordinate liens placed on real property between the entry of a final judgment of foreclosure and a judicial sale, pursuant

to the lis pendens statute. Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, 2017 WL 361127 (Fla. 4th DCA Jan. 25, 2017).

WHEREAS, the Fourth District Court of Appeal recently granted the Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea's motion for certification of a question of great public importance to the Florida Supreme Court. Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, 2017 WL 1076939 (Fla. 4th DCA Mar. 22, 2017), thereby confirming the need for legislative clarification.

WHEREAS, the Florida Legislature finds that, as a matter of public policy, the *Ober* case made evident the need to clarify the intent of the Legislature as to the duration of the effectiveness of a notice of lis pendens for proceedings that involve a judicial sale pursuant to Florida Statutes Section 48.23(1)(d).

NOW THEREFORE, Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 48.23(1)(b)2., Florida Statutes, is amended to read as follows:

48.23 Lis pendens.-

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

(1)(b)2. Any person acquiring for value an interest in or lien upon the real or personal property during the pendency of an action described in subparagraph 1., other than a party to the proceeding or the legal successor by operation of law, personal representative, heir, or devisee of a deceased party to the proceeding, shall take such interest or lien exempt from all claims against the property that were filed in such action by the party who failed to record a notice of lis pendens or whose notice expired or was withdrawn or discharged, and from any in proceeding, notwithstanding judament entered the provisions of s. 695.01, as if such person had no actual or constructive notice of the proceeding or of the claims made therein or the documents forming the causes of action against the property in the proceeding.

Section 2. Section 48.23(1)(d) is amended to read as follows:

(d) Except for the interest of persons in possession or easements of use, the recording of such notice of lis pendens, provided that during the pendency of the proceeding it has not expired pursuant to subsection (2) or been withdrawn or discharged, constitutes a bar to the enforcement against the

property described in the notice of all interests and liens, including, but not limited to, federal tax liens and levies, unrecorded at the time of recording the notice unless the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien intervenes in such proceedings within 30 days after the recording of the notice. If the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien does not in the proceedings and if intervene such proceedings are prosecuted to a judicial sale of the property described in the notice, the property shall be forever discharged from all such unrecorded interests and liens. Unless it expires, is withdrawn, or it is otherwise discharged, a recorded notice of lis pendens of such proceedings that are prosecuted to a judicial sale remains in effect through the recording of any instrument transferring title of the property described in the notice. The preceding sentence is intended to clarify existing law. If the notice of lis pendens expires or is withdrawn or discharged, the expiration, withdrawal, or discharge of the notice does not affect the validity of any unrecorded interest or lien.

Section 3. This proposal is intended to clarify existing law.

Section 4. This act shall take effect on becoming law.

86

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

87

S:\Susan\docs\RPPTL\Legislative Revision\Roundtable June 2017\Bill	Support\2017 Amending 48.23.do	Legislative	Support\Lis	Pendens\April	2017
Last Saved 5/13/2017 10:08 PM; Last	Printed 5/13/201	17 10:08 PM			

REAL PROPERTY, PROBATE & TRUST LAW SECTION OF THE FLORIDA BAR

White Paper

Proposal to Amend §48.23, Fla. Stat. (Lis Pendens)

I. SUMMARY

This proposal to amend §48.23, Florida Statute, is intended to:

- a) Clarify §48.23(1)(d) to preserve the widely understood interpretation of the lis pendens statute that, in proceedings involving a judicial sale, a valid recorded notice lis pendens remains in effect through the recording of an instrument transferring title pursuant to the judicial sale (in order to provide the purchaser with title free and clear of intervening subordinate interests or liens); and
- b) Incorporate the revision to §48.23(1)(b)2., which was previously approved by the RPPTL Section, which extends to those acquiring a lien on real property the protection from litigation against the property where no Notice of Lis Pendens has been recorded, has expired, or was withdrawn.

II. SECTION BY SECTION ANALYSIS

A. Effectiveness of Notice of Lis Pendens

Current Situation

Consistent with the unique nature and purpose of a foreclosure action, a notice of lis pendens serves a dual purpose: to "protect future purchasers or encumbrancers of the property from becoming "embroiled" in the dispute, and to protect the plaintiff from 'intervening liens that could impair any property rights claimed ... "' *Fischer v. Fischer*, 873 So. 2d 534, 536 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004) (citations omitted).

Accordingly, the long established and accepted understanding of the lis pendens statute is that, except as otherwise provided by law (e.g. Chapters 718 and 720, Fla. Stats.), its protection from intervening interests and liens remains in effect until the judicial sale of the property, and the subsequent issuance of the instrument transferring title (typically the certificate of title) are final. A, thereby providing the purchaser of property at a judicial sale with a title that is free and clear of interests and liens created between the recording of the lis pendens and the instrument transferring title pursuant to the judicial sale in the action.

This understanding is consistent with the language of § 48.23(1)(d), Fla. Stat., which provides in part, as follows:

... [T]he recording of such notice of lis pendens ..., constitutes a bar to the enforcement against the property described in the notice of all interests and liens, ... unrecorded at the time of recording the notice unless the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien intervenes in such proceedings within 30 days after the recording of the notice. If the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien does not intervene in the proceedings and if such proceedings are prosecuted to a judicial sale of the property described in the notice, the property shall be

forever discharged from all such unrecorded interests and liens. ... (emphasis added).

This provision of the lis pendens statute is the foundation for the following language found in Form 1.996(a) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure: "On filing the certificate of sale, defendant(s) and all persons claiming under or against defendant(s) since the filing of the notice of lis pendens shall be foreclosed."

Thousands of foreclosures are entered every year. The foreclosed real property is then sold at judicial sale and returned to productive use. Buyers, lenders and title insurers have acted on the understanding that any subordinate interest or lien joined in the action or created between the recording of the lis pendens and the instrument transferring title (typically a certificate of title) was foreclosed and barred from enforcement against the real property.

However, on August 24, 2016, the Fourth District Court of Appeal made a radical departure from common practice and held that the notice of lis pendens terminates when the time for appeal of the final judgment of foreclosure has passed. Thus, code enforcement liens, recorded after the final judgment of foreclosure and prior to the judicial sale were not discharged by the operation of the notice of lis pendens and remained an encumbrance on the real property foreclosed. <u>Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea</u>, 2016 WL 4468134 (Fla. 4th DCA Aug. 24, 2016), withdrawn, <u>Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea</u>, 2017 WL 361127 (Fla. 4th DCA Jan. 25, 2017).

The *Ober* court characterizes the contrary provisions of Form 1.996(a) as a "misstatement of the law" which should be modified to bring it into conformity with the statute and the prevailing practices in the courts. *Ober* at *2. In fact, the statute (as quoted above) and the prevailing practice is contrary to the interpretation of the *Ober* court.

On January 25, 2017, the Fourth District Court of Appeal granted rehearing and held that liens placed on property between the entry of a final judgment of foreclosure and a judicial sale are discharged by Section 48.23(1)(d), Florida Statutes. <u>Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea</u>, 2017 WL 361127 (Fla. 4th DCA Jan. 25, 2017).

The Court concluded that a proper reading of section 48.23(1)(d) when the proceeding is prosecuted to a judicial sale, the sale discharges all liens, whether recorded before the final judgment or after the final judgment. This conclusion is consistent with Form 1.996(a) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure which provides a form for foreclosure judgments which states, in pertinent part, the following:

On filing the certificate of sale, defendant(s) and all persons claiming under or against defendant(s) since the filing of the notice of lis pendens shall be foreclosed of all estate or claim in the property [...]

This ruling confirms that the effect of the lis pendens statute is a bar to enforcement against the property of all interest or liens, recorded or unrecorded, from the time of recording of the notice of lis pendens through the transfer of title, as a result of a judicial sale.

On February 7, 2017, the Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea filed a Motion for Certification of a question of great public importance to the Florida Supreme Court. On March 22, 2017, the

District Court of Appeal granted the Town's motion and certified the following question to the Florida Supreme Court:

Whether, pursuant to section 48.23(1)(d), Florida Statutes, the filing of a notice of lis pendens at the commencement of a bank's foreclosure action prevents a local government from exercising authority granted to it by Chapter 162, Florida Statutes, to enforce code violations existing on the foreclosed property after final foreclosure judgment, where the local government's interest or lien on the property arises after final judgment and did not exist within 30 days after the recording of the notice of lis pendens.

In light of the Ober case, clarification of the legislative intent as to the duration of a notice of lis pendens for proceedings involving a judicial sale is paramount. Confirming the current application of the lis pendens statute to effectively bar enforcement of intervening interests and liens, recorded or unrecorded, through the instrument transferring title pursuant to a judicially ordered sale, will avoid potential impairment of numerous real estate titles previously foreclosed throughout the state. Unless the decision in *Ober* (on rehearing) is codified, title will have to be examined to determine whether it is encumbered by interests or liens recorded after the time for appeal of the final judgment of foreclosure had passed and prior to the issuance of the instrument transferring title. Litigation will then ensue to determine the validity of those interests or liens. There will also be a delay in returning foreclosed properties to the market and a burden on the overall economic recovery of the State of Florida, creating a greater burden on property owners, lenders, as well as counties, municipalities and homeowners' associations.

Effect of the Proposed Change

The proposed legislation will clarify the existing law to provide that the notice of lis pendens filed and recorded in a proceeding prosecuted to a judicial sale, remains in effect, not only until the time for appeal of the final judgment has passed (typically 30 days) but until the issuance of the instrument transferring title is recorded. This will codify the widely understood meaning of the current statute.

The Florida Legislature, by acting quickly to clarify the statute, the proposed legislation can be applied by the courts to litigation which may be pending at the time the legislation becomes law.

In <u>Madison at SoHo II Condo. Ass 'n Inc. v. Devo Acquisition Ent., LLC.</u>, 198 So.3d 1111 (Fla. 2d DCA 2016) the court notes:

Florida courts have 'the right and the duty' to consider the legislature's recently enacted statute clarifying its intent in a prior version of a statute, which was passed soon after a controversy arose in the interpretation of that original, preamended statute ... *Id.* at *4 (citations omitted).

When the Florida legislature clarifies a statute, the amended statute can be used as a tool of statutory construction to guide the interpretation of the pre-amended version of the statute. *Id.* Thus, the proposed legislation will avoid the anticipated flood tide of litigation and the potential cost of discharging encumbrances which were understood to have been discharged by the prosecution of the foreclosure through a judicial sale.

It is recognized that an argument may be made that the current statutory language limits the effectiveness of the notice of lis pendens only through the issuance of the certificate of sale. The

current understanding and practice is to the contrary, that the protection of the notice of lis pendens for proceedings that require a judicial sale, extends until the issuance of the instrument transferring title is recorded.

B. Additional "Protected" Parties

Current Situation

Subsection (1)(b)(2) of the Lis Pendens statute provides that any person acquiring for value an <u>interest</u> in real property during the pendency of an action [affecting such property] ... shall take such <u>interest</u> exempt from all claims against the property that were filed in such action by the party who failed to record a notice of lis pendens or whose notice expired or was withdrawn or discharged.

Florida follows the lien theory (as opposed to the title theory) as to mortgages, therefore, a mortgage does not convey title or "create any interest in real property." <u>Southern Colonial Mort.</u> <u>Co., Inc. v. Medeiros</u>, 347 So. 2d 736, 738 (Fla. 4th DCA 1977). While it is likely that the Legislature intended to include those receiving a mortgage or other lien on the property among the persons protected by the statute, such parties are technically not protected.

Effect of the Proposed Change

The proposed change would incorporate the revision to §48.23(1)(b)2., which was previously approved by the RPPTL Section, which extends to those acquiring a lien on real property the protection from litigation against the property where no notice of lis pendens has been recorded, or has expired, or was withdrawn.

III. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

The proposal does not have any fiscal impact on state government. In 2013, the Florida Supreme Court held that code enforcement liens are not entitled to super-priority status and, therefore, such liens are subject to be eliminated by a foreclosure action. *City of Palm Bay v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 114 So. 3d 924 (Fla. 2013). The proposed clarification to §48.23(1)(d)1. is in concert with the *City of Palm Bay* holding and the current prevalent practice of barring the enforcement of liens recorded after the notice of lis pendens and prior to recording the instrument transferring title.

IV. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR

The proposal will reconfirm for both potential purchasers at judicial sales and those that purchase directly from the foreclosing lender that the title received is clear and marketable without encumbrances recorded in the gap period. By eliminating the risk of liens recorded in the gap between the final judgment and recording of the instrument transferring title, the otherwise anticipated flood tide of litigation will be avoided, saving lenders, purchasers and title insurers the expense of litigation. This will further preserve the marketability and value of foreclosed real properties, and the overall recovery of the Florida real estate market.

V. <u>CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES</u>

The clarification of the lis pendens statute is a tool of statutory construction that can be used to guide the interpretation of the pre-amended version of the statute. It is not the retroactive application of an amended

statute to existing litigation. Thus, it does not create constitutional concerns. <u>Madison at SoHo II Condo.</u> Ass'n, Inc., 2016 WL 4446527 at 4.

VI. OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

This proposal has been approved by the RPPTL Real Property Litigation Committee. Support is anticipated from the RPPTL Real property Finance & Lending; Real Property Problems Study, and Condominium & Planned Developments Committees.

The Ober case has captured the interest of several organizations. Concerned with the negative impact of the original Ober decision, the following organizations filed an Amicus Curiae Brief:

- ➤ The Florida Land Title Association ("FLTA")
- ➤ The Business Law Section of The Florida Bar ("BLS")
- ➤ The Florida Bankers Association ("FBA")
- ➤ The Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar ("RPPTL")
- ➤ The American Legal and Financial Network ("ALFN")

In support of the original Ober decision, the following local governments and organizations filed an Amicus Curiae Brief or an intent to do so:

- City of Coral Gables
- City of St. Petersburg
- > City of Tampa
- ➤ City of Miami
- ➤ Florida Association of County Attorneys
- ➤ The City, County and Local Government Section of The Florida Bar ("CCLG")
- ➤ Additional local governments and organizations may request to file Amicus Curiae Briefs in this matter at any time.

 $S:\Susan\docs\RPPTL\Legislative\ Support\Lis\ Pendens\April\ 2017\ Revision\Roundtable\ June\ 2017\48.23\ Lis\ Pendens\ White\ Paper.docx$

Last Saved 5/13/2017 10:08 PM: Last Printed 5/13/2017 10:08 PM

I ECICI ATIVE DOCITION

LEGISLATIVE POSITION		GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE	
REQUEST FOF	RM	Date Form Received	
	GENERAL INFO	RMATION	
Submitted By	Susan Spurgeon, Chair, Real Probate & Trust Law Section (RPPTL Approval Date	Property Litigation Committee of the Real Pro	perty
Address	Pennington, P.A., 2701 Rocky Telephone: (813) 639-9599	Point Dr., Suite 900, Tampa, FL 33607	
Position Type	Committee, RPPT (Florida Bar, section, division,	L Section, The Florida Bar committee or both)	
	CONTAC	TS	
Board & Legislation Committee Appearar	Westshore Blvd., Suite 10 527-3900 Email:smeze Peter M. Dunbar, Dean, 815, Tallahassee, FL 323 pdunbar@deanmead.cor Martha J. Edenfield, , De	Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street, 301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100 Email: m ean, Mead & Dunbar, P.A., 215 S. Monroe StFL 32301, Telephone: (850) 999-4100	, Suite
Appearances Before Legis <u>lators</u> Meetings with Legislators/staff	(SAME)	having face to face contact with Legislators) having face to face contact with Legislators)	
	PROPOSED AD	VOCACY	
Governors via this recommittee bill (PCB)	luest form. All proposed legislation	essistance should be presented to the Board of that has <i>not</i> been filed as a bill or a propose in legislative format - Standing Board Policy estions.	
List the Following	(Bill or PCB #)	(Bill or PCB Sponsor)	
Indicate Position	Support X Oppose	Tech Asst Other	

Proposed Wording of Position for Official Publication:

Support legislation which will clarify § 48.23(1)(d) to preserve the widely understood interpretation of the lis pendens statute that, in proceedings involving a judicial sale, a valid recorded notice lis pendens remains in effect through the recording of an instrument transferring title pursuant to the judicial sale, in order to eliminate intervening subordinate interests or liens; and will incorporate the revision to §48.23(1)(b)2. previously approved by the RPPTL Section, which extends certain protections to lienholders (as well as those having an interest in the real property).

Reasons For Proposed Advocacy:

The Legislation will clarify and codify that a notice of lis pendens remains in effect through the recording of the instrument which transfers title pursuant to a judicial sale, eliminating uncertainty as to the duration of a lis pendens in foreclosure cases and other actions culminating in judicial sales; and incorporate the revisions to §48.23(1)(b)2., previously approved by the RPPTL Section, which extends certain protections to lienholders (as well as those having an interest in the real property).

Please indicate any prior Bar or section positions on this issue to include opposing positions. Contact the Governmental Affairs office if assistance is needed in completing this portion of the request form.			
Most Recent Position	RPPTL as to the proposed revision	1 to §48.23(1)(b)2. Support	2016
•	(Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)
Others (May attach list if more than one)	None	(Cupport or Oppose)	(Data)
	(Indicate Bar or Name Section)	(Support or Oppose)	(Date)

PRIOR POSITIONS TAKEN ON THIS ISSUE

REFERRALS TO OTHER SECTIONS, COMMITTEES OR LEGAL ORGANIZATIONS

The Legislation Committee and Board of Governors do not typically consider requests for action on a legislative position in the absence of responses from all potentially affected Bar groups or legal organizations - Standing Board Policy 9.50(c). Please include all responses with this request form.

Referrals

In light of the immediacy of the need to advance this pro- referred to other Bar sections, committees or attorney o	
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position)
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position)
(Name of Group or Organization)	(Support, Oppose or No Position)

Please submit completed Legislative Position Request Form, along with attachments, to the Governmental Affairs Office of The Florida Bar. Upon receipt, staff will further coordinate the scheduling for final Bar action of your request which usually involves separate appearances before the Legislation Committee and the Board of Governors unless otherwise advised. For information or assistance, please telephone (904) 561-5662 or 800-342-8060, extension 5662.

S:\Susan\docs\RPPTL\Legislative Support\2017 Legislative Support\Lis Pendens\April 2017 Revision\Roundtable June 2017\Legislative Position Request Lis Pendens (1).docx

Last Saved 5/13/2017 5:52 PM; Last Printed 4/20/2017 11:00 AM

TIMETABLE

December 21, 2016	Senate Bill 206 Filed by Senator Passidomo
January 18, 2017	House Bill 277 Filed by Representative Jamie Grant
January 26, 2017	CS for SB 206 by Senate Judiciary Subcommittee • Deletes the definition of "Certified paper original" and references thereto • Deletes the section stating the legislative intent • Requiring the testator be in the room with the notary or witnesses • Allowing for deposit of the electronic will with the clerk before death and providing for the transfer of an electronic record to successor QC • Mandating that the QC provide copies of the record to the testator upon request • Providing method for probate of electronic wills that are not self-proved
March 15, 2017	CS/HB 277 by House Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee • Rep. Diamond's amendment that deletes remote witnessing and DPOAs
April 19, 2017	CS for CS for SB 206 by Senate Banking and Insurance Subcommittee • Eliminating earlier amended language requiring testator be in the same room with witnesses or notary • Deleting the option to deposit with the clerk • Added vulnerable adults language • Added video/transmission regulations requirement that the live fee not be • Added requirement that an attorney or notary be one of the witnesses • Added questions required to be asked of testators • Added requirement for online access to the video and documents • Requiring that either the testator, witnesses or notary be physically present in the State of Florida • Adding in electronic revocable trusts
April 21, 2017	CS/CS/HB 277 by House Judiciary Subcommittee • Added regulation of qualified custodians to include bonding and insurance, as well as a allowing the FL Attorney General to act as receiver for a failed QC
April 26, 2017	CS for CS for CS SB 206 by Senate Rules Subcommittee • Providing that electronic wills cannot be revoked in the same

	manner as other wills	
	 Deleting DPOAs 	
	 Providing a presumption of proper execution based on 	
	certification	
	Added Trust Law Bill	
April 27, 2017	Ordered Engrossed on Senate Floor	
April 28, 2017	CS/CS/HB 277 Passed out of the House and sent to Senate in	
	Messages	
April 28, 2017	On the Senate Floor CS/CS/for HB 277 is substituted for SB 206 and	
	Senate SB 206 is laid on table and then a strike-all amendment of	
	CS/CS/HB 277 is made and the text from SB 206 is amended into the	
	HB 277 sent back to the House	
May 4, 2017	CS/CS/HB 277 is picked up into messages. The House makes 2	
	amendments:	
	 Delayed effective date until April 2018 	
	Contractual venue provisions unenforceable	
	Bill is then passed out of House and sent back to the Senate in	
	messaging.	
May 5, 2017	Senate Concurs	
May 12, 2017	CS/CS/HB 277 Ordered Engrossed	
May 12, 2017	CS/CS/HB 277 Ordered Enrolled	
Pending	Signed by Officers and Presented to the Governor	

Senate Bill 206 As Filed

By Senator Passidomo

28-00132A-17

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2.3

24 25

2.6

27

28

29

30

31

32

2017206

A bill to be entitled An act relating to electronic wills; amending s. 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 732.506, F.S.; excepting electronic wills from revocation provisions; creating s. 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 732.522, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 732.523, F.S.; providing a statement of legislative intent and purpose; creating s. 732.524, F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the preparation and execution of electronic wills; providing the extent to which electronic wills are subject to other statutory requirements relating to execution of a will; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; providing that electronic wills may be made self-proved at the time of execution; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; requiring a qualified custodian to store an electronic will in an electronic record; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; specifying the circumstances under which a person is deemed to be in the presence of another; providing requirements for certain documents to be deemed executed in this state; creating s. 732.527, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will that is properly executed in this or another state, or a certified paper original of such properly executed electronic will, to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the probate of such electronic wills or certified paper originals; providing that a certified paper original of a self-proved electronic will is presumed to be valid; creating s. 732.528, F.S.; specifying

Page 1 of 13

28-00132A-17 2017206

requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to, information concerning, or the certified paper original of the electronic will only to specified persons; authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy an electronic record subject to specified conditions; providing for cessation of service of a qualified custodian; requiring that a qualified custodian who elects to cease serving in such capacity provide written notice to the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents to specified persons when he or she ceases to serve in such capacity; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; creating s. 732.529, F.S.; providing that a certified paper original must be delivered to specified persons with an affidavit of the qualified custodian or the persons who discovered the electronic will and reduced it to paper; providing requirements for such affidavits; providing an effective date.

5455

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

4344

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

56 57

58

59

60

61

Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise

28-00132A-17 2017206 62 requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 63 738, 739, and 744, the term: 64 (40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, 65 executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, 66 which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her 67 death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a 68 personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 69 70 732.522. 71 Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended to 72 read: 73 732.506 Revocation by act.—A will or codicil, other than an 74 electronic will, is revoked by the testator, or some other 75 person in the testator's presence and at the testator's 76 direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing, 77 obliterating, or destroying it with the intent, and for the 78 purpose, of revocation. 79 Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created to 80 read: 81 732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.529 may be cited 82 as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act." 83 Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created to 84 read: 85 732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.529, the 86 term: 87 (1) "Certified paper original" means a tangible document 88 that contains the text of an electronic will, including a self-89 proving affidavit concerning that will if applicable.

(2) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated,

. 93

28-00132A-17 2017206

sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.

- (3) "Electronic signature" means an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with a record and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the record.
- (4) "Electronic will" means an instrument, including a codicil, executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this act which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that merely appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another will or electronic will.
- (5) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the requirements of s. 732.528(1).
- Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 732.523 Statement of legislative intent and purpose.—The Legislature intends that this act be liberally construed and applied to promote the following purposes and policies:
- (1) To facilitate and expand access to individuals' right to testamentary freedom of disposition.
- (2) To facilitate end-of-life planning for individuals and families, particularly members of vulnerable or marginalized groups and those for whom end-of-life planning services are often unaffordable, unavailable, or otherwise inaccessible.
- (3) To facilitate the use and enforcement of established and widely used technology in memorializing and accomplishing the intent and wishes of a decedent with regard to the distribution of his or her real and personal property.
 - (4) To simplify and clarify the law concerning the affairs

28-00132A-17 2017206 120 of decedents. 121 (5) To discover and make effective the intent of a decedent 122 with respect to the distribution of his or her real and personal 123 property. 124 (6) To promote a speedy and efficient system for the 125 settlement and distribution of estates. 126 (7) To harmonize the law of wills with other laws that recognize the legal and functional equivalence of electronic and 127 128 paper signatures and transactions. 129 Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created to 130 read: 131 732.524 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502: 132 (1) An electronic will must: 133 (a) Exist in an electronic record. 134 (b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the 135 presence of either a notary public or at least two attesting 136 witnesses. 137 (c) Be electronically signed by the notary public or both 138 of the attesting witnesses in the presence of the testator and, 139 in the case of the witnesses, in the presence of each other. If 140 it is electronically signed by a notary public, the signature 141 must be accompanied by a notary public seal that meets the 142 requirements of s. 117.021(3). 143 (2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all questions 144 as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation of an 145 electronic will that complies with this section must be 146 determined in the same manner as in the case of a will formally 147 executed in accordance with s. 732.502.

Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created to

28-00132A-17

2017206

149 read: 150 732.525 Self-proof of electronic will.—An attested 151 electronic will is self-proved if all of the following 152 requirements are met: 153 (1) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the 154 testator and the affidavits of the witnesses must be made in 155 accordance with s. 732.503 and included in the electronic 156 record. 157 (2) The electronic will must designate a qualified 158 custodian to control the electronic record of the electronic 159 will. 160 (3) The electronic will at all times must have been under 161 the control of a qualified custodian before being reduced to the 162 certified paper original that is sought to be probated. 163 Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created to 164 read: 165 732.526 Method and place of execution.—For purposes of this 166 act, the execution and filing of a document with the court as 167 provided in this act or the Florida Probate Rules, and the 168 execution of a durable power of attorney under s. 709.2105 and a 169 living will under s. 765.302: 170 (1) An individual is deemed to be in the presence of 171 another individual if the individuals are either: 172 (a) In the same physical location; or 173 (b) In different physical locations, but can communicate 174 with each other by means of live video and audio conference. 175 (2) Any requirement that a document be signed may be 176 satisfied by an electronic signature. 177 (3) A document is deemed to be executed in this state if

180

181182

183

184

185

186

187

188189

192

193

194

195

196197

198

199 200

201

202

203

204205

206

28-00132A-17 2017206

178 all of the following requirements are met:

- (a) The document states that the person creating the document intends to execute and understands that he or she is executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this state.
- (b) The document provides that its validity, interpretation, and effect are governed by the laws of this state.
- (c) The attesting witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures are obtained in the execution of the document are physically located within this state at the time the document is executed.
- (d) In the case of an electronic will, the electronic will designates a qualified custodian.
 - Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.527 Probate.-

- in another state in accordance with the laws of that state or of this state may be offered for and admitted to original probate in this state and is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The venue for the probate of electronic wills is as provided in s. 733.101(1) or, in the case of the electronic will of a nonresident, may be the county in which the qualified custodian or attorney for the petitioner or personal representative has his or her domicile or registered office.
- (2) A certified paper original of the electronic will may be offered for and admitted to probate.
 - (3) A certified paper original of a self-proved electronic

28-00132A-17 2017206 207 will is presumed to be valid. 208 Section 10. Section 732.528, Florida Statutes, is created 209 to read: 210 732.528 Qualified custodians:-211 (1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic 212 will, a person must: (a) Not be an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201, of 213 214 the testator. 215 (b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be 216 incorporated or organized in this state. 217 (c) Consistently employ a system for ensuring the safekeeping of electronic records. 218 219 (d) Create and store in the electronic record of any given 220 electronic will all of the following concerning such electronic 221 will: 222 1. A photograph or other visual record of the testator and the attesting witnesses, if any, taken by the qualified 223 224 custodian at the time the electronic will is executed. 225 2. A photocopy, photograph, facsimile, or other visual 226 record of a document provided to the qualified custodian at the 227 time the electronic will is executed which establishes the 228 testator's identity, including without limitation any of the 229 forms of identification set forth in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-i. 230 3. If there are attesting witnesses to the electronic will, 231 a photocopy, photograph, facsimile, or other visual record of a 232 document provided by the qualified custodian at the time the 233 electronic will is executed which provides reasonable proof of

each attesting witness' identity, including any of the forms of

identification specified in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-i.

2.62

28-00132A-17 2017206

4. An audio and video recording of the testator and the attesting witnesses or notary public electronically signing the electronic will as provided in s. 732.524(1)(c).

- (e) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and practices related to the creation, sending, communication, receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic wills.
- (2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall provide access to, information concerning, or the certified paper original of the electronic will only to the testator and such other persons as directed by the written instructions of the testator, and, after the testator's death, any interested person, upon request.
- (3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any of the documentation required to be created and stored under paragraph (1)(d), at any time after:
- (a) The 5th anniversary of the admission of the will of the testator to probate.
 - (b) The 10th anniversary of the testator's death.
- (c) The 100th anniversary of the execution of the electronic will.
- (4) A qualified custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to cease serving in such capacity by:
 - (a)1. If the outgoing qualified custodian is not

28-00132A-17

2017206

265 designating a successor qualified custodian, providing 30 days' 266 written notice to the testator, if then living, or, after the 267 death of the testator, to the testator's duly appointed personal 268 representative or an interested person that he or she has 269 elected to cease serving as a qualified custodian; and 2. Delivering the certified paper original of, and all 270 271 records concerning, the electronic will to the testator, if then 272 living, or, after the death of the testator, to the personal 273 representative or such interested person; or 274 (b) 1. If the outgoing qualified custodian is designating a 275 successor qualified custodian, providing 30 days' written notice 276 to the testator's duly appointed personal representative and to 277 a successor qualified custodian designated by the outgoing 278 qualified custodian that the outgoing qualified custodian of the 279 electronic will has elected to cease serving in such capacity to 280 the testator, if then living, or, after the death of the 281 testator; 282 2. Delivering the electronic record of the electronic will 283 to the successor qualified custodian; and 284 3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an 285 affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that: 286 a. The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as a 287 qualified custodian in this state; 288 b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified 289 custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or 290 appointed to act in such capacity under paragraph (4)(b); 291 c. An electronic record was created at the time the 292 testator made the electronic will; 293 d. The electronic record has been in the control of one or

28-00132A-17

2017206

294 more qualified custodians since the time the electronic record 295 was created, and identifying such qualified custodians; and 296 e. To the best of his, her, or its knowledge, the 297 electronic record has not been altered since the time it was 298 created. 299 300 For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified 301 custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a 302 predecessor qualified custodian in connection with his or her 303 designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all 304 such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified 305 custodian. 306 (5) Upon the written request of the testator, a qualified 307 custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of the 308 testator's electronic will must cease serving in such capacity 309 and must deliver to a successor qualified custodian designated 310 in writing by the testator the electronic record and the 311 affidavit required in subparagraph (4)(b)3. 312 (6) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a 313 qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she 314 agrees in writing to serve in such capacity. 315 (7) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit of 316 a duly authorized officer or agent of such entity shall 317 constitute the affidavit of the qualified custodian. 318 Section 11. Section 732.529, Florida Statutes, is created 319 to read: 320 732.529 Affidavit for certified paper original.—A certified 321 paper original delivered under s. 732.527(2) must be accompanied 322 by an affidavit that satisfies the following requirements:

	28-00132A-17 2017206
323	(1) If the electronic will has always been under the
324	control of a qualified custodian, the qualified custodian shall
325	state in an affidavit that:
326	(a) The qualified custodian is eligible to act as a
327	qualified custodian in this state;
328	(b) The qualified custodian is the qualified custodian
329	designated by the testator in the electronic will or appointed
330	to act in such capacity under s. 732.528(4)(b);
331	(c) An electronic record was created at the time the
332	testator made the electronic will;
333	(d) The electronic record has been in the control of one or
334	more qualified custodians since its creation, and the identity
335	of such qualified custodians;
336	(e) To the best of his, her, or its knowledge, the
337	electronic record has not been altered since its creation;
338	(f) The certified paper original is a true, correct, and
339	complete tangible manifestation of the electronic will; and
340	(g) The qualified custodian has in its custody the records
341	required under s. 732.528(1)(d).
342	(2) If the electronic will has not always been under the
343	control of a qualified custodian, the person who discovered the
344	electronic will and the person who reduced the electronic will
345	to paper shall each state in an affidavit to the best of their
346	knowledge:
347	(a) When the electronic will was created, if not indicated
348	in the electronic will itself;
349	(b) When and how the electronic will was discovered, and by
350	whom;
351	(c) All of the people who had access to the electronic

28-00132A-17 2017206_
will;
(d) The method in which the electronic will was stored and
what safeguards were in place to prevent alterations to the
electronic will;
(e) Whether the electronic will has been altered since its
creation; and
(f) That the certified paper original is a true, correct,
and complete tangible manifestation of the electronic will.
Section 12. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

HB 277

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

A bill to be entitled An act relating to electronic wills; amending s. 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 732.506, F.S.; excepting electronic wills from revocation provisions; creating s. 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 732.522, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 732.523, F.S.; providing a statement of legislative intent and purpose; creating s. 732.524, F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the preparation and execution of electronic wills; providing the extent to which electronic wills are subject to other statutory requirements relating to execution of a will; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; providing that electronic wills may be made self-proved at the time of execution; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; requiring a qualified custodian to store an electronic will in an electronic record; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; specifying the circumstances under which a person is deemed to be in the presence of another; providing requirements for certain documents to be deemed executed in this state; creating s. 732.527, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will that is properly executed in this or another state, or a

Page 1 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2.6

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

certified paper original of such properly executed electronic will, to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the probate of such electronic wills or certified paper originals; providing that a certified paper original of a self-proved electronic will is presumed to be valid; creating s. 732.528, F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to, information concerning, or the certified paper original of the electronic will only to specified persons; authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy an electronic record subject to specified conditions; providing for cessation of service of a qualified custodian; requiring that a qualified custodian who elects to cease serving in such capacity provide written notice to the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents to specified persons when he or she ceases to serve in such capacity; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; creating s. 732.529, F.S.; providing that a certified paper original must be delivered to specified persons with an affidavit of the qualified custodian or the

Page 2 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

persons who discovered the electronic will and reduced it to paper; providing requirements for such affidavits; providing an effective date.

55 56

57

58

64 65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74 75

51

52

53

54

Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 738, 739, and 744, the term:

(40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 732.522.

132.322.

Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

732.506 Revocation by act.—A will or codicil, other than an electronic will, is revoked by the testator, or some other person in the testator's presence and at the testator's

Page 3 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing, obliterating, or destroying it with the intent, and for the purpose, of revocation.

Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.529 may be cited as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act."

Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.529, the term:

- (1) "Certified paper original" means a tangible document that contains the text of an electronic will, including a self-proving affidavit concerning that will if applicable.
- (2) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated, sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.
- (3) "Electronic signature" means an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with a record and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the record.
- (4) "Electronic will" means an instrument, including a codicil, executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this act which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that merely appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another will or

Page 4 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101	electronic will.
102	(5) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the
103	requirements of s. 732.528(1).
104	Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created
105	to read:
106	732.523 Statement of legislative intent and purposeThe
107	Legislature intends that this act be liberally construed and
108	applied to promote the following purposes and policies:
109	(1) To facilitate and expand access to individuals' right
110	to testamentary freedom of disposition.
111	(2) To facilitate end-of-life planning for individuals and
112	families, particularly members of vulnerable or marginalized
113	groups and those for whom end-of-life planning services are
114	often unaffordable, unavailable, or otherwise inaccessible.
115	(3) To facilitate the use and enforcement of established
116	and widely used technology in memorializing and accomplishing
117	the intent and wishes of a decedent with regard to the
118	distribution of his or her real and personal property.
119	(4) To simplify and clarify the law concerning the affairs
120	of decedents.
121	(5) To discover and make effective the intent of a
122	decedent with respect to the distribution of his or her real and
123	personal property.
124	(6) To promote a speedy and efficient system for the
125	settlement and distribution of estates.

Page 5 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

L26	(7) To harmonize the law of wills with other laws that
L27	recognize the legal and functional equivalence of electronic and
L28	paper signatures and transactions.
L29	Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created
130	to read:
L31	732.524 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502:
L32	(1) An electronic will must:
L33	(a) Exist in an electronic record.
L34	(b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the
L35	presence of either a notary public or at least two attesting
L36	witnesses.
L37	(c) Be electronically signed by the notary public or both
L38	of the attesting witnesses in the presence of the testator and,
L39	in the case of the witnesses, in the presence of each other. If
L40	it is electronically signed by a notary public, the signature
L41	must be accompanied by a notary public seal that meets the
L42	requirements of s. 117.021(3).
L43	(2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all
L44	questions as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation
L45	of an electronic will that complies with this section must be
L46	determined in the same manner as in the case of a will formally
1.47	executed in accordance with s. 732.502.
148	Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created
149	to read:
150	732.525 Self-proof of electronic will.—An attested

Page 6 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

151	electronic will is self-proved if all of the following
152	requirements are met:
153	(1) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the
154	testator and the affidavits of the witnesses must be made in
155	accordance with s. 732.503 and included in the electronic
156	record.
157	(2) The electronic will must designate a qualified
158	custodian to control the electronic record of the electronic
159	will.
160	(3) The electronic will at all times must have been under
161	the control of a qualified custodian before being reduced to the
162	certified paper original that is sought to be probated.
163	Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created
164	to read:
165	732.526 Method and place of execution For purposes of
166	this act, the execution and filing of a document with the court
167	as provided in this act or the Florida Probate Rules, and the
168	execution of a durable power of attorney under s. 709.2105 and a
169	living will under s. 765.302:
170	(1) An individual is deemed to be in the presence of
171	another individual if the individuals are either:
172	(a) In the same physical location; or
173	(b) In different physical locations, but can communicate
174	with each other by means of live video and audio conference.
175	(2) Any requirement that a document be signed may be

Page 7 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

176	satisfied by an electronic signature.
177	(3) A document is deemed to be executed in this state if
178	all of the following requirements are met:
179	(a) The document states that the person creating the
180	document intends to execute and understands that he or she is
181	executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this
182	state.
183	(b) The document provides that its validity,
184	interpretation, and effect are governed by the laws of this
185	state.
186	(c) The attesting witnesses or Florida notary public whose
187	electronic signatures are obtained in the execution of the
188	document are physically located within this state at the time
189	the document is executed.
190	(d) In the case of an electronic will, the electronic will
191	designates a qualified custodian.
192	Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created
193	to read:
194	732.527 Probate.—
195	(1) An electronic will that is executed or deemed executed

Page 8 of 15

in another state in accordance with the laws of that state or of

this state may be offered for and admitted to original probate in this state and is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts

of this state. The venue for the probate of electronic wills is

as provided in s. 733.101(1) or, in the case of the electronic

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

196

197

198199

200

201	will of a nonresident, may be the county in which the qualified
202	custodian or attorney for the petitioner or personal
203	representative has his or her domicile or registered office.
204	(2) A certified paper original of the electronic will may
205	be offered for and admitted to probate.
206	(3) A certified paper original of a self-proved electronic
207	will is presumed to be valid.
208	Section 10. Section 732.528, Florida Statutes, is created
209	to read:
210	732.528 Qualified custodians.—
211	(1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic
212	will, a person must:
213	(a) Not be an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201,
214	of the testator.
215	(b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be
216	incorporated or organized in this state.
217	(c) Consistently employ a system for ensuring the
218	safekeeping of electronic records.
219	(d) Create and store in the electronic record of any given
220	electronic will all of the following concerning such electronic
221	will:
222	1. A photograph or other visual record of the testator and
223	the attesting witnesses, if any, taken by the qualified
224	custodian at the time the electronic will is executed.
225	2. A photocopy, photograph, facsimile, or other visual

Page 9 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

record of a document provided to the qualified custodian at the time the electronic will is executed which establishes the testator's identity, including without limitation any of the forms of identification set forth in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-i.

- 3. If there are attesting witnesses to the electronic will, a photocopy, photograph, facsimile, or other visual record of a document provided by the qualified custodian at the time the electronic will is executed which provides reasonable proof of each attesting witness' identity, including any of the forms of identification specified in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-i.
- 4. An audio and video recording of the testator and the attesting witnesses or notary public electronically signing the electronic will as provided in s. 732.524(1)(c).
- (e) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and practices related to the creation, sending, communication, receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic wills.
- (2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall provide access to, information concerning, or the certified paper original of the electronic will only to the testator and such other persons as directed by the written instructions of the testator, and, after the testator's death, any interested

Page 10 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

251	person, upon request.
252	(3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an
253	electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any
254	of the documentation required to be created and stored under

the testator to probate.

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

- of the documentation required to be created and stored under paragraph (1)(d), at any time after:

 (a) The 5th anniversary of the admission of the will of
 - (b) The 10th anniversary of the testator's death.
- (c) The 100th anniversary of the execution of the electronic will.
- (4) A qualified custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to cease serving in such capacity by:
- (a) 1. If the outgoing qualified custodian is not designating a successor qualified custodian, providing 30 days' written notice to the testator, if then living, or, after the death of the testator, to the testator's duly appointed personal representative or an interested person that he or she has elected to cease serving as a qualified custodian; and
- 2. Delivering the certified paper original of, and all records concerning, the electronic will to the testator, if then living, or, after the death of the testator, to the personal representative or such interested person; or
- (b)1. If the outgoing qualified custodian is designating a successor qualified custodian, providing 30 days' written notice

Page 11 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299300

to the testator's duly appointed personal representative and to a successor qualified custodian designated by the outgoing qualified custodian that the outgoing qualified custodian of the electronic will has elected to cease serving in such capacity to the testator, if then living, or, after the death of the testator; 2. Delivering the electronic record of the electronic will to the successor qualified custodian; and 3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that: The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as a qualified custodian in this state; b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or appointed to act in such capacity under paragraph (4)(b); c. An electronic record was created at the time the testator made the electronic will; The electronic record has been in the control of one or more qualified custodians since the time the electronic record was created, and identifying such qualified custodians; and To the best of his, her, or its knowledge, the electronic record has not been altered since the time it was created.

Page 12 of 15

For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a predecessor qualified custodian in connection with his or her designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified custodian.

(5) Upon the written request of the testator, a qualified custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of the

- (5) Upon the written request of the testator, a qualified custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of the testator's electronic will must cease serving in such capacity and must deliver to a successor qualified custodian designated in writing by the testator the electronic record and the affidavit required in subparagraph (4)(b)3.
- (6) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she agrees in writing to serve in such capacity.
- (7) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit of a duly authorized officer or agent of such entity shall constitute the affidavit of the qualified custodian.
- Section 11. Section 732.529, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 732.529 Affidavit for certified paper original.—A certified paper original delivered under s. 732.527(2) must be accompanied by an affidavit that satisfies the following requirements:
- (1) If the electronic will has always been under the control of a qualified custodian, the qualified custodian shall

Page 13 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

326	state in an affidavit that:
327	(a) The qualified custodian is eligible to act as a
328	qualified custodian in this state;
329	(b) The qualified custodian is the qualified custodian
330	designated by the testator in the electronic will or appointed
331	to act in such capacity under s. 732.528(4)(b);
332	(c) An electronic record was created at the time the
333	testator made the electronic will;
334	(d) The electronic record has been in the control of one
335	or more qualified custodians since its creation, and the
336	identity of such qualified custodians;
337	(e) To the best of his, her, or its knowledge, the
338	electronic record has not been altered since its creation;
339	(f) The certified paper original is a true, correct, and
340	complete tangible manifestation of the electronic will; and
341	(g) The qualified custodian has in its custody the records
342	required under s. 732.528(1)(d).
343	(2) If the electronic will has not always been under the
344	control of a qualified custodian, the person who discovered the
345	electronic will and the person who reduced the electronic will
346	to paper shall each state in an affidavit to the best of their
347	knowledge:
348	(a) When the electronic will was created, if not indicated
349	in the electronic will itself;
350	(b) When and how the electronic will was discovered, and

Page 14 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

351	by whom;
352	(c) All of the people who had access to the electronic
353	will;
354	(d) The method in which the electronic will was stored and
355	what safeguards were in place to prevent alterations to the
356	electronic will;
357	(e) Whether the electronic will has been altered since its
358	creation; and
359	(f) That the certified paper original is a true, correct,
360	and complete tangible manifestation of the electronic will.
361	Section 12. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Page 15 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS for SB 206

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

By the Committee on Judiciary; and Senator Passidomo 590-00962-17

2017206c1

A bill to be entitled An act relating to electronic wills; amending s. 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 732.506, F.S.; excepting electronic wills from revocation provisions; creating s. 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 732.522, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 732.523, F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the execution of electronic wills; creating s. 732.524, F.S.; providing that electronic wills may be made selfproved at the time of execution; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; specifying the circumstances under which a person is deemed to be in the presence of another; providing that an electronic signature satisfies the requirement that a document be signed; providing requirements for certain document's to be deemed executed in this state; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will that is properly executed in this or another state to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the probate of such electronic will; creating s. 732.527, F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to or information concerning the electronic will or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only to specified persons; authorizing the qualified custodian to deposit an electronic will with the clerk of court; authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy the electronic record of an electronic will after a

590-00962-17 2017206c1

certain date; providing for cessation of service of a qualified custodian; requiring that a qualified custodian who elects to cease serving in such capacity provide written notice to the testator under certain circumstances; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents to specified persons when he or she ceases to serve in such capacity; requiring a qualified custodian to cease serving in such capacity under certain circumstances; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; specifying what constitutes an affidavit of the qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents upon request from a testator; providing that a qualified is liable for certain damages under certain circumstances; requiring a qualified custodian to keep certain information confidential; amending s. 733.201, F.S.; providing for the proof of electronic wills; providing requirements for admitting an electronic will that is not self-proved into probate; providing that a paper copy of an electronic will constitutes an "original" of the electronic will subject to certain conditions; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

575859

33

34

35

36

37

38

3940

41

42

43

44

4546

47

48 49

50 51

5253

54

55 56

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

60 61

Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida

590-00962-17 2017206c1

Statutes, is amended to read:

731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 738, 739, and 744, the term:

(40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 732.522.

Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

732.506 Revocation by act.—A will or codicil, other than an electronic will, is revoked by the testator, or some other person in the testator's presence and at the testator's direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing, obliterating, or destroying it with the intent, and for the purpose, of revocation.

Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.527 may be cited as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act."

Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.527, the term:

590-00962-17 2017206c1

(1) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated, sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.

- (2) "Electronic signature" means an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with a record and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the record.
- (3) "Electronic will" means a will, including a codicil, executed in accordance with s. 732.523 by a person in the manner prescribed by this act, which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another will or electronic will.
- (4) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the requirements of s. 732.527(1).
- Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
 - 732.523 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502:
 - (1) An electronic will must:
 - (a) Exist in an electronic record.
- (b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the presence of a notary public who is, or at least two attesting witnesses who are, in the same room as the testator.
- (c) Be electronically signed by the notary public and the two attesting witnesses in the presence of the testator and, in the case of the witnesses, in the presence of each other. The notary public's signature must be accompanied by a notary public seal that meets the requirements of s. 117.021(3).
- (2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all questions as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation of an

590-00962-17

2017206c1

120 electronic will that complies with this section must be 121 determined in the same manner as in the case of a will executed 122 in accordance with s. 732.502. 123 Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created to 124 read: 125 732.524 Self-proof of electronic will.—An electronic will 126 is self-proved if all of the following requirements are met: 127 (1) The electronic will is executed in conformity with this 128 act. 129 (2) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the 130 testator and the affidavits of the witnesses are made in 131 accordance with s. 732.503 and are part of the electronic record 132 containing the electronic will, or are attached to, or are 133 logically associated with, the electronic will. 134 (3) (a) The electronic will is deposited with the clerk 135 before the death of the testator in accordance with s. 732.901 136 with a certification signed by the testator confirming that the 137 electronic will is a valid will of the testator; or 138 (b) 1. The electronic will designates a qualified custodian; 139 and 2. The qualified custodian certifies under oath that to its 140 141 best knowledge the electronic will was at all times under the 142 control of a qualified custodian before being offered to the 143 court and that the electronic will has not be altered in any way 144 since the date of its execution. 145 Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created to 146 read: 147 732.525 Method and place of execution.—For purposes of this 148 act, the execution and filing of a document with the court as

590-00962-17 2017206c1

provided in this act or the Florida Probate Rules, the execution
of a durable power of attorney under s. 709.2105, and the
execution of a living will under s. 765.302:

- (1) An individual is deemed to be in the presence of another individual if the individuals are either:
 - (a) In the same physical location; or
- (b) In different physical locations, but can communicate with each other by means of live video and audio conference, provided that a video transcript of the execution of the document is recorded and stored in, or attached to or logically associated with, the electronic record of the document.
- (2) Any requirement that a document be signed may be satisfied by an electronic signature.
- (3) A document that is signed electronically is deemed to be executed in this state if any one of the following requirements is met:
- (a) The person creating the document states that he or she intends to execute and understands that he or she is executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this state.
- (b) The person creating the document is, or the attesting witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures are obtained in the execution of the document are, physically located within this state at the time the document is executed.
- (c) In the case of a self-proved electronic will, the electronic will designates a qualified custodian who is domiciled in and a resident of this state or incorporated or organized in this state.

Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

590-00962-17 2017206c1

732.526 Probate.—An electronic will that is executed or deemed executed in another state in accordance with the laws of that state or of this state may be offered for and admitted to original probate in this state and is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The venue for the probate of electronic wills is as provided in s. 733.101(1) or, in the case of the electronic will of a nonresident, may be the county in which the qualified custodian or attorney for the petitioner or personal representative has his or her domicile or registered office.

Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.527 Qualified custodians.

- (1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic will, a person must:
- (a) Not be an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201, of the testator;
- (b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be incorporated or organized in this state;
- (c) Consistently employ a system for ensuring the safekeeping of electronic records and store electronic records containing electronic wills under such system; and
- (d) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and practices related to the creation, sending, communication, receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic wills.

590-00962-17 2017206c1

(2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic will and the electronic record containing the electronic will, only to the testator and such other persons as directed by the written instructions of the testator. A qualified custodian may also deposit the electronic will with the clerk by complying and in accordance with s. 732.901.

- (3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any of the documentation required to be created and stored under paragraph (1)(d), at any time after the 5th anniversary of the admission of the will of the testator to probate.
- (4) A qualified custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to cease serving in such capacity by:
- (a) Delivering the electronic will or the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator, if then living, or, after the death of the testator, to the personal representative;
- (b) Depositing the electronic will, including an acknowledgement of affidavits made in accordance with s. 732.503, with the clerk after complying with s. 732.901; or
- (c)1. If the outgoing qualified custodian intends to designate a successor qualified custodian, providing written notice to the testator or, after the testator's death, the testator's nominated personal representative of the name, address, and qualifications of the proposed successor qualified custodian. The testator or a testator's nominated personal representative must provide written consent before the

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253 254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

590-00962-17 2017206c1 electronic record, including the electronic will, is delivered to a successor qualified custodian; 2. Delivering the electronic record containing the electronic will, to the successor qualified custodian; and 3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that: a. The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as a qualified custodian in this state; b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or appointed to act in such capacity under paragraph (4)(c); c. The electronic will has been in the control of one or more qualified custodians since the time the electronic record was created, and identifying such qualified custodians; and d. To the best of the qualified custodian's knowledge, the electronic will has not been altered since the time it was created. For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a predecessor qualified custodian in connection with its designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified custodian. (5) Upon the written request of the testator, a qualified custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of the testator's electronic will must cease serving in such capacity and must deliver to a successor qualified custodian designated

in writing by the testator the electronic will and the affidavit

266

267

268

269270

271

272

273274

275276

277

278

279

280281

282

283

284285

286

287

288289

290

293

590-00962-17 2017206c1

required in this subparagraph (4)(c)3.

(6) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she agrees in writing to serve in such capacity.

- (7) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit of a duly authorized officer or agent of such entity shall constitute the affidavit of the qualified custodian.
- (8) A qualified custodian must provide a paper copy of an electronic will and the electronic record, including the electronic will, to the testator immediately upon request. For the first such request in any 365-day period, the testator may not be charged a fee for being provided with these documents.
- (9) The qualified custodian shall be liable for any damages caused by the negligent loss or destruction of the electronic record, including the electronic will, while it is in the possession of the qualified custodian. A qualified custodian may not limit liability for such damages.
- (10) A qualified custodian may not terminate or suspend access to the electronic will by the testator.
- (11) Except as provided herein, a qualified custodian must at all times keep information provided by the testator confidential and may not disclose such information to any third party.
- Section 10. Section 733.201, Florida Statutes is amended to read:

733.201 Proof of wills.

- (1) Self-proved wills executed in accordance with this code may be admitted to probate without further proof.
 - (2) A will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted

2.98

590-00962-17 2017206c1

to probate upon the oath of any attesting witness taken before any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or clerk.

- (3) If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found or that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the will or their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, a will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the personal representative nominated by the will as provided in subsection (2), whether or not the nominated personal representative is interested in the estate, or upon the oath of any person having no interest in the estate under the will stating that the person believes the writing exhibited to be the true last will of the decedent.
- (4) If an electronic will is not self-proved, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the two attesting witnesses for the electronic will taken before any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or the clerk. If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found, that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the electronic will, or that their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of two disinterested witnesses providing all of the following information:
- (a) The date on which the electronic will was created, if the date is not indicated in the electronic will itself.
- (b) When and how the electronic will was discovered, and by whom.
 - (c) All of the people who had access to the electronic

	590-00962-17 2017206c1
323	will.
324	(d) The method by which the electronic will was stored and
325	the safeguards that were in place to prevent alterations to the
326	electronic will.
327	(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been
328	altered since its creation.
329	(f) A statement that the electronic will is a true,
330	correct, and complete tangible manifestation of the testator's
331	will.
332	(5) A paper copy of an electronic will which is a true and
333	correct copy of the electronic will may be offered for and
334	admitted to probate and shall constitute an "original" of the
335	electronic will.
336	Section 11. This act applies to electronic wills executed
337	on or after July 1, 2017.
338	Section 12. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

CS/HB 277

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

A bill to be entitled An act relating to electronic wills; amending s. 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 732.506, F.S.; specifying the manner in which an electronic will is revoked; creating s. 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 732.522, F.S.; providing definitions; creating s. 732.523, F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the execution of electronic wills; creating s. 732.524, F.S.; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; providing that an electronic signature satisfies the requirement that a document be signed; providing requirements for certain documents to be deemed executed in this state; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will that is properly executed in this or another state to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the probate of such electronic will; creating s. 732.527, F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only to specified persons;

Page 1 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy the electronic record of an electronic will after a certain date; requiring a qualified custodian to cancel, delete, destroy, mark as revoked, or obliterate an electronic will under certain circumstances; providing conditions under which a qualified custodian may cease service as a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to cease serving in such capacity upon the written request of the testator; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; specifying what constitutes an affidavit of a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents upon request from the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging the testator a fee for such documents under certain circumstances; providing that a qualified custodian is liable for certain damages under certain circumstances; prohibiting a qualified custodian from terminating or suspending access to, or downloads of, an electronic will by the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging a fee for certain actions taken upon the death of the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to keep certain

Page 2 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

information confidential; amending s. 733.201, F.S.; providing for the proof of electronic wills; providing requirements for admitting an electronic will that is not self-proved into probate; providing that a paper copy of an electronic will constitutes an "original" of the electronic will subject to certain conditions; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

57 58 59

51

52 53

54

5556

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

60 61

62

68 69

70 71

72

73

74

Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 738, 739, and 744, the term:

(40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 732.522.

Page 3 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

75 Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 76 77 732.506 Revocation by act.-78 (1) A will or codicil, other than an electronic will, is 79 revoked by the testator, or some other person in the testator's presence and at the testator's direction, by burning, tearing, 80 81 canceling, defacing, obliterating, or destroying it with the 82 intent, and for the purpose, of revocation. 83 (2) An electronic will is revoked by the testator, some 84 other person in the testator's presence and at the testator's direction, or the qualified custodian of the electronic will 85 86 pursuant to a writing signed in accordance with s. 732.502, by marking it as revoked or canceling, deleting, obliterating, or 87 88 destroying it with the intent, and for the purpose, of 89 revocation. 90 Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created 91 to read: 92 732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.527 may be cited 93 as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act." 94 Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created 95 to read: 96 732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.527, the 97 term: "Electronic record" means a record created, generated, 98 99 sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.

Page 4 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

100	(2) "Electronic signature" means an electronic mark
101	visibly manifested in a record as a signature and executed or
102	adopted by a person with the intent to sign the record.
103	(3) "Electronic will" means a will, including a codicil,
104	executed in conformity with this act by a person in the manner
105	prescribed by this act, which disposes of the person's property
106	on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that
L07	appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another
108	will or electronic will.
109	(4) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the
110	requirements of s. 732.527(1).
111	Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created
12	to read:
13	732.523 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502:
L14	(1) An electronic will must meet all of the following
115	requirements:
116	(a) Exist in an electronic record.
L17	(b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the
118	presence of at least two attesting witnesses.
L19	(c) Be electronically signed by the attesting witnesses in
120	the presence of the testator and in the presence of each other.
L21	If it is electronically signed by a notary public, the notary
122	public's signature must be accompanied by a notary public seal
123	that meets the requirements of s. 117.021(3).

Page 5 of 15

CODING: Words $\underline{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\text{underlined}}$ are additions.

124	(2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all
125	questions as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation
126	of an electronic will that complies with this section must be
127	determined in the same manner as in the case of a will executed
128	in accordance with s. 732.502.
129	Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created
130	to read:
131	732.524 Self-proof of electronic will.—An electronic will
132	is self-proved if all of the following requirements are met:
133	(1) The electronic will is executed in conformity with
134	this act.
135	(2) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the
136	testator and the affidavits of the witnesses are made in
137	accordance with s. 732.503 and are part of the electronic record
138	containing the electronic will, or are attached to, or are
139	logically associated with, the electronic will.
140	(3)(a) The electronic will designates a qualified
141	custodian; and
142	(b) The qualified custodian certifies under oath that to
143	its best knowledge the electronic will was at all times under
144	the control of the qualified custodian before being offered to
145	the court and that the electronic will has not been altered in
146	any way since the date of its execution.
147	Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created
148	to read:

Page 6 of 15

CODING: Words $\underline{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\text{underlined}}$ are additions.

149	732.525 Method and place of execution For purposes of ss.
150	732.521-732.527:
151	(1) Any requirement that a document be signed may be
152	satisfied by an electronic signature.
153	(2) A document that is signed electronically is deemed to
154	be executed in this state if any one of the following
155	requirements is met:
156	(a) The document states that the person creating the
157	document intends to execute and understands that he or she is
158	executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this
159	state.
160	(b) The person creating the document is, or the attesting
161	witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures
162	are obtained in the execution of the document are, physically
163	located within this state at the time the document is executed.
164	(c) In the case of a self-proved electronic will, the
165	electronic will designates a qualified custodian who is
166	domiciled in and a resident of this state or incorporated or
167	organized in this state.
168	Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created
169	to read:
170	732.526 Probate An electronic will that is executed or
171	deemed executed in another state in accordance with the laws of
172	that state or of this state may be offered for and admitted to
173	original probate in this state and is subject to the

Page 7 of 15

CODING: Words $\underline{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\text{underlined}}$ are additions.

L74	jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The venue for the
L75	probate of electronic wills is as provided in s. 733.101(1) or,
L76	in the case of the electronic will of a nonresident, may be the
L77	county in which the qualified custodian or attorney for the
L78	petitioner or personal representative has his or her domicile or
L79	registered office.
180	Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created
181	to read:
L82	732.527 Qualified custodians.—
L83	(1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic
L84	will, a person or entity must:
185	(a) Not be an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201,
186	of the testator;
L87	(b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be
188	incorporated or organized in this state;
L89	(c) In the course of its business, regularly employ, and
190	store electronic records containing electronic wills in, a
L91	system that:
L92	1. Protects electronic records from destruction,
L93	alteration, or unauthorized access; and
L94	2. Detects any change to an electronic record; and
L95	(d) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic
196	will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified
L97	custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to
L98	the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and

Page 8 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

practices related to the creation, sending, communication,
receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic
wills.

- (2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only:
 - (a) To the testator;

- (b) To persons authorized by the testator in the electronic will or in written instructions signed by the testator in accordance with s. 732.502;
- (c) After the death of the testator, to the testator's personal representative; or
 - (d) As directed by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any of the documentation required to be created and stored under paragraph (1)(d), at any time after the earlier of the 5th anniversary of the admission of a will of the testator to probate or 20 years after the death of the testator.
- (4) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall mark as revoked or cancel, delete, destroy, or obliterate the electronic will at the direction of the testator given in the presence of the qualified custodian, or upon receipt by the qualified custodian of instructions signed by the testator in accordance with s. 732.502.

Page 9 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

224 (5) A qualified custodian who at any time controls the 225 electronic record of an electronic will may elect to cease 226 serving in such capacity by: 227 (a) Delivering the electronic will or the electronic 228 record containing the electronic will to the testator, if then 229 living, or, after the death of the testator, to the nominated 230 testator's personal representative; and 231 Doing the following if the outgoing qualified 232 custodian intends to designate a successor qualified custodian: 233 1. Providing written notice to the testator or, after the 234 testator's death, the nominated testator's personal 235 representative of the name, address, and qualifications of the proposed successor qualified custodian. The testator or a 236 237 testator's nominated personal representative must provide written consent before the electronic record, including the 238 239 electronic will, is delivered to a successor qualified 240 custodian; 241 2. Delivering the electronic record containing the 242 electronic will to the successor qualified custodian; and 243 3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an 244 affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that: 245 The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as

Page 10 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions.

a qualified custodian in this state;

246

247 The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified 248 custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or 249 appointed to act in such capacity under this paragraph; 250 The electronic will has been in the control of one or 251 more qualified custodians since the time the electronic record 252 was created, and identifying such qualified custodians; and 253 To the best of the outgoing qualified custodian's 254 knowledge, the electronic will has not been altered since the 255 time it was created. 256 257 For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified 258 custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a 259 predecessor qualified custodian in connection with its 260 designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all 261 such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified 262 custodian. 263 (6) Upon the written request of the testator, a qualified 264 custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of the 265 testator's electronic will must cease serving in such capacity 266 and must deliver to a successor qualified custodian designated 267 in writing by the testator the electronic will and the affidavit 268 required in subparagraph (5)(b)3.

Page 11 of 15

qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she

(7) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

agrees in writing to serve in such capacity.

269

270

271

(8) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit,	
or an appearance by the testator in the presence of a duly	
authorized officer or agent of such entity, acting in his or he	er
own capacity as such, shall constitute an affidavit, or an	
appearance by the testator in the presence of the qualified	
custodian.	

- (9) A qualified custodian must provide a paper copy of an electronic will and the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator immediately upon request. For the first such request in any 365-day period, the testator may not be charged a fee for being provided with these documents.
- damages caused by the negligent loss or destruction of the electronic record, including the electronic will, while it is in the possession of the qualified custodian. A qualified custodian may not limit liability for such damages.
- (11) A qualified custodian may not terminate or suspend access to, or downloads of, the electronic will by the testator.
- (12) Upon the death of a testator, a qualified custodian may not charge a fee for depositing the electronic will with the clerk, providing the affidavits made in accordance with s.

 732.503, or furnishing in writing any information requested by a court under paragraph (1)(d).
- (13) Except as provided herein, a qualified custodian must at all times keep information provided by the testator

Page 12 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

confidential and may not disclose such information to a third party.

Section 10. Section 733.201, Florida Statutes is amended to read:

733.201 Proof of wills.

- (1) Self-proved wills executed in accordance with this code may be admitted to probate without further proof.
- (2) A will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of any attesting witness taken before any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or clerk.
- witnesses cannot be found or that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the will or their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, a will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the personal representative nominated by the will as provided in subsection (2), whether or not the nominated personal representative is interested in the estate, or upon the oath of any person having no interest in the estate under the will stating that the person believes the writing exhibited to be the true last will of the decedent.
- (4) If an electronic will is not self-proved, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the two attesting witnesses for the electronic will taken before any

Page 13 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

322323324325326327328329

330331332333334335336

33₇ 338

339340341342343344345

346

electronic will.

circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or the
clerk. If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses
cannot be found, that they have become incapacitated after the
execution of the electronic will, or that their testimony cannot
be obtained within a reasonable time, an electronic will may be
admitted to probate upon the oath of two disinterested witnesses
providing all of the following information:
(a) The date on which the electronic will was created, if
the date is not indicated in the electronic will itself.
(b) When and how the electronic will was discovered, and
by whom.
(c) All of the people who had access to the electronic
will.
(d) The method by which the electronic will was stored and
the safeguards that were in place to prevent alterations to the
electronic will.
(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been
(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been
(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been altered since its creation.
(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been altered since its creation. (f) A statement that the electronic will is a true,
(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been altered since its creation. (f) A statement that the electronic will is a true, correct, and complete tangible manifestation of the testator's
(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been altered since its creation. (f) A statement that the electronic will is a true, correct, and complete tangible manifestation of the testator's will.

Page 14 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

347	Section 11. This act applies to electronic wills executed
348	on or after July 1, 2017.
349	Section 12. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Page 15 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

CS for CS for SB 206

By the Committees on Banking and Insurance; and Judiciary; and Senator Passidomo

597-03982-17 2017206c2 A bill to be entitled

2 An act relating to electronic wills; amending s. 3 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term 4 "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 5 732.506, F.S.; excluding electronic wills from 6 specified methods to revoke a will; creating s. 7 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 8 732.522, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 732.523, 9 F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied 10 in the execution of electronic wills; creating s. 11 732.524, F.S.; providing requirements for self-proof 12 of electronic wills; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; 13 specifying the circumstances under which a person is 14 deemed to be in the presence of or appearing before 15 another person; providing that an electronic record 16 satisfies the requirement that a record be in writing; 17 providing that an electronic signature satisfies the 18 requirement that a document be signed; providing 19 requirements for certain documents to be deemed 20 executed in this state; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; 21 authorizing an electronic will of a nonresident of 22 this state which is properly executed in this or 23 another state to be offered for and admitted to 24 probate in this state; providing the venue for the 25 probate of such electronic will; creating s. 732.527, 26 F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a 27 qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to 28 provide access to or information concerning the 29 electronic will, or the electronic record containing

Page 1 of 17

31

32

33

34

3.5

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

597-03982-17 2017206c2

the electronic will, only to specified persons or as directed by a court; authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy the electronic record of an electronic will after a certain date; providing conditions under which a qualified custodian may cease serving as a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to cease serving in such capacity upon the written request of the testator; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; specifying what constitutes an affidavit of a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents upon request from the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging the testator a fee for such documents under certain circumstances; providing that a qualified custodian is liable for certain damages under certain circumstances; prohibiting a qualified custodian from terminating or suspending access to, or downloads of, an electronic will by the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to deposit an electronic will with the court upon receiving information that the testator is dead; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging a fee for certain actions taken upon the death of the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to keep certain information confidential; amending s. 733.201, F.S.; providing for the proof of electronic wills; providing requirements for admitting an electronic will that is not self-proved into probate;

597-03982-17 2017206c2

providing that a paper copy of an electronic will constitutes an "original" of the electronic will subject to certain conditions; amending s. 736.0403, F.S.; providing that, for purposes of establishing the validity of the testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, the qualified custodian of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

67 68

59

60 61

62

63 64

65

66

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

69 70

71

72

73

74

75 76

77

78 l

79 80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 738, 739, and 744, the term:

(40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 732.522.

Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

732.506 Revocation by act.—A will or codicil, other than an electronic will, is revoked by the testator, or some other

2017206c2

88 person in the testator's presence and at the testator's 89 direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing, 90 obliterating, or destroying it with the intent, and for the 91 purpose, of revocation. 92 Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created to 93 read: 94 732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.527 may be cited 95 as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act." 96 Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created to 97 read: 98 732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.527, the 99 term: 100 (1) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated, 101 sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means. 102 (2) "Electronic signature" means an electronic mark visibly 103 manifested in a record as a signature and executed or adopted by 104 a person with the intent to sign the record. 105 (3) "Electronic will" means a will, including a codicil, 106 executed in accordance with s. 732.523 by a person in the manner 107 prescribed by this act, which disposes of the person's property 108 on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that 109 appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another 110 will or electronic will. 111 (4) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the 112 requirements of s. 732.527(1). 113 Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created to 114 read: 115 732.523 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502: 116 (1) An electronic will must meet all of the following

2017206c2

117 requirements: 118 (a) Exist in an electronic record that is unique and 119 identifiable. 120 (b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the 121 presence of at least two attesting witnesses. 122 (c) Be electronically signed by the attesting witnesses in 123 the presence of the testator and in the presence of each other. 124 (2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all questions 125 as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation of an 126 electronic will that complies with this section must be 127 determined in the same manner as in the case of a will executed 128 in accordance with s. 732.502. Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created to 129 130 read: 131 732.524 Self-proof of electronic will.—An electronic will 132 is self-proved if all of the following requirements are met: 133 (1) The electronic will is executed in conformity with this 134 act. 135 (2) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the 136 testator and the affidavits of the witnesses are made in accordance with s. 732.503 and are part of the electronic record 137 138 containing the electronic will, or are attached to, or are 139 logically associated with, the electronic will. 140 (3) (a) The electronic will designates a qualified 141 custodian; 142 (b) The electronic record that contains the electronic will 143 is held in the custody of a qualified custodian at all times 144 before being offered to the court for probate; and 145 (c) The qualified custodian who has custody of the

146

147

148149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

. 159

160

161

162

163164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

2017206c2

electronic will at the time of the testator's death: 1. Certifies under oath that, to the best knowledge of the qualified custodian, the electronic record that contains the electronic will was at all times before being offered to the court in the custody of a qualified custodian in compliance with s. 732.527 and that the electronic will has not been altered in any way since the date of its execution; and 2. If the execution of the electronic will included the use of video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), certifies under oath that the audio and video recording required under s. 732.525(1)(b)9. is in the qualified custodian's custody in the electronic record that contains the electronic will and is available for inspection by the court. Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created to read: 732.525 Method and place of execution.—For purposes of this act, the execution and filing of a document with the court as provided in this act or the Florida Probate Rules, the execution of a durable power of attorney under s. 709.2105, and the execution of a living will under s. 765.302: (1) An individual is deemed to be in the presence of or appearing before another individual if the individuals are either: (a) In the same physical location; or (b) In different physical locations, but can communicate with each other by means of live video conference, if the following requirements are met: 1. The testator or principal may not be in an end-stage condition as defined in s. 765.101 or a vulnerable adult as

203

2017206c2

175 defined in s. 415.102. The contestant of the document has the 176 burden of proving that the testator or principal was in an end-177 stage condition or was a vulnerable adult at the time of 178 executing the document. 179 2. The signal transmission must be live and in real time. 180 3. The signal transmission must be secure from interception 181 through lawful means by anyone other than the persons 182 communicating. 183 4. The persons communicating must simultaneously see and 184 speak to one another with reasonable clarity. 185 5. In the video conference, the persons communicating must 186 establish the identity of the testator or principal by: 187 a. Personal knowledge, if the person asserting personal 188 knowledge explains how the identity of the testator or principal 189 has come to be known to, and the length of time for which it has 190 been known by, such person; or b. Presentation of any of the forms of identification of 191 192 the testator or principal, as set forth in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-193 i. 194 6. In the video conference, the persons communicating must 195 demonstrate awareness of the events taking place, which may be achieved, without limitation, by stating their names and 196 197 identifying any document they intend to sign. 198 7. At least one of the persons communicating must be 199 either: 200 a. An attorney licensed to practice law in this state: 201 (I) Who electronically signs the document as a witness; 202 (II) Whose status as an attorney licensed to practice law

in this state is indicated adjacent to his or her electronic

597-03982-17 2017206c2 204 signature; and 205 (III) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by his or her statement that, to the best of his or her knowledge, the 206 207 execution of the document complied with the requirements of this 208 section; or 209 b. A Florida notary public: 210 (I) Who electronically signs the document; 211 (II) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by a notary 212 public seal that meets the requirements of s. 117.021(3); and 213 (III) Whose electronic signature and seal are accompanied 214 by his or her certification that, to the best of his or her 215 knowledge, the execution of the document complied with the 216 requirements of this section. 217 218 If a document is required to be witnessed or acknowledged, the 219 witness or notary fulfilling that requirement may be the same 220 witness or notary who fulfills the requirement of this 221 subparagraph. 222 8. In the video conference, the testator or principal must 223 provide verbal answers to all of the following questions: 224 a. Are you over the age of 18? 225 b. Are you under the influence of any drugs or alcohol that 226 impairs your ability to make decisions? 227 c. Are you of sound mind? 228 d. Did anyone assist you in accessing this video 229 conference? If so, who? 230 e. Has anyone forced or influenced you to include anything 231 in this document which you do not wish to include? 232 f. Are you signing this document voluntarily?

Page 8 of 17

597-03982-17 2017206c2

9. A time-stamped recording of the entire video conference must be identifiable with the document being signed and stored in the electronic record containing the document by a qualified custodian in the manner required pursuant to s. 732.527(1)(c) for the storage of electronic records containing electronic wills.

- a. Without limitation, a recording is identifiable with a document if the recording and document share an identification number.
- b. If the recording is not reasonably accessible by a person presented with the document, such person may treat the document as if it does not include the signature of any signatory who appeared by means of live video conference; however, an electronic will whose execution included the use of video conference under this section may be proved as provided in s. 733.201(4). Without limitation, a recording is reasonably accessible if it is accessible at no charge over the Internet pursuant to instructions set forth in the document.
- (2) If a law requires a record to be in writing, an electronic record satisfies such provision.
- (3) Any requirement that a document be signed may be satisfied by an electronic signature.
- (4) A document that is signed electronically is deemed to be executed in this state if all of the following requirements are met:
- (a) The document states that the person creating the document intends to execute and understands that he or she is executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this state.

2.67

597-03982-17 2017206c2

(b) The person creating the document is, or the attesting witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures are obtained in the execution of the document are, physically located within this state at the time the document is executed.

(c) In the case of a self-proved electronic will, the electronic will designates a qualified custodian who is domiciled in and a resident of this state or incorporated or organized in this state.

Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.526 Probate.—An electronic will of a nonresident of this state which is executed or deemed executed in another state in accordance with the laws of that state or of this state may be offered for and admitted to original probate in this state and is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The venue for the probate of electronic wills is as provided in s. 733.101(1) or, in the case of the electronic will of a nonresident, may be the county in which the qualified custodian or attorney for the petitioner or personal representative has his or her domicile or registered office.

Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.527 Qualified custodians.-

- (1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic will, a person or entity must:
- (a) Not be named as a fiduciary under the electronic will or an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201, of the testator;
 - (b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303 304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318319

597-03982-17 2017206c2 incorporated or organized in this state; (c) In the course of maintaining custody of electronic wills, regularly employ, and store electronic records containing electronic wills in, a system that: 1. Protects electronic records from destruction, alteration, or unauthorized access; and 2. Detects any change to an electronic record; and (d) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and practices related to the creation, sending, communication, receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic wills. (2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only: (a) To the testator; (b) To persons authorized by the testator in the electronic will or in written instructions signed by the testator in accordance with s. 732.502; (c) After the death of the testator, to the testator's nominated personal representative; or (d) At any time, as directed by a court of competent jurisdiction. (3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an

Page 11 of 17

electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any

of the documentation required to be created and stored under

paragraph (1)(d), at any time after the earlier of the fifth

2017206c2

320	anniversary of the conclusion of the administration of the
321	estate of the testator or 20 years after the death of the
322	testator.
323	(4) A qualified custodian who at any time maintains custody
324	of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to
325	cease serving in such capacity by:
326	(a) Delivering the electronic will or the electronic record
327	containing the electronic will to the testator, if then living,
328	or, after the death of the testator, by filing the will with the
329	court in accordance with s. 732.901; and
330	(b) If the outgoing qualified custodian intends to
331	designate a successor qualified custodian, by doing the
332	following:
333	1. Providing written notice to the testator of the name,
334	address, and qualifications of the proposed successor qualified
335	custodian. The testator must provide written consent before the
336	electronic record, including the electronic will, is delivered
337	to a successor qualified custodian;
338	2. Delivering the electronic record containing the
339	electronic will to the successor qualified custodian; and
340	3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an
341	affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that:
342	a. The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as a
343	qualified custodian in this state;
344	b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified
345	custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or
346	appointed to act in such capacity under this paragraph;
347	c. The electronic will has at all times been in the custody
348	of one or more qualified custodians in compliance with this

377

custodian.

597-03982-17 2017206c2 349 section since the time the electronic record was created, and 350 identifying such qualified custodians; and 351 d. To the best of the outgoing qualified custodian's 352 knowledge, the electronic will has not been altered since the 353 time it was created. 354 355 For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified 356 custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a 357 predecessor qualified custodian in connection with its 358 designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all 359 such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified 360 custodian. 361 (5) Upon the request of the testator which is made in a 362 writing signed in accordance with s. 732.502, a qualified 363 custodian who at any time maintains custody of the electronic 364 record of the testator's electronic will must cease serving in 365 such capacity and must deliver to a successor qualified 366 custodian designated in writing by the testator the electronic 367 record containing the electronic will and the affidavit required 368 in subparagraph (4)(b)3. (6) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a 369 370 qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she 371 agrees in writing to serve in such capacity. 372 (7) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit, or 373 an appearance by the testator in the presence of a duly 374 authorized officer or agent of such entity, acting in his or her 375 own capacity as such, shall constitute an affidavit, or an

appearance by the testator in the presence of the qualified

 597-03982-17 2017206c2

(8) A qualified custodian must provide a paper copy of an electronic will and the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator immediately upon request. For the first such request in any 365-day period, the testator may not be charged a fee for being provided with these documents.

- (9) The qualified custodian shall be liable for any damages caused by the negligent loss or destruction of the electronic record, including the electronic will, while it is in the possession of the qualified custodian. A qualified custodian may not limit liability for such damages.
- (10) A qualified custodian may not terminate or suspend access to, or downloads of, the electronic will by the testator.
- (11) Upon receiving information that the testator is dead, a qualified custodian must deposit the electronic will with the court in accordance with s. 732.901. A qualified custodian may not charge a fee for depositing the electronic will with the clerk, providing the affidavit is made in accordance with s. 732.503, or furnishing in writing any information requested by a court under paragraph (1)(d).
- (12) Except as provided in this act, a qualified custodian must at all times keep information provided by the testator confidential and may not disclose such information to any third party.
- Section 10. Section 733.201, Florida Statutes is amended to read:
 - 733.201 Proof of wills.-
- (1) Self-proved wills executed in accordance with this code may be admitted to probate without further proof.
 - (2) A will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted

597-03982-17 2017206c2

to probate upon the oath of any attesting witness taken before any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or clerk.

- (3) If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found or that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the will or their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, a will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the personal representative nominated by the will as provided in subsection (2), whether or not the nominated personal representative is interested in the estate, or upon the oath of any person having no interest in the estate under the will stating that the person believes the writing exhibited to be the true last will of the decedent.
- (4) If an electronic will, including an electronic will whose execution included the use of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), is not self-proved, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the two attesting witnesses for the electronic will taken before any circuit judge, any commissioner appointed by the court, or the clerk. If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found, that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the electronic will, or that their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of two disinterested witnesses providing all of the following information:
- (a) The date on which the electronic will was created, if the date is not indicated in the electronic will itself.
 - (b) When and how the electronic will was discovered, and by

597-03982-17 2017206c2

436 whom.

- 437 (c) All of the people who had access to the electronic will.
 - (d) The method by which the electronic will was stored and the safeguards that were in place to prevent alterations to the electronic will.
 - (e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been altered since its creation.
 - (f) A statement that the electronic will is a true, correct, and complete tangible manifestation of the testator's will.
 - (g) If the execution of an electronic will included the use of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), a statement as to whether a recording of the video conference is available for inspection by the court or cannot be found after a diligent search.
 - (5) A paper copy of an electronic will which is a true and correct copy of the electronic will may be offered for and admitted to probate and shall constitute an "original" of the electronic will.

Section 11. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 736.0403, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 736.0403 Trusts created in other jurisdictions; formalities required for revocable trusts.—
 - (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1):
- (b) The testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, executed by a settlor who is a domiciliary of this state at the time of execution, are invalid unless the trust instrument is executed by the settlor with the formalities required for the execution

Page 16 of 17

597-03982-17 2017206c2
of a will under s. 732.502 or an electronic will under s.
732.523 which is self-proved; however, the qualified custodian
of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust
in this state. For purposes of this subsection, the term
"testamentary aspects" means those provisions of the trust
instrument that dispose of the trust property on or after the
death of the settlor other than to the settlor's estate.
Section 12. This act applies to electronic wills executed
on or after July 1, 2017.

Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

CS/CS/HB 277

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A bill to be entitled An act relating to electronic wills; amending s. 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 732.506, F.S.; specifying the manner in which an electronic will is revoked; creating s. 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 732.522, F.S.; providing definitions; creating s. 732.523, F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the execution of electronic wills; creating s. 732.524, F.S.; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; providing that an electronic signature satisfies the requirement that a document be signed; providing requirements for certain documents to be deemed executed in this state; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will that is properly executed in this or another state to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the probate of such electronic will; creating s. 732.527, F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only to specified persons;

Page 1 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy the electronic record of an electronic will after a certain date; requiring a qualified custodian to cancel, delete, destroy, mark as revoked, or obliterate an electronic will under certain circumstances; providing conditions under which a qualified custodian may cease service as a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to cease serving in such capacity upon the written request of the testator; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; specifying what constitutes an affidavit of a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents upon request from the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging the testator a fee for such documents under certain circumstances; providing that a qualified custodian is liable for certain damages under certain circumstances; prohibiting a qualified custodian from terminating or suspending access to, or downloads of, an electronic will by the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging a fee for certain actions taken upon the death of the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to keep certain

Page 2 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

information confidential; creating s. 732.528, F.S.; providing indemnity requirements for qualified custodians; providing the Attorney General standing to petition a court for the appointment of a receiver to manage electronic records of a qualified custodian under certain conditions; amending s. 733.201, F.S.; providing for the proof of electronic wills; providing requirements for admitting an electronic will that is not self-proved into probate; providing that a paper copy of an electronic will constitutes an "original" of the electronic will subject to certain conditions; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 738, 739, and 744, the term:

(40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her

Page 3 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a 76 77 personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The 78 term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 79 732.522. 80 Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended 81 to read: 82 732.506 Revocation by act.-(1) A will or codicil, other than an electronic will, is 83 84 revoked by the testator, or some other person in the testator's 85 presence and at the testator's direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing, obliterating, or destroying it with the 86 87 intent, and for the purpose, of revocation. 88 (2) An electronic will is revoked by the testator, some 8.9 other person in the testator's presence and at the testator's 90 direction, or the qualified custodian of the electronic will 91 pursuant to a writing signed in accordance with s. 732.502, by 92 marking it as revoked or canceling, deleting, obliterating, or 93 destroying it with the intent, and for the purpose, of 94 revocation. 95 Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created to read: 96 97 732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.528 may be cited 98 as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act." 99 Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created 100 to read:

Page 4 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101	732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.527, the
102	term:
L03	(1) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated,
L O 4	sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.
L05	(2) "Electronic signature" means an electronic mark
106	visibly manifested in a record as a signature and executed or
L07	adopted by a person with the intent to sign the record.
108	(3) "Electronic will" means a will, including a codicil,
.09	executed in conformity with this act by a person in the manner
10	prescribed by this act, which disposes of the person's property
-11	on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that
.12	appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another
13	will or electronic will.
14	(4) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the
.15	requirements of s. 732.527(1).
16	Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created
.17	to read:
18	732.523 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502:
19	(1) An electronic will must meet all of the following
20	requirements:
21	(a) Exist in an electronic record.
.22	(b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the
23	presence of at least two attesting witnesses.
24	(c) Be electronically signed by the attesting witnesses in
25	the presence of the testator and in the presence of each other.

Page 5 of 16

CODING: Words $\frac{\text{stricken}}{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\frac{\text{underlined}}{\text{ore}}$ are additions.

126	If it is electronically signed by a notary public, the notary
127	public's signature must be accompanied by a notary public seal
128	that meets the requirements of s. 117.021(3).
129	(2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all
130	questions as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation
131	of an electronic will that complies with this section must be
132	determined in the same manner as in the case of a will executed
133	in accordance with s. 732.502.
134	Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created
135	to read:
136	732.524 Self-proof of electronic will.—An electronic will
137	is self-proved if all of the following requirements are met:
138	(1) The electronic will is executed in conformity with
139	this act.
140	(2) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the
141	testator and the affidavits of the witnesses are made in
142	accordance with s. 732.503 and are part of the electronic record
143	containing the electronic will, or are attached to, or are
144	logically associated with, the electronic will.
145	(3)(a) The electronic will designates a qualified
146	custodian; and
147	(b) The qualified custodian certifies under oath that to
148	its best knowledge the electronic will was at all times under
149	the control of the qualified custodian before being offered to

Page 6 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

(7) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she agrees in writing to serve in such capacity.

- (8) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit, or an appearance by the testator in the presence of a duly authorized officer or agent of such entity, acting in his or her own capacity as such, shall constitute an affidavit, or an appearance by the testator in the presence of the qualified custodian.
- (9) A qualified custodian must provide a paper copy of an electronic will and the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator immediately upon request. For the first such request in any 365-day period, the testator may not be charged a fee for being provided with these documents.
- (10) The qualified custodian shall be liable for any damages caused by the negligent loss or destruction of the electronic record, including the electronic will, while it is in the possession of the qualified custodian. A qualified custodian may not limit liability for such damages.
- (11) A qualified custodian may not terminate or suspend access to, or downloads of, the electronic will by the testator.
- (12) Upon the death of a testator, a qualified custodian may not charge a fee for depositing the electronic will with the clerk, providing the affidavits made in accordance with s.

Page 12 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

298	732.503, or furnishing in writing any information requested by a
299	court under paragraph (1)(d).
300	(13) Except as provided herein, a qualified custodian must
301	at all times keep information provided by the testator
302	confidential and may not disclose such information to a third
303	party.
304	Section 10. Section 732.528, Florida Statutes, is created
305	to read:
306	732.528 Indemnity Requirements of Qualified Custodians.—
307	(1) A qualified custodian must meet one of the following
308	requirements:
309	(a) Post and maintain a blanket surety bond of at least
310	\$250,000 to secure the faithful performance of all duties and
311	obligations required under this act. The bond shall be made
312	payable to the Governor and his or her successors in office for
313	the benefit of all persons who store electronic records with a
314	qualified custodian and their estates, beneficiaries,
315	successors, and heirs and conditioned on the faithful
316	performance of all duties and obligations under this act. The
317	terms of the bond must cover the acts or omissions of the
318	qualified custodian, and each agent or employee of the qualified
319	custodian; or
320	(b) Maintain a liability insurance policy that covers any
321	losses sustained by any person who stores electronic records
322	with a qualified custodian and their estates, beneficiaries,

Page 13 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

323	successors, and heirs caused by errors, omissions, or any
324	intentional misconduct committed by the qualified custodian, and
325	each agent or employee of the qualified custodian. The policy
326	must cover losses up to \$250,000 for each incident.
327	(2) The Attorney General may petition a court of competent
328	jurisdiction for the appointment of a receiver to manage the
329	electronic records of a qualified custodian for proper delivery
330	and safekeeping, when any of the following conditions exist:
331	(a) The qualified custodian is ceasing operation.
332	(b) The qualified custodian intends to close the facility
333	and adequate arrangements have not been made for proper delivery
334	of the electronic records in accordance with this act.
335	(c) The Attorney General determines that conditions exist
336	which present a danger that electronic records will be lost or
337	misappropriated.
338	(d) The qualified custodian fails to maintain and post a
339	surety bond or maintain insurance required by this section.
340	Section 11. Section 733.201, Florida Statutes is amended
341	to read:
342	733.201 Proof of wills.—
343	(1) Self-proved wills executed in accordance with this
344	code may be admitted to probate without further proof.
345	(2) A will other than an electronic will may be admitted

Page 14 of 16

to probate upon the oath of any attesting witness taken before

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

346

any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or clerk.

- witnesses cannot be found or that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the will or their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, a will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the personal representative nominated by the will as provided in subsection (2), whether or not the nominated personal representative is interested in the estate, or upon the oath of any person having no interest in the estate under the will stating that the person believes the writing exhibited to be the true last will of the decedent.
- electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the two attesting witnesses for the electronic will taken before any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or the clerk. If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found, that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the electronic will, or that their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of two disinterested witnesses providing all of the following information:
- (a) The date on which the electronic will was created, if the date is not indicated in the electronic will itself.

Page 15 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

372	(b) When and how the electronic will was discovered, and
373	by whom.
374	(c) All of the people who had access to the electronic
375	will.
376	(d) The method by which the electronic will was stored and
377	the safeguards that were in place to prevent alterations to the
378	electronic will.
379	(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been
380	altered since its creation.
381	(f) A statement that the electronic will is a true,
882	correct, and complete tangible manifestation of the testator's
383	will.
384	(5) A paper copy of an electronic will which is a true and
385	correct copy of the electronic will may be offered for and
386	admitted to probate and shall constitute an "original" of the
387	electronic will.
388	Section 12. This act applies to electronic wills executed
389	on or after July 1, 2017.
390	Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Page 16 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

150	the court and that the electronic will has not been altered in
151	any way since the date of its execution.
152	Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created
153	to read:
154	732.525 Method and place of execution For purposes of ss.
155	732.521-732.527:
156	(1) Any requirement that a document be signed may be
157	satisfied by an electronic signature.
158	(2) A document that is signed electronically is deemed to
159	be executed in this state if any one of the following
160	requirements is met:
161	(a) The document states that the person creating the
162	document intends to execute and understands that he or she is
163	executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this
164	state.
165	(b) The person creating the document is, or the attesting
166	witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures
167	are obtained in the execution of the document are, physically
168	located within this state at the time the document is executed.
169	(c) In the case of a self-proved electronic will, the
170	electronic will designates a qualified custodian who is
171	domiciled in and a resident of this state or incorporated or
172	organized in this state.
173	Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created
174	to read:

Page 7 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

175	732.526 Probate An electronic will that is executed or
176	deemed executed in another state in accordance with the laws of
177	that state or of this state may be offered for and admitted to
178	original probate in this state and is subject to the
179	jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The venue for the
180	probate of electronic wills is as provided in s. 733.101(1) or,
181	in the case of the electronic will of a nonresident, may be the
182	county in which the qualified custodian or attorney for the
183	petitioner or personal representative has his or her domicile or
184	registered office.
185	Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created
186	to read:
187	732.527 Qualified custodians.—
188	(1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic
189	will, a person or entity must:
190	(a) Not be an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201,
191	of the testator;
192	(b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be
193	incorporated or organized in this state;
194	(c) In the course of its business, regularly employ, and
195	store electronic records containing electronic wills in, a
196	system that:
197	1. Protects electronic records from destruction,
198	alteration, or unauthorized access; and
199	2. Detects any change to an electronic record; and

Page 8 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

200	(d) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic
201	will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified
202	custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to
203	the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and
204	practices related to the creation, sending, communication,
205	receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic
206	wills.
207	(2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall
208	provide access to or information concerning the electronic will,
209	or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only:
210	(a) To the testator;
211	(b) To persons authorized by the testator in the
212	electronic will or in written instructions signed by the
213	testator in accordance with s. 732.502;
214	(c) After the death of the testator, to the testator's
215	personal representative; or
216	(d) As directed by a court of competent jurisdiction.
217	(3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an
218	electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any
219	of the documentation required to be created and stored under
220	paragraph (1)(d), at any time after the earlier of the 5th
221	anniversary of the admission of a will of the testator to
222	probate or 20 years after the death of the testator.
223	(4) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall
224	mark as revoked or cancel, delete, destroy, or obliterate the

Page 9 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

electronic will at the direction of the testator given in the presence of the qualified custodian, or upon receipt by the qualified custodian of instructions signed by the testator in accordance with s. 732.502.

- (5) A qualified custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to cease serving in such capacity by:
- (a) Delivering the electronic will or the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator, if then living, or, after the death of the testator, to the nominated testator's personal representative; and
- (b) Doing the following if the outgoing qualified custodian intends to designate a successor qualified custodian:
- 1. Providing written notice to the testator or, after the testator's death, the nominated testator's personal representative of the name, address, and qualifications of the proposed successor qualified custodian. The testator or a testator's nominated personal representative must provide written consent before the electronic record, including the electronic will, is delivered to a successor qualified custodian;
- 2. Delivering the electronic record containing the electronic will to the successor qualified custodian; and
- 3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that:

Page 10 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

250	a. The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as
251	a qualified custodian in this state;
252	b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified
253	custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or
254	appointed to act in such capacity under this paragraph;
255	c. The electronic will has been in the control of one or
256	more qualified custodians since the time the electronic record
257	was created, and identifying such qualified custodians; and
258	d. To the best of the outgoing qualified custodian's
259	knowledge, the electronic will has not been altered since the
260	time it was created.
261	
262	For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified
263	custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a
264	predecessor qualified custodian in connection with its
265/	designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all
266	such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified
267	custodian.
268	(6) Upon the written request of the testator, a qualified
269	custodian who at any time controls the electronic record of the
270	testator's electronic will must cease serving in such capacity
271	and must deliver to a successor qualified custodian designated
272	in writing by the testator the electronic will and the affidavit

Page 11 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

required in subparagraph (5)(b)3.

273

CS for CS for CS for SB 206

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

By the Committees on Rules; Banking and Insurance; and Judiciary; and Senators Passidomo and Brandes

595-04430-17 2017206c3 A bill to be entitled

An act relating to wills and trusts; amending s. 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 732.506, F.S.; excluding electronic wills from specified methods to revoke a will; creating s. 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 732.522, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 732.523, F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the execution of electronic wills; creating s. 732.524, F.S.; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; specifying the circumstances under which a person is deemed to be in the presence of or appearing before another person; providing that an electronic record satisfies the requirement that a record be in writing; providing that an electronic signature satisfies the requirement that a document be signed; providing requirements for certain documents to be deemed executed in this state; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will of a nonresident of this state which is properly executed in this or another state to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the probate of such electronic will; creating s. 732.527, F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

4445

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

57

58

595-04430-17 2017206c3

the electronic will, only to specified persons or as directed by a court; authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy the electronic record of an electronic will after a certain date; providing conditions under which a qualified custodian may cease serving as a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to cease serving in such capacity upon the written request of the testator; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; specifying what constitutes an affidavit of a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents upon request from the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging the testator a fee for such documents under certain circumstances; providing that a qualified custodian is liable for certain damages under certain circumstances; prohibiting a qualified custodian from terminating or suspending access to, or downloads of, an electronic will by the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to deposit an electronic will with the court upon receiving information that the testator is dead; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging a fee for certain actions taken upon the death of the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to keep certain information confidential; amending s. 733.201, F.S.; providing for the proof of electronic wills; providing requirements for admitting an electronic will that is not self-proved into probate;

60

61 62

63 64

65

66 67

68

69 70

71

72

73

74 75

76

77

78 79

80

81

8283

84

8.5

86

87

595-04430-17 2017206c3

providing that a paper copy of an electronic will constitutes an "original" of the electronic will subject to certain conditions; amending s. 736.0103, F.S.; redefining the term "interests of the beneficiaries"; amending s. 736.0105, F.S.; deleting a requirement that a trust be for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries; amending s. 736.0109, F.S.; revising provisions relating to notice or sending of electronic trust documents; providing requirements for such documents to be deemed sent; requiring a certain authorization to specify documents subject to electronic posting; revising requirements for a recipient to electronically access such documents; prohibiting the termination of a recipient's electronic access to such documents from invalidating certain notice or sending of electronic trust documents; tolling specified limitations periods under certain circumstances; providing requirements for electronic access to such documents to be deemed terminated by a sender; providing applicability; amending s. 736.0110, F.S.; providing that the Attorney General has standing to assert certain rights in certain proceedings; amending s. 736.0403, F.S.; providing that, for purposes of establishing the validity of the testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, the qualified custodian of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust; amending s. 736.0404, F.S.; deleting a restriction on the purpose for which a trust is created; amending s. 736.04117,

89

90

91 92

93

94

95 96

97

98 99

100

101

102

103

104

105 106

107 108

109 110

111

112

113

114 115

116

2017206c3 595-04430-17

F.S.; defining and redefining terms; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint all or part of the principal of a trust to a second trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for the second trust and its beneficiaries; providing that the second trust may retain, omit, or create specified powers; authorizing the term of the second trust to extend beyond the term of the first trust; providing requirements for distributions to a second trust when the authorized trustee does not have absolute power; providing requirements for such second trust; providing requirements for grants of power by the second trust; authorizing a second trust created by an authorized trustee without absolute power to grant absolute power to the second trust's trustee; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint the principal of a first trust to a supplemental needs trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for such supplemental needs trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing the principal of a trust in a manner that would reduce specified tax benefits; prohibiting the distribution of S corporation stock from a first trust to a second trust under certain circumstances; prohibiting a settlor from being treated as the owner of a second trust if he or she was not treated as the owner of the first trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing a trust's interest in property to a second trust if it is subject to specified rules of

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

595-04430-17 2017206c3

the Internal Revenue Code; prohibiting the exercise of power to invade a trust's principal to increase an authorized trustee's compensation or relieve him or her from certain liability; specifying who an authorized trustee must notify when he or she exercises his or her power to invade the trust's principal; specifying the documents that the authorized trustee must provide with such notice; amending s. 736.0708, F.S.; providing that a cotrustee is entitled to reasonable compensation when the trust does not specify compensation; providing that reasonable compensation may be greater for multiple trustees than for a single trustee; amending s. 736.08135, F.S.; revising applicability; amending s. 736.1008, F.S.; clarifying that certain knowledge by a beneficiary does not cause a claim to accrue for breach of trust or commence the running of a period of limitations or laches; providing legislative intent; providing for retroactive application; amending s. 736.1201, F.S.; defining the term "delivery of notice"; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; amending s. 736.1205, F.S.; requiring an authorized trustee to provide certain notice to the Attorney General rather than the state attorney; providing applicability; amending ss. 736.1206, 736.1207, 736.1208, and 736.1209, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing effective dates.

2017206c3

595-04430-17

146 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 147 Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida 148 149 Statutes, is amended to read: 731.201 General definitions. - Subject to additional 150 151 definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to 152 specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 153 738, 739, and 744, the term: 154 (40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, 155 executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, 156 157 which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a 158 personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The 159 term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 160 161 732.522. Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended to 162 163 read: 732.506 Revocation by act.-A will or codicil, other than an 164 electronic will, is revoked by the testator, or some other 165 166 person in the testator's presence and at the testator's 167 direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing, obliterating, or destroying it with the intent, and for the 168 purpose, of revocation. 169 Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created to 170 171 read: 732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.527 may be cited 172 as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act." 173 174 Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created to

Page 6 of 41

595-04430-17

2017206c3

175 read: 176 732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.527, the 177 term: 178 (1) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated, 179 sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means. 180 (2) "Electronic signature" means an electronic mark visibly 181 manifested in a record as a signature and executed or adopted by 182 a person with the intent to sign the record. 183 (3) "Electronic will" means a will, including a codicil, 184 executed in accordance with s. 732.523 by a person in the manner 185 prescribed by this act, which disposes of the person's property 186 on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that 187 appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another 188 will or electronic will. 189 (4) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the 190 requirements of s. 732.527(1). Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created to 191 192 read: 732.523 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502: 193 194 (1) An electronic will must meet all of the following 195 requirements: 196 (a) Exist in an electronic record that is unique and 197 identifiable. 198 (b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the 199 presence of at least two attesting witnesses. 200 (c) Be electronically signed by the attesting witnesses in 201 the presence of the testator and in the presence of each other. 202 (2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all questions 203 as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation of an

Page 7 of 41

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017206c3

595-04430-17

204	electronic will that complies with this section must be
205	determined in the same manner as in the case of a will executed
206	in accordance with s. 732.502.
207	Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created to
208	read:
209	732.524 Self-proof of electronic will.—An electronic will
210	is self-proved if all of the following requirements are met:
211	(1) The electronic will is executed in conformity with this
212	act.
213	(2) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the
214	testator and the affidavits of the witnesses are made in
215	accordance with s. 732.503 and are part of the electronic record
216	containing the electronic will, or are attached to, or are
217	logically associated with, the electronic will.
218	(3)(a) The electronic will designates a qualified
219	<pre>custodian;</pre>
220	(b) The electronic record that contains the electronic will
221	is held in the custody of a qualified custodian at all times
222	before being offered to the court for probate; and
223	(c) The qualified custodian who has custody of the
224	electronic will at the time of the testator's death:
225	1. Certifies under oath that, to the best knowledge of the
226	qualified custodian, the electronic record that contains the
227	electronic will was at all times before being offered to the
228	court in the custody of a qualified custodian in compliance with
229	s. 732.527 and that the electronic will has not been altered in
230	any way since the date of its execution; and
231	2. If the execution of the electronic will included the use
232	of wideo conference under s 732 525(1)(b) certifies under oath

595-04430-17

2017206c3

233 that the audio and video recording required under s. 234 732.525(1)(b)9. is in the qualified custodian's custody in the 235 electronic record that contains the electronic will and is 236 available for inspection by the court. 237 Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created to 238 read: 239 732.525 Method and place of execution.—For purposes of this 240 act, the execution and filing of a document with the court as 241 provided in this act or the Florida Probate Rules, the execution 242 of a living will under s. 765.302, and the acknowledgment of any 243 of the foregoing: 244 (1) An individual is deemed to be in the presence of or 245 appearing before another individual if the individuals are 246 either: 247 (a) In the same physical location; or 248 (b) In different physical locations, but can communicate 249 with each other by means of live video conference, if the 250 following requirements are met: 251 1. The testator or principal may not be in an end-stage 252 condition as defined in s. 765.101 or a vulnerable adult as 253 defined in s. 415.102. The contestant of the document has the 254 burden of proving that the testator or principal was in an end-255 stage condition or was a vulnerable adult at the time of 256 executing the document. 257 2. The signal transmission must be live and in real time. 258 3. The signal transmission must be secure from interception 259 through lawful means by anyone other than the persons 260 communicating. 261 4. The persons communicating must simultaneously see and

264

265266

267

268

269

270271

272

273

274

275

276

277

2.78

279

280

281

282

283284

285

286

287

288

595-04430-17 2017206c3

262 speak to one another with reasonable clarity.

5. In the video conference, the persons communicating must establish the identity of the testator or principal by:

- a. Personal knowledge, if the person asserting personal knowledge explains how the identity of the testator or principal has come to be known to, and the length of time for which it has been known by, such person; or
- b. Presentation of any of the forms of identification of the testator or principal, as set forth in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-i.
- 6. In the video conference, the persons communicating must demonstrate awareness of the events taking place, which may be achieved, without limitation, by stating their names and identifying any document they intend to sign.
- $\overline{\mbox{7. At least one of the persons communicating must be}}$ either:
 - a. An attorney licensed to practice law in this state:
 - (I) Who electronically signs the document as a witness;
- (II) Whose status as an attorney licensed to practice law in this state is indicated adjacent to his or her electronic signature; and
- (III) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by his or her statement that, to the best of his or her knowledge, the execution of the document complied with the requirements of this section; or
 - b. A Florida notary public:
 - (I) Who electronically signs the document;
- 289 (II) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by a notary
 290 public seal that meets the requirements of s. 117.021(3); and

595-04430-17 2017206c3

291 (III) Whose electronic signature and seal are accompanied
292 by his or her certification that, to the best of his or her
293 knowledge, the execution of the document complied with the
294 requirements of this section.

295 296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313l

314

315

316

317

318

319

- If a document is required to be witnessed or acknowledged, the witness or notary fulfilling that requirement may be the same witness or notary who fulfills the requirement of this subparagraph. A person presented with a document containing the statement or certification required under this subparagraph may presume that the document was executed in compliance with this paragraph, unless the person has notice that such compliance is contested.
- 8. In the video conference, the testator or principal must provide verbal answers to all of the following questions:
 - a. Are you over the age of 18?
- b. Are you under the influence of any drugs or alcohol that impairs your ability to make decisions?
 - c. Are you of sound mind?
- d. Did anyone assist you in accessing this video conference? If so, who?
- e. Has anyone forced or influenced you to include anything in this document which you do not wish to include?
 - f. Are you signing this document voluntarily?
- 9. A time-stamped recording of the entire video conference must be identifiable with the document being signed and stored in the electronic record containing the document by a qualified custodian in the manner required pursuant to s. 732.527(1)(c) for the storage of electronic records containing electronic

595-04430-17 2017206c3

320 wills.

a. Without limitation, a recording is identifiable with a document if the recording and document share an identification number.

- b. If the recording is not reasonably accessible by a person presented with the document, such person may treat the document as if it does not include the signature of any signatory who appeared by means of live video conference; however, an electronic will whose execution included the use of video conference under this section may be proved as provided in s. 733.201(4). Without limitation, a recording is reasonably accessible if it is accessible at no charge over the Internet pursuant to instructions set forth in the document.
- (2) If a law requires a record to be in writing, an electronic record satisfies such provision.
- (3) Any requirement that a document be signed may be satisfied by an electronic signature.
- (4) A document that is signed electronically is deemed to be executed in this state if all of the following requirements are met:
- (a) The document states that the person creating the document intends to execute and understands that he or she is executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this state.
- (b) The person creating the document is, or the attesting witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures are obtained in the execution of the document are, physically located within this state at the time the document is executed.
 - (c) In the case of a self-proved electronic will, the

2017206c3

595-04430-17

349	electronic will designates a qualified custodian who is
350	domiciled in and a resident of this state or incorporated or
351	organized in this state.
352	Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created to
353	read:
354	732.526 Probate.—An electronic will, other than a
355	holographic or nuncupative will, of a nonresident of this state
356	which is executed or deemed executed in another state in
357	accordance with the laws of that state or of this state may be
358	offered for and admitted to original probate in this state and
359	is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The
360	venue for the probate of electronic wills is as provided in s.
361	733.101(1) or, in the case of the electronic will of a
362	nonresident, may be the county in which the qualified custodian
363	or attorney for the petitioner or personal representative has
364	his or her domicile or registered office.
365	Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created to
366	read:
367	732.527 Qualified custodians.—
368	(1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic
369	will, a person or entity must:
370	(a) Not be named as a fiduciary under the electronic will
371	or an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201, of the
372	testator;
373	(b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be
374	incorporated or organized in this state;
375	(c) In the course of maintaining custody of electronic
376	wills, regularly employ, and store electronic records containing
377	electronic wills in, a system that:

595-04430-17 2017206c3

1. Protects electronic records from destruction, alteration, or unauthorized access; and

- 2. Detects any change to an electronic record; and
- (d) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and practices related to the creation, sending, communication, receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic wills.
- (2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only:
 - (a) To the testator;
- (b) To persons authorized by the testator in the electronic will or in written instructions signed by the testator in accordance with s. 732.502;
- (c) After the death of the testator, to the testator's nominated personal representative; or
- (d) At any time, as directed by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any of the documentation required to be created and stored under paragraph (1)(d), at any time after the earlier of the fifth anniversary of the conclusion of the administration of the estate of the testator or 20 years after the death of the testator.
 - (4) A qualified custodian who at any time maintains custody

595-04430-17

2017206c3

407	of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to
408	cease serving in such capacity by:
409	(a) Delivering the electronic will or the electronic record
410	containing the electronic will to the testator, if then living,
411	or, after the death of the testator, by filing the will with the
412	court in accordance with s. 732.901; and
413	(b) If the outgoing qualified custodian intends to
414	designate a successor qualified custodian, by doing the
415	following:
416	1. Providing written notice to the testator of the name,
417	address, and qualifications of the proposed successor qualified
418	custodian. The testator must provide written consent before the
419	electronic record, including the electronic will, is delivered
420	to a successor qualified custodian;
421	2. Delivering the electronic record containing the
422	electronic will to the successor qualified custodian; and
423	3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an
424	affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that:
425	a. The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as a
426	qualified custodian in this state;
427	b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified
428	custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or
429	appointed to act in such capacity under this paragraph;
430	c. The electronic will has at all times been in the custody
431	of one or more qualified custodians in compliance with this
432	section since the time the electronic record was created, and
433	identifying such qualified custodians; and
434	d. To the best of the outgoing qualified custodian's
435	knowledge, the electronic will has not been altered since the

595-04430-17 2017206c3

436 time it was created.

For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a predecessor qualified custodian in connection with its designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified custodian.

- writing signed in accordance with s. 732.502, a qualified custodian who at any time maintains custody of the electronic record of the testator's electronic will must cease serving in such capacity and must deliver to a successor qualified custodian designated in writing by the testator the electronic record containing the electronic will and the affidavit required in subparagraph (4)(b)3.
- (6) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she agrees in writing to serve in such capacity.
- (7) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit, or an appearance by the testator in the presence of a duly authorized officer or agent of such entity, acting in his or her own capacity as such, shall constitute an affidavit, or an appearance by the testator in the presence of the qualified custodian.
- (8) A qualified custodian must provide a paper copy of an electronic will and the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator immediately upon request. For the first such request in any 365-day period, the testator may

Page 16 of 41

595-04430-17 2017206c3

not be charged a fee for being provided with these documents.

(9) The qualified custodian shall be liable for any damages caused by the negligent loss or destruction of the electronic record, including the electronic will, while it is in the possession of the qualified custodian. A qualified custodian may not limit liability for such damages.

- (10) A qualified custodian may not terminate or suspend access to, or downloads of, the electronic will by the testator.
- (11) Upon receiving information that the testator is dead, a qualified custodian must deposit the electronic will with the court in accordance with s. 732.901. A qualified custodian may not charge a fee for depositing the electronic will with the clerk, providing the affidavit is made in accordance with s. 732.503, or furnishing in writing any information requested by a court under paragraph (1)(d).
- (12) Except as provided in this act, a qualified custodian must at all times keep information provided by the testator confidential and may not disclose such information to any third party.
- Section 10. Section 733.201, Florida Statutes is amended to read:
 - 733.201 Proof of wills.—
- (1) Self-proved wills executed in accordance with this code may be admitted to probate without further proof.
- (2) A will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of any attesting witness taken before any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or clerk.
 - (3) If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses

Page 17 of 41

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

522

595-04430-17 2017206c3

494 cannot be found or that they have become incapacitated after the 495 execution of the will or their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, a will, other than an electronic will, 496 497 may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the personal 498 representative nominated by the will as provided in subsection 499 (2), whether or not the nominated personal representative is 500 interested in the estate, or upon the oath of any person having 501 no interest in the estate under the will stating that the person believes the writing exhibited to be the true last will of the 502 503 decedent.

- (4) If an electronic will, including an electronic will whose execution included the use of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), is not self-proved, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the two attesting witnesses for the electronic will taken before any circuit judge, any commissioner appointed by the court, or the clerk. If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found, that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the electronic will, or that their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of two disinterested witnesses providing all of the following information:
- (a) The date on which the electronic will was created, if the date is not indicated in the electronic will itself.
- $\underline{\mbox{(b)}}$ When and how the electronic will was discovered, and by whom.
- 520 (c) All of the people who had access to the electronic will.
 - (d) The method by which the electronic will was stored and

	595-04430-17 2017206c3
523	the safeguards that were in place to prevent alterations to the
524	electronic will.
5.25	(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been
526	altered since its creation.
527	(f) A statement that the electronic will is a true,
528	correct, and complete tangible manifestation of the testator's
529	will.
530	(g) If the execution of an electronic will included the use
531	of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), a statement as to
532	whether a recording of the video conference is available for
533	inspection by the court or cannot be found after a diligent
534	search.
535	(5) A paper copy of an electronic will which is a true and
536	correct copy of the electronic will may be offered for and
537	admitted to probate and shall constitute an "original" of the
538	electronic will.
539	Section 11. Subsection (11) of section 736.0103, Florida
540	Statutes, is amended to read:
541	736.0103 DefinitionsUnless the context otherwise
542	requires, in this code:
543	(11) "Interests of the beneficiaries" means the beneficial
544	interests $\underline{\text{intended}}$ by the settlor as provided in the terms of \underline{a}
545	the trust.
546	Section 12. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section
547	736.0105, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
548	736.0105 Default and mandatory rules
549	(2) The terms of a trust prevail over any provision of this
550	code except:
551	(c) The requirement that a trust and its terms be for the

57.7578

595-04430-17 2017206c3

benefit of the trust's beneficiaries, and that the trust have a purpose that is lawful, not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve.

Section 13. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 736.0109, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

736.0109 Methods and waiver of notice.-

- (1) Notice to a person under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code must be accomplished in a manner reasonably suitable under the circumstances and likely to result in receipt of the notice or document. Permissible methods of notice or for sending a document include first-class mail, personal delivery, delivery to the person's last known place of residence or place of business, or a properly directed facsimile or other electronic message, or posting to a secure electronic account or website in accordance with subsection (3).
- electronic account or website is not deemed sent for purposes of this section unless the sender complies with this subsection.

 The sender has the burden of proving compliance with this subsection in addition to the methods listed in subsection (1) for sending a document, a sender may post a document to a secure electronic account or website where the document can be accessed.
- (a) Before a document may be posted to an electronic account or website, The recipient must sign a separate written authorization solely for the purpose of authorizing the sender to post documents on an electronic account or website before such posting. The written authorization must:
 - 1. Specifically indicate whether a trust accounting, trust

595-04430-17 2017206c3

disclosure document, or limitation notice, as those terms are defined in s. 736.1008(4), will be posted in this manner, and generally enumerate the other types of documents that may be posted in this manner.

- 2. Contain specific instructions for accessing the electronic account or website, including the security procedures required to access the electronic account or website, such as a username and password.
- 3. Advise the recipient that a separate notice will be sent when a document is posted to the electronic account or website and the manner in which the separate notice will be sent.
- 4. Advise the recipient that the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time and include specific instructions for revoking or amending the authorization, including the address designated for the purpose of receiving notice of the revocation or amendment.
- 5. Advise the recipient that posting a document on the electronic account or website may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never actually accesses the electronic account, electronic website, or the document.
- (b) Once the recipient signs the written authorization, the sender must provide a separate notice to the recipient when a document is posted to the electronic account or website. As used in this subsection, the term "separate notice" means a notice sent to the recipient by means other than electronic posting, which identifies each document posted to the electronic account or website and provides instructions for accessing the posted document. The separate notice requirement is deemed satisfied if

595-04430-17 2017206c3

the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.

- (c) A document sent by electronic posting is deemed received by the recipient on the earlier of the date on which that the separate notice is received or the date on which that the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.
- (d) At least annually after a recipient signs a written authorization, a sender shall send a notice advising recipients who have authorized one or more documents to be posted to an electronic account or website that such posting may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never accesses the electronic account or website or the document and that authority to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time. This notice must be given by means other than electronic posting and may not be accompanied by any other written communication. Failure to provide such notice within 380 days after the last notice is deemed to automatically revoke the authorization to receive documents in the manner permitted under this subsection 380 days after the last notice is sent.
- (e) The notice required in paragraph (d) may be in substantially the following form: "You have authorized the receipt of documents through posting to an electronic account or website on which where the documents can be accessed. This notice is being sent to advise you that a limitations period, which may be as short as 6 months, may be running as to matters disclosed in a trust accounting or other written report of a trustee posted to the electronic account or website even if you

595-04430-17 2017206c3

never actually access the electronic account or website or the documents. You may amend or revoke the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting at any time. If you have any questions, please consult your attorney."

- (f) A sender may rely on the recipient's authorization until the recipient amends or revokes the authorization by sending a notice to the address designated for that purpose in the authorization or in the manner specified on the electronic account or website. The recipient, at any time, may amend or revoke an authorization to have documents posted on the electronic account or website.
- (g) If a document is provided to a recipient solely through electronic posting pursuant to this subsection, the recipient must be able to access and print or download the document until the earlier of remain accessible to the recipient on the electronic account or website for at least 4 years after the date that the document is deemed received by the recipient or the date upon which the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason.
- 1. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason, such termination does not invalidate the notice or sending of any document previously posted on the electronic account or website in accordance with this subsection, but may toll the applicable limitations period as provided in subparagraph 2.
- 2. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated by the sender sooner than 4 years after the date on which the document was received by the recipient, any applicable limitations period set forth in s. 736.1008(1) or

595-04430-17 2017206c3

(2) which is still running is tolled for any information adequately disclosed in a document sent solely by electronic posting, from the date on which the recipient's access to the electronic account or website was terminated by the sender until 45 days after the date on which the sender provides one of the following to the recipient by means other than electronic posting:

- a. Notice of such termination and notification to the recipient that he or she may request that any documents sent during the prior 4 years solely through electronic posting be provided to him or her by other means at no cost; or
- b. Notice of such termination and notification to the recipient that his or her access to the electronic account or website has been restored.

Any applicable limitations period is further tolled from the date on which any request is made pursuant to sub-subparagraph 2.a. until 20 days after the date on which the requested documents are provided to the recipient by means other than electronic posting The electronic account or website must allow the recipient to download or print the document. This subsection does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain those records.

(h) For purposes of this subsection, access to an electronic account or website is terminated by the sender when the sender unilaterally terminates the recipient's ability to access the electronic website or account or download or print

595-04430-17 2017206c3

any document posted on such website or account. Access is not terminated by the sender when access is terminated by an action of the recipient or by an action of the sender in response to the recipient's request to terminate access. The recipient's revocation of authorization pursuant to paragraph (f) is not considered a request to terminate access To be effective, the posting of a document to an electronic account or website must be done in accordance with this subsection. The sender has the burden of establishing compliance with this subsection.

- (i) This subsection does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain such records preclude the sending of a document by other means.
- (j) This subsection governs the posting of a document solely for the purpose of giving notice under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code and does not prohibit or otherwise apply to the posting of a document to an electronic account or website for any other purpose or preclude the sending of a document by any other means.

Section 14. Subsection (3) of section 736.0110, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0110 Others treated as qualified beneficiaries.-

- (3) The Attorney General may assert the rights of a qualified beneficiary with respect to a charitable trust having its principal place of administration in this state. The Attorney General has standing to assert such rights in any judicial proceedings.
 - Section 15. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section

Page 25 of 41

595-04430-17 2017206c3

726 736.0403, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0403 Trusts created in other jurisdictions; formalities required for revocable trusts.—

- (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1):
- (b) The testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, executed by a settlor who is a domiciliary of this state at the time of execution, are invalid unless the trust instrument is executed by the settlor with the formalities required for the execution of a will under s. 732.502 or an electronic will under s. 732.523 which is self-proved; however, the qualified custodian of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust in this state. For purposes of this subsection, the term "testamentary aspects" means those provisions of the trust instrument that dispose of the trust property on or after the death of the settlor other than to the settlor's estate.

Section 16. Section 736.0404, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0404 Trust purposes.—A trust may be created only to the extent the purposes of the trust are lawful, not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve. A trust and its terms must be for the benefit of its beneficiaries.

Section 17. Effective upon becoming a law, section 736.04117, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.04117 Trustee's power to invade principal in trust.—

- (1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:
- (a) <u>"Absolute power" means</u> Unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, a trustee who has absolute power under the terms of a trust to invade the principal of the trust, referred to in this section as the "first trust," to make

Page 26 of 41

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

595-04430-17 2017206c3

distributions to or for the benefit of one or more persons may instead exercise the power by appointing all or part of the principal of the trust subject to the power in favor of a trustee of another trust, referred to in this section as the "second trust," for the current benefit of one or more of such persons under the same trust instrument or under a different trust instrument; provided:

- 1. The beneficiaries of the second trust may include only beneficiaries of the first trust:
- 2. The second trust may not reduce any fixed income, annuity, or unitrust interest in the assets of the first trust; and
- 3. If any contribution to the first trust qualified for a marital or charitable deduction for federal income, gift, or estate tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the second trust shall not contain any provision which, if included in the first trust, would have prevented the first trust from qualifying for such a deduction or would have reduced the amount of such deduction.
- (b) For purposes of this subsection, an absolute power to invade principal shall include a power to invade principal that is not limited to specific or ascertainable purposes, such as health, education, maintenance, and support, regardless of whether or not the term "absolute" is used. A power to invade principal for purposes such as best interests, welfare, comfort, or happiness constitutes shall constitute an absolute power not limited to specific or ascertainable purposes.
- (b) "Authorized trustee" means a trustee, other than the settlor or a beneficiary, who has the power to invade the

595-04430-17 2017206c3

784 principal of a trust.

(c) "Beneficiary with a disability" means a beneficiary of the first trust who the authorized trustee believes may qualify for governmental benefits based on disability, regardless of whether the beneficiary currently receives those benefits or has been adjudicated incapacitated.

- (d) "Current beneficiary" means a beneficiary who, on the date his or her qualification is determined, is a distributee or permissible distributee of trust income or principal. The term includes the holder of a presently exercisable general power of appointment but does not include a person who is a beneficiary only because he or she holds another power of appointment.
- (e) "Governmental benefits" means financial aid or services from any state, federal, or other public agency.
 - (f) "Internal Revenue Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
 - (g) "Power of appointment" has the same meaning as provided in s. 731.201(30).
 - (h) "Presently exercisable general power of appointment" means a power of appointment exercisable by the powerholder at the relevant time. The term:
 - 1. Includes a power of appointment that is exercisable only after the occurrence of a specified event or that is subject to a specified restriction, but only after the event has occurred or the restriction has been satisfied.
- 2. Does not include a power exercisable only upon the powerholder's death.
- 811 (i) "Substantially similar" means that there is no material change in a beneficiary's beneficial interests or in the power

595-04430-17 2017206c3

to make distributions and that the power to make a distribution
under a second trust for the benefit of a beneficiary who is an
individual is substantially similar to the power under the first
trust to make a distribution directly to the beneficiary. A
distribution is deemed to be for the benefit of a beneficiary
if:

- 1. The distribution is applied for the benefit of a beneficiary;
- 2. The beneficiary is under a legal disability or the trustee reasonably believes the beneficiary is incapacitated, and the distribution is made as permitted under this code; or
- 3. The distribution is made as permitted under the terms of the first trust instrument and the second trust instrument for the benefit of the beneficiary.
- (j) "Supplemental needs trust" means a trust that the authorized trustee believes would not be considered a resource for purposes of determining whether the beneficiary who has a disability is eligible for governmental benefits.
- (k) "Vested interest" means a current unconditional right to receive a mandatory distribution of income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, or a current unconditional right to withdraw income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, which right is not subject to the occurrence of a specified event, the passage of a specified time, or the exercise of discretion.
- 1. The term includes a presently exercisable general power of appointment.
- 2. The term does not include a beneficiary's interest in a trust if the trustee has discretion to make a distribution of

595-04430-17 2017206c3

trust property to a person other than such beneficiary.

- (2) <u>DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SECOND TRUST WHEN</u> AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE HAS ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE.—
- (a) Unless a trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized trustee who has absolute power under the terms of the trust to invade its principal, referred to in this section as the "first trust," to make current distributions to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one or more other trusts, whether created under the same trust instrument as the first trust or a different trust instrument, including a trust instrument created for the purposes of exercising the power granted by this section, each referred to in this section as the "second trust," for the current benefit of one or more of such beneficiaries only if:
- 1. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only beneficiaries of the first trust; and
 - 2. The second trust does not reduce any vested interest.
 - (b) In an exercise of absolute power, the second trust may:
- 1. Retain a power of appointment granted in the first trust;
- 2. Omit a power of appointment granted in the first trust, other than a presently exercisable general power of appointment;
- 3. Create or modify a power of appointment if the powerholder is a current beneficiary of the first trust;
- 4. Create or modify a power of appointment if the powerholder is a beneficiary of the first trust who is not a current beneficiary, but the exercise of the power of

595-04430-17 2017206c3

appointment may take effect only after the powerholder becomes,
or would have become if then living, a current beneficiary of
the first trust; and

- 5. Extend the term of the second trust beyond the term of the first trust.
- (c) The class of permissible appointees in favor of which a created or modified power of appointment may be exercised may differ from the class identified in the first trust.
- AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE DOES NOT HAVE ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE.—
 Unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized trustee who has a power, other than an absolute power, under the terms of a first trust to invade principal to make current distributions to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the first trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one or more second trusts. If the authorized trustee exercises such power:
- (a) The second trusts, in the aggregate, shall grant each beneficiary of the first trust beneficial interests in the second trusts which are substantially similar to the beneficial interests of the beneficiary in the first trust.
- (b) If the first trust grants a power of appointment to a beneficiary of the first trust, the second trust shall grant such power of appointment in the second trust to such beneficiary, and the class of permissible appointees shall be the same as in the first trust.
- (c) If the first trust does not grant a power of appointment to a beneficiary of the first trust, then the second

9.02

595-04430-17 2017206c3

trust may not grant a power of appointment in the second trust to such beneficiary.

- (d) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), the term of the second trust may extend beyond the term of the first trust, and, for any period after the first trust would have otherwise terminated, in whole or in part, under the provisions of the first trust, the trust instrument of the second trust may, with respect to property subject to such extended term:
- 1. Include language providing the trustee with the absolute power to invade the principal of the second trust during such extended term; and
- 2. Create a power of appointment, if the powerholder is a current beneficiary of the first trust, or expand the class of permissible appointees in favor of which a power of appointment may be exercised.
- (4) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SUPPLEMENTAL NEEDS TRUST.—
- (a) Notwithstanding subsections (2) and (3), unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized trustee who has the power under the terms of a first trust to invade the principal of the first trust to make current distributions to or for the benefit of a beneficiary with a disability may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the first trust in favor of a trustee of a second trust that is a supplemental needs trust if:
- 1. The supplemental needs trust benefits the beneficiary with a disability;
- 927 <u>2. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only</u> 928 beneficiaries of the first trust; and

956.

595-04430-17 2017206c3

3. The authorized trustee determines that the exercise of such power will further the purposes of the first trust.

- (b) Except as affected by any change to the interests of the beneficiary with a disability, the second trusts, in the aggregate, shall grant each other beneficiary of the first trust beneficial interests in the second trusts which are substantially similar to such beneficiary's beneficial interests in the first trust.
 - (5) PROHIBITED DISTRIBUTIONS.-
- (a) An authorized trustee may not distribute the principal of a trust under this section in a manner that would prevent a contribution to that trust from qualifying for, or that would reduce the exclusion, deduction, or other federal tax benefit that was originally claimed or could have been claimed for, that contribution, including:
- 1. The exclusions under s. 2503(b) or s. 2503(c) of the Internal Revenue Code;
- 2. A marital deduction under s. 2056, s. 2056A, or s. 2523 of the Internal Revenue Code;
- 3. A charitable deduction under s. 170(a), s. 642(c), s. 2055(a), or s. 2522(a) of the Internal Revenue Code;
- 4. Direct skip treatment under s. 2642(c) of the Internal Revenue Code; or
 - 5. Any other tax benefit for income, gift, estate, or generation-skipping transfer tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code.
 - (b) If S corporation stock is held in the first trust, an authorized trustee may not distribute all or part of that stock to a second trust that is not a permitted shareholder under s.

595-04430-17 2017206c3

1361(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. If the first trust holds stock in an S corporation and is, or but for provisions of paragraphs (a), (c), and (d) would be, a qualified subchapter S trust within the meaning of s. 1361(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, the second trust instrument may not include or omit a term that prevents it from qualifying as a qualified subchapter S trust.

- (c) Except as provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (d), an authorized trustee may distribute the principal of a first trust to a second trust regardless of whether the settlor is treated as the owner of either trust under ss. 671-679 of the Internal Revenue Code; however, if the settlor is not treated as the owner of the first trust, he or she may not be treated as the owner of the second trust unless he or she at all times has the power to cause the second trust to cease being treated as if it were owned by the settlor.
- (d) If an interest in property which is subject to the minimum distribution rules of s. 401(a)(9) of the Internal Revenue Code is held in trust, an authorized trustee may not distribute such an interest to a second trust under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) if the distribution would shorten the otherwise applicable maximum distribution period.
- (6) EXERCISE BY WRITING.—The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) must The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (1) shall be by a written an instrument in writing, signed and acknowledged by the authorized trustee, and filed with the records of the first trust.
 - (7)(3) RESTRICTIONS ON EXERCISE OF POWER.—The exercise of a

595-04430-17 2017206c3

power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4):

- (a) Is (1) shall be considered the exercise of a power of appointment, excluding other than a power to appoint to the authorized trustee, the authorized trustee's creditors, the authorized trustee's estate, or the creditors of the authorized trustee's estate.
- (b) Is, and Shall be subject to the provisions of s. 689.225 covering the time at which the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities begins and the law that determines the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities of the first trust.
- (c) May be to a second trust created or administered under the law of any jurisdiction.
 - (d) May not:
- 1. Increase the authorized trustee's compensation beyond the compensation specified in the first trust instrument; or
- 2. Relieve the authorized trustee from liability for breach of trust or provide for indemnification of the authorized trustee for any liability or claim to a greater extent than the first trust instrument; however, the exercise of the power may divide and reallocate fiduciary powers among fiduciaries and relieve a fiduciary from liability for an act or failure to act of another fiduciary as otherwise allowed under law or common law.
 - \cdot (8) NOTICE.—
- (a) (4) The <u>authorized</u> trustee shall <u>provide written</u> notification of the manner in which he or she intends to exercise his or her power to invade principal to notify all

 595-04430-17 2017206c3

qualified beneficiaries of the following parties first trust, in writing, at least 60 days before prior to the effective date of the authorized trustee's exercise of such power the trustee's power to invade principal pursuant to subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4): (1), of the manner in which the trustee intends to exercise the power.

- 1. All qualified beneficiaries of the first trust;
- 2. If paragraph (5)(c) applies, the settlor of the first trust;
 - 3. All trustees of the first trust; and
- 4. Any person who has the power to remove or replace the authorized trustee of the first trust.
- (b) The authorized A copy of the proposed instrument exercising the power shall satisfy the trustee's notice obligation to provide notice under this subsection is satisfied when he or she provides copies of the proposed instrument exercising the power, the trust instrument of the first trust, and the proposed trust instrument of the second trust.
- (c) If all of those required to be notified qualified beneficiaries waive the notice period by signed written instrument delivered to the authorized trustee, the authorized trustee's power to invade principal shall be exercisable immediately.
- (d) The <u>authorized</u> trustee's notice under this subsection does shall not limit the right of any beneficiary to object to the exercise of the <u>authorized</u> trustee's power to invade principal except as <u>otherwise</u> provided in other applicable provisions of this code.
 - (9) (5) INAPPLICABILITY OF SPENDTHRIFT CLAUSE OR OTHER

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060 1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

595-04430-17 2017206c3

PROHIBITION.—The exercise of the power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) $\frac{(1)}{(1)}$ is not prohibited by a spendthrift clause or by a provision in the trust instrument that prohibits amendment or revocation of the trust.

(10) (6) NO DUTY TO EXERCISE.—Nothing in this section is intended to create or imply a duty to exercise a power to invade principal, and no inference of impropriety may shall be made as a result of an authorized trustee's failure to exercise a trustee not exercising the power to invade principal conferred under subsections (2), (3), and (4) subsection (1).

(11) (7) NO ABRIDGEMENT OF COMMON LAW RIGHTS. The provisions of This section may shall not be construed to abridge the right of any trustee who has a power of invasion to appoint property in further trust that arises under the terms of the first trust or under any other section of this code or under another provision of law or under common law.

Section 18. Subsection (1) of section 736.0708, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0708 Compensation of trustee.-

(1) If the terms of a trust do not specify a the trustee's compensation, the a trustee, including each cotrustee, is entitled to compensation that is reasonable under the circumstances. In the aggregate, the reasonable compensation for multiple trustees may be greater than for a single trustee.

Section 19. Subsection (3) of section 736.08135, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

J36.08135 Trust accountings.

(3) Subsections (1) and (2) govern the form and content of

Page 37 of 41

595-04430-17 2017206c3

This section applies to all trust accountings rendered for any accounting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2003, and all trust accountings rendered on or after July 1, 2017. This subsection does not affect the beginning period from which a trustee is required to render a trust accounting.

Section 20. Subsection (3) of section 736.1008, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1008 Limitations on proceedings against trustees.-

- (3) When a trustee has not issued a final trust accounting or has not given written notice to the beneficiary of the availability of the trust records for examination and that claims with respect to matters not adequately disclosed may be barred, a claim against the trustee for breach of trust based on a matter not adequately disclosed in a trust disclosure document is barred as provided in chapter 95 and accrues when the beneficiary has actual knowledge of:
- (a) The facts upon which the claim is based, if such actual knowledge is established by clear and convincing evidence; or
- (b) The trustee's repudiation of the trust or adverse possession of trust assets.

Paragraph (a) applies to claims based upon acts or omissions occurring on or after July 1, 2008. A beneficiary's actual knowledge that he or she has not received a trust accounting does not cause a claim to accrue against the trustee for breach of trust based upon the failure to provide a trust accounting required by s. 736.0813 or former s. 737.303 and does not commence the running of any period of limitations or laches for such a claim, and paragraph (a) and chapter 95 do not bar any

Page 38 of 41

595-04430-17 2017206c3

1103 such claim.

1.122

Section 21. The changes to ss. 736.08135 and 736.1008, Florida Statutes, made by this act are intended to clarify existing law, are remedial in nature, and apply retroactively to all cases pending or commenced on or after July 1, 2017.

Section 22. Present subsections (2), (3), and (4) of section 736.1201, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (3), (4), and (5), respectively, present subsection (5) of that section is amended, and a new subsection (2) is added to that section, to read:

736.1201 Definitions.—As used in this part:

- (2) "Delivery of notice" means delivery of a written notice required under this part using any commercial delivery service requiring a signed receipt or by any form of mail requiring a signed receipt.
- (5) "State attorney" means the state attorney for the judicial circuit of the principal place of administration of the trust pursuant to s. 736.0108.

Section 23. Section 736.1205, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1205 Notice that this part does not apply.—In the case of a power to make distributions, if the trustee determines that the governing instrument contains provisions that are more restrictive than s. 736.1204(2), or if the trust contains other powers, inconsistent with the provisions of s. 736.1204(3) that specifically direct acts by the trustee, the trustee shall notify the state Attorney General by delivery of notice when the trust becomes subject to this part. Section 736.1204 does not apply to any trust for which notice has been given pursuant to

Page 39 of 41

595-04430-17 2017206c3

this section unless the trust is amended to comply with the terms of this part.

Section 24. Sections 1 through 10 and section 15 of this act apply to electronic wills executed on or after July 1, 2017.

Section 25. Subsection (2) of section 736.1206, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1206 Power to amend trust instrument.

(2) In the case of a charitable trust that is not subject to the provisions of subsection (1), the trustee may amend the governing instrument to comply with the provisions of s. 736.1204(2) after delivery of notice to, and with the consent of, the state Attorney General.

Section 26. Section 736.1207, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1207 Power of court to permit deviation.—This part does not affect the power of a court to relieve a trustee from any restrictions on the powers and duties that are placed on the trustee by the governing instrument or applicable law for cause shown and on complaint of the trustee, the state Attorney General, or an affected beneficiary and notice to the affected parties.

Section 27. Paragraph (b) of subsection (4) of section 736.1208, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1208 Release; property and persons affected; manner of effecting.—

- (4) Delivery of a release shall be accomplished as follows:
- (b) If the release is accomplished by reducing the class of permissible charitable organizations, by delivery of $\underline{\text{notice}}$ a $\underline{\text{copy}}$ of the release to the $\underline{\text{state}}$ Attorney General, including a

Page 40 of 41

595-04430-17 2017206c3

1161 copy of the release.

1162

1163

1164 1165

1166

11671168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

Section 28. Section 736.1209, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1209 Election to come under this part.—With the consent of that organization or organizations, a trustee of a trust for the benefit of a public charitable organization or organizations may come under s. 736.1208(5) by delivery of notice to filing with the state Attorney General of the an election, accompanied by the proof of required consent. Thereafter the trust shall be subject to s. 736.1208(5).

Section 29. Except as otherwise provided in this act and except for this section, which shall take effect upon becoming a law, this act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Senate Bill 206 as First Engrossed

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

2017206e1

A bill to be entitled An act relating to wills and trusts; amending s. 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 732.506, F.S.; excluding electronic wills from specified methods to revoke a will; creating s. 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 732.522, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 732.523, F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the execution of electronic wills; creating s. 732.524, F.S.; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; specifying the circumstances under which a person is deemed to be in the presence of or appearing before another person; providing that an electronic record satisfies the requirement that a record be in writing; providing that an electronic signature satisfies the requirement that a document be signed; providing requirements for certain documents to be deemed executed in this state; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will of a nonresident of this state which is properly executed in this or another state to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the probate of such electronic will; creating s. 732.527, F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing

31

3233

34

35

36

37

38

3940

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

2017206e1

the electronic will, only to specified persons or as directed by a court; authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy the electronic record of an electronic will after a certain date; providing conditions under which a qualified custodian may cease serving as a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to cease serving in such capacity upon the written request of the testator; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; specifying what constitutes an affidavit of a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents upon request from the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging the testator a fee for such documents under certain circumstances; providing that a qualified custodian is liable for certain damages under certain circumstances; prohibiting a qualified custodian from terminating or suspending access to, or downloads of, an electronic will by the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to deposit an electronic will with the court upon receiving information that the testator is dead; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging a fee for certain actions taken upon the death of the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to keep certain information confidential; amending s. 732.528, F.S.; requiring a qualified custodian to post and maintain a blanket surety bond, subject to certain requirements, or to maintain a certain liability

60

61

62

63

64

65 66

67

68

69

70

7172

7374

75

76-77

78 79

80

81 82

83

8485

8687

2017206e1

insurance policy; authorizing the Attorney General to petition a court for the appointment of a receiver to manage certain records under certain conditions; amending s. 732.901, F.S.; providing that an electronic will that is filed electronically with the clerk is deemed to have been deposited as an original of the electronic will; amending s. 733.201, F.S.; providing for the proof of electronic wills; providing requirements for admitting an electronic will that is not self-proved into probate; providing that a paper copy of an electronic will constitutes an "original" of the electronic will subject to certain conditions; amending s. 736.0103, F.S.; redefining the term "interests of the beneficiaries"; amending s. 736.0105, F.S.; deleting a requirement that a trust be for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries; amending s. 736.0109, F.S.; revising provisions relating to notice or sending of electronic trust documents; providing requirements for such documents to be deemed sent; requiring a certain authorization to specify documents subject to electronic posting; revising requirements for a recipient to electronically access such documents; prohibiting the termination of a recipient's electronic access to such documents from invalidating certain notice or sending of electronic trust documents; tolling specified limitations periods under certain circumstances; providing requirements for electronic access to such documents to be deemed terminated by a sender; providing applicability;

90

91 92

93

94

95 96

97

98

99

100

101102

103104

105

106

107108

109

110111

112

113114

115

116

2017206e1

amending s. 736.0110, F.S.; providing that the Attorney General has standing to assert certain rights in certain proceedings; amending s. 736.0403, F.S.; providing that, for purposes of establishing the validity of the testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, the qualified custodian of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust; amending s. 736.0404, F.S.; deleting a restriction on the purpose for which a trust is created; amending s. 736.04117, F.S.; defining and redefining terms; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint all or part of the principal of a trust to a second trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for the second trust and its beneficiaries; providing that the second trust may retain, omit, or create specified powers; authorizing the term of the second trust to extend beyond the term of the first trust; providing requirements for distributions to a second trust when the authorized trustee does not have absolute power; providing requirements for such second trust; providing requirements for grants of power by the second trust; authorizing a second trust created by an authorized trustee without absolute power to grant absolute power to the second trust's trustee; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint the principal of a first trust to a supplemental needs trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for such supplemental needs trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143144

145

2017206e1

the principal of a trust in a manner that would reduce specified tax benefits; prohibiting the distribution of S corporation stock from a first trust to a second trust under certain circumstances; prohibiting a settlor from being treated as the owner of a second trust if he or she was not treated as the owner of the first trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing a trust's interest in property to a second trust if it is subject to specified rules of the Internal Revenue Code; prohibiting the exercise of power to invade a trust's principal to increase an authorized trustee's compensation or relieve him or her from certain liability; specifying who an authorized trustee must notify when he or she exercises his or her power to invade the trust's principal; specifying the documents that the authorized trustee must provide with such notice; amending s. 736.0708, F.S.; providing that a cotrustee is entitled to reasonable compensation when the trust does not specify compensation; providing that reasonable compensation may be greater for multiple trustees than for a single trustee; amending s. 736.08135, F.S.; revising applicability; amending s. 736.1008, F.S.; clarifying that certain knowledge by a beneficiary does not cause a claim to accrue for breach of trust or commence the running of a period of limitations or laches; providing legislative intent; providing for retroactive application; amending s. 736.1201, F.S.; defining the term "delivery of

174

2017206e1

146 notice"; conforming a provision to changes made by the 147 act; amending s. 736.1205, F.S.; requiring an 148 authorized trustee to provide certain notice to the 149 Attorney General rather than the state attorney; 150 providing applicability; amending ss. 736.1206, 736.1207, 736.1208, and 736.1209, F.S.; conforming 151 152 provisions to changes made by the act; providing 153 effective dates. 154 155 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 156 157 Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 158 731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional 159 definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to 160 specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise 161 requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 162 163 738, 739, and 744, the term: 164 (40) "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, 165 executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, 166 which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her 167 death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a 168 personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The 169 term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 170 732.522. 171 Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended to 172 read:

Page 6 of 43

electronic will, is revoked by the testator, or some other

732.506 Revocation by act.—A will or codicil, other than an

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017206e1

175	person in the testator's presence and at the testator's
176	direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing,
177	obliterating, or destroying it with the intent, and for the
178	purpose, of revocation.
179	Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created to
180	read:
181	732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.527 may be cited
182	as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act."
183	Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created to
184	read:
185	732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.527, the
186	term:
187	(1) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated,
188	sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.
189	(2) "Electronic signature" means an electronic mark visibly
190	manifested in a record as a signature and executed or adopted by
191	a person with the intent to sign the record.
192	(3) "Electronic will" means a will, including a codicil,
193	executed in accordance with s. 732.523 by a person in the manner
194	prescribed by this act, which disposes of the person's property
195	on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that
196	appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another
197	will or electronic will.
198	(4) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the
199	requirements of s. 732.527(1).
200	Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created to
201	read:
202	732.523 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502:
203	(1) An electronic will must meet all of the following

Page 7 of 43

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017206e1

204	requirements.
205	(a) Exist in an electronic record that is unique and
206	identifiable.
207	(b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the
208	presence of at least two attesting witnesses.
209	(c) Be electronically signed by the attesting witnesses in
210	the presence of the testator and in the presence of each other.
211	(2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all questions
212	as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation of an
213	electronic will that complies with this section must be
214	determined in the same manner as in the case of a will executed
215	in accordance with s. 732.502.
216	Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created to
217	read:
218	732.524 Self-proof of electronic will.—An electronic will
219	is self-proved if all of the following requirements are met:
220	(1) The electronic will is executed in conformity with this
221	act.
222	(2) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the
223	testator and the affidavits of the witnesses are made in
224	accordance with s. 732.503 and are part of the electronic record
225	containing the electronic will, or are attached to, or are
226	logically associated with, the electronic will.
227	(3)(a) The electronic will designates a qualified
228	<pre>custodian;</pre>
229	(b) The electronic record that contains the electronic will
230	is held in the custody of a qualified custodian at all times
231	before being offered to the court for probate; and
232	(c) The qualified custodian who has custody of the

233	electronic will at the time of the testator's death:
234	1. Certifies under oath that, to the best knowledge of the
235	qualified custodian, the electronic record that contains the
236	electronic will was at all times before being offered to the
237	court in the custody of a qualified custodian in compliance with
238	s. 732.527 and that the electronic will has not been altered in
239	any way since the date of its execution; and
240	2. If the execution of the electronic will included the use
241	of video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), certifies under oath
242	that the audio and video recording required under s.
243	732.525(1)(b)9. is in the qualified custodian's custody in the
244	electronic record that contains the electronic will and is
245	available for inspection by the court.
246	Section 7. Section 732.525, Florida Statutes, is created to
247	read:
248	732.525 Method and place of execution.—For purposes of this
249	act, the execution and filing of a document with the court as
250	provided in this act, s. 732.503, or the Florida Probate Rules;
251	the execution of a living will under s. 765.302; and the
252	acknowledgment of any of the foregoing:
253	(1) An individual is deemed to be in the presence of or
254	appearing before another individual if the individuals are
255	either:
256	(a) In the same physical location; or
257	(b) In different physical locations, but can communicate
258	with each other by means of live video conference, if the
259	following requirements are met:
260	1. The testator or principal may not be in an end-stage
261	condition as defined in s. 765.101 or a vulnerable adult as

2017206e1

defined in s. 415.102. The contestant of the document has the burden of proving that the testator or principal was in an endstage condition or was a vulnerable adult at the time of executing the document.

- 2. The signal transmission must be live and in real time.
- 3. The signal transmission must be secure from interception through lawful means by anyone other than the persons communicating.
- 4. The persons communicating must simultaneously see and speak to one another with reasonable clarity.
- 5. In the video conference, the persons communicating must establish the identity of the testator or principal by:
- a. Personal knowledge, if the person asserting personal knowledge explains how the identity of the testator or principal has come to be known to, and the length of time for which it has been known by, such person; or
- b. Presentation of any of the forms of identification of the testator or principal, as set forth in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-i.
- 6. In the video conference, the persons communicating must demonstrate awareness of the events taking place, which may be achieved, without limitation, by stating their names and identifying any document they intend to sign.
- 7. At least one of the persons communicating must be either:
 - a. An attorney licensed to practice law in this state:
 - (I) Who electronically signs the document as a witness;
- 289 <u>(II) Whose status as an attorney licensed to practice law</u>
 290 in this state is indicated adjacent to his or her electronic

291	signature; and							
292	(III) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by his or							
293	her statement that, to the best of his or her knowledge, the							
294	execution of the document complied with the requirements of this							
295	section; or							
296	b. A Florida notary public:							
297	(I) Who electronically signs the document;							
298	(II) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by a notary							
299	public seal that meets the requirements of s. 117.021(3); and							
300	(III) Whose electronic signature and seal are accompanied							
301	by his or her certification that, to the best of his or her							
302	knowledge, the execution of the document complied with the							
303	requirements of this section.							
304								
305	If a document is required to be witnessed or acknowledged, the							
306	witness or notary fulfilling that requirement may be the same							
307	witness or notary who fulfills the requirement of this							
308	subparagraph. A person presented with a document containing the							
309	statement or certification required under this subparagraph may							
310	presume that the document was executed in compliance with this							
311	paragraph, unless the person has notice that such compliance is							
312	contested.							
313	8. In the video conference, the testator or principal must							
314	provide verbal answers to all of the following questions:							
315	a. Are you over the age of 18?							
316	b. Are you under the influence of any drugs or alcohol that							
317	impairs your ability to make decisions?							
318	c. Are you of sound mind?							
319	d. Did anyone assist you in accessing this video							

2017206e1

320	conference? If so, who?
321	e. Has anyone forced or influenced you to include anything
322	in this document which you do not wish to include?
323	f. Are you signing this document voluntarily?
324	9. A time-stamped recording of the entire video conference
325	must be identifiable with the document being signed and stored
326	in the electronic record containing the document by a qualified
327	custodian in the manner required pursuant to s. 732.527(1)(c)
328	for the storage of electronic records containing electronic
329	wills.
330	a. Without limitation, a recording is identifiable with a
331	document if the recording and document share an identification
332	number.
333	b. If the recording is not reasonably accessible by a
334	person presented with the document, such person may treat the
335	document as if it does not include the signature of any
336	signatory who appeared by means of live video conference;
337	however, an electronic will whose execution included the use of
338	video conference under this section may be proved as provided in
339	s. 733.201(4). Without limitation, a recording is reasonably
340	accessible if it is accessible at no charge over the Internet
341	pursuant to instructions set forth in the document.
342	(2) If a law requires a record to be in writing, an
343	electronic record satisfies such provision.
344	(3) Any requirement that a document be signed may be
345	satisfied by an electronic signature.
346	(4) A document that is signed electronically is deemed to
347	be executed in this state if all of the following requirements
348	are met:

350

351

352

353

354

355 356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368 369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

2017206e1

(a) The document states that the person creating the document intends to execute and understands that he or she is executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this state. (b) The person creating the document is, or the attesting witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures are obtained in the execution of the document are, physically located within this state at the time the document is executed. (c) In the case of a self-proved electronic will, the electronic will designates a qualified custodian who is domiciled in and a resident of this state or incorporated or organized in this state. Section 8. Section 732.526, Florida Statutes, is created to read: 732.526 Probate.—An electronic will, other than a holographic or nuncupative will, of a nonresident of this state which is executed or deemed executed in another state in accordance with the laws of that state or of this state may be offered for and admitted to original probate in this state and is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The venue for the probate of electronic wills is as provided in s. 733.101(1) or, in the case of the electronic will of a nonresident, may be the county in which the qualified custodian or attorney for the petitioner or personal representative has his or her domicile or registered office. Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.527 Qualified custodians.—

(1) To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic

378	will, a person or entity must:
379	(a) Not be named as a fiduciary under the electronic will
380	or an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 731.201, of the
381	testator;
382	(b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be
383	incorporated or organized in this state;
384	(c) In the course of maintaining custody of electronic
385	wills, regularly employ, and store electronic records containing
386	electronic wills in, a system that:
387	1. Protects electronic records from destruction,
388	alteration, or unauthorized access; and
389	2. Detects any change to an electronic record; and
390	(d) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic
391	will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified
392	custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to
393	the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and
394	practices related to the creation, sending, communication,
395	receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic
396	wills.
397	(2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall
398	provide access to or information concerning the electronic will,
399	or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only:
400	(a) To the testator;
401	(b) To persons authorized by the testator in the electronic
402	will or in written instructions signed by the testator in
403	accordance with s. 732.502;
404	(c) After the death of the testator, to the testator's
405	nominated personal representative; or
406	(d) At any time, as directed by a court of competent

jurisdiction.

- (3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any of the documentation required to be created and stored under paragraph (1)(d), at any time after the earlier of the fifth anniversary of the conclusion of the administration of the estate of the testator or 20 years after the death of the testator.
- (4) A qualified custodian who at any time maintains custody of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect to cease serving in such capacity by:
- (a) Delivering the electronic will or the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator, if then living, or, after the death of the testator, by filing the will with the court in accordance with s. 732.901; and
- (b) If the outgoing qualified custodian intends to designate a successor qualified custodian, by doing the following:
- 1. Providing written notice to the testator of the name, address, and qualifications of the proposed successor qualified custodian. The testator must provide written consent before the electronic record, including the electronic will, is delivered to a successor qualified custodian;
- 2. Delivering the electronic record containing the electronic will to the successor qualified custodian; and
- 3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that:
- a. The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as a qualified custodian in this state;

436 b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or 437 appointed to act in such capacity under this paragraph; 438 c. The electronic will has at all times been in the custody 439 440 of one or more qualified custodians in compliance with this 441 section since the time the electronic record was created, and 442 identifying such qualified custodians; and d. To the best of the outgoing qualified custodian's 443 knowledge, the electronic will has not been altered since the 444 445 time it was created. 446 447 For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified 448 custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a 449 predecessor qualified custodian in connection with its designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all 450 such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified 451 452 custodian. 453 (5) Upon the request of the testator which is made in a writing signed in accordance with s. 732.502, a qualified 454 custodian who at any time maintains custody of the electronic 455 record of the testator's electronic will must cease serving in 456 such capacity and must deliver to a successor qualified 457 custodian designated in writing by the testator the electronic 458 459 record containing the electronic will and the affidavit required 460 in subparagraph (4)(b)3. (6) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a 461

(7) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit, or

qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she

agrees in writing to serve in such capacity.

462 463

464

2017206e1

an appearance by the testator in the presence of a duly authorized officer or agent of such entity, acting in his or her own capacity as such, shall constitute an affidavit, or an appearance by the testator in the presence of the qualified custodian.

- (8) A qualified custodian must provide a paper copy of an electronic will and the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator immediately upon request. For the first such request in any 365-day period, the testator may not be charged a fee for being provided with these documents.
- (9) The qualified custodian shall be liable for any damages caused by the negligent loss or destruction of the electronic record, including the electronic will, while it is in the possession of the qualified custodian. A qualified custodian may not limit liability for such damages.
- (10) A qualified custodian may not terminate or suspend access to, or downloads of, the electronic will by the testator.
- (11) Upon receiving information that the testator is dead, a qualified custodian must deposit the electronic will with the court in accordance with s. 732.901. A qualified custodian may not charge a fee for depositing the electronic will with the clerk, providing the affidavit is made in accordance with s. 732.503, or furnishing in writing any information requested by a court under paragraph (1)(d).
- (12) Except as provided in this act, a qualified custodian must at all times keep information provided by the testator confidential and may not disclose such information to any third party.
 - Section 10. Section 732.528, Florida Statutes, is created

494 to read:

511₅₁₂

732.528 Liability coverage; receivership of qualified custodians.

- (1) A qualified custodian shall:
- (a) Post and maintain a blanket surety bond of at least \$250,000 to secure the faithful performance of all duties and obligations required under this act. The bond must be made payable to the Governor and his or her successors in office for the benefit of all persons who store electronic records with a qualified custodian and their estates, beneficiaries, successors, and heirs and be conditioned on the faithful performance of all duties and obligations under this act. The terms of the bond must cover the acts or omissions of the qualified custodian; or
- (b) Maintain a liability insurance policy that covers any losses sustained by any person who stores electronic records with a qualified custodian and their estates, beneficiaries, successors, and heirs which are caused by errors or omissions by the qualified custodian and each agent or employee of the qualified custodian. The policy must cover losses of up to at least \$250,000 in the aggregate.
- (2) The Attorney General may petition a court of competent jurisdiction for the appointment of a receiver to manage the electronic records of a qualified custodian for proper delivery and safekeeping if any of the following conditions exist:
 - (a) The qualified custodian is ceasing operation.
- (b) The qualified custodian intends to close the facility and adequate arrangements have not been made for proper delivery

524

525526

527528

529

530531

532

533

534535

536537

538539

540

541

542

543544

545546

547

548

549 550

551

2017206e1

of the electronic records in accordance with this act.

- (c) The Attorney General determines that conditions exist which present a danger that electronic records will be lost or misappropriated.
- (d) The qualified custodian fails to maintain and post a surety bond or maintain insurance required by this section.

Section 11. Present subsection (5) of section 732.901, Florida Statutes, is redesignated as subsection (6) of that section, and a new subsection (5) is added to that section, to read:

732.901 Production of wills.-

(5) An electronic will that is filed electronically with the clerk through the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal is deemed to have been deposited with the clerk as an original of the electronic will.

Section 12. Section 733.201, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

733.201 Proof of wills.

- (1) Self-proved wills executed in accordance with this code may be admitted to probate without further proof.
- (2) A will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of any attesting witness taken before any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or clerk.
- (3) If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found or that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the will or their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, a will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the personal

2017206e1

representative nominated by the will as provided in subsection (2), whether or not the nominated personal representative is interested in the estate, or upon the oath of any person having no interest in the estate under the will stating that the person believes the writing exhibited to be the true last will of the decedent.

- (4) If an electronic will, including an electronic will whose execution included the use of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), is not self-proved, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the two attesting witnesses for the electronic will taken before any circuit judge, any commissioner appointed by the court, or the clerk. If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found, that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the electronic will, or that their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of two disinterested witnesses providing all of the following information:
- (a) The date on which the electronic will was created, if the date is not indicated in the electronic will itself.
- (b) When and how the electronic will was discovered, and by whom.
- (c) All of the people who had access to the electronic will.
- (d) The method by which the electronic will was stored and the safeguards that were in place to prevent alterations to the electronic will.
- (e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been altered since its creation.

2017206e1

<u>(f)</u>	A	statement	that	the	electronic	will	is	a	true,	
correct,	an	id complete	e tan	gible	manifesta	tion	of	the	testator'	S
will.										

- (g) If the execution of an electronic will included the use of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), a statement as to whether a recording of the video conference is available for inspection by the court or cannot be found after a diligent search.
- (5) A paper copy of an electronic will which is a true and correct copy of the electronic will may be offered for and admitted to probate and shall constitute an "original" of the electronic will.

Section 13. Subsection (11) of section 736.0103, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0103 Definitions.—Unless the context otherwise requires, in this code:

(11) "Interests of the beneficiaries" means the beneficial interests intended by the settlor as provided in the terms of \underline{a} the trust.

Section 14. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 736.0105, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0105 Default and mandatory rules.-

- (2) The terms of a trust prevail over any provision of this code except:
- (c) The requirement that a trust and its terms be for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries, and that the trust have a purpose that is lawful, not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve.

Section 15. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 736.0109,

2017206e1

Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

736.0109 Methods and waiver of notice.-

- (1) Notice to a person under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code must be accomplished in a manner reasonably suitable under the circumstances and likely to result in receipt of the notice or document. Permissible methods of notice or for sending a document include first-class mail, personal delivery, delivery to the person's last known place of residence or place of business, or posting to a secure electronic account or website in accordance with subsection (3).
- electronic account or website is not deemed sent for purposes of this section unless the sender complies with this subsection.

 The sender has the burden of proving compliance with this subsection In addition to the methods listed in subsection (1) for sending a document, a sender may post a document to a secure electronic account or website where the document can be accessed.
- (a) Before a document may be posted to an electronic account or website, The recipient must sign a separate written authorization solely for the purpose of authorizing the sender to post documents on an electronic account or website before such posting. The written authorization must:
- 1. Specifically indicate whether a trust accounting, trust disclosure document, or limitation notice, as those terms are defined in s. 736.1008(4), will be posted in this manner, and generally enumerate the other types of documents that may be posted in this manner.

2017206e1

- 2. Contain specific instructions for accessing the electronic account or website, including the security procedures required to access the electronic account or website, such as a username and password.
- 3. Advise the recipient that a separate notice will be sent when a document is posted to the electronic account or website and the manner in which the separate notice will be sent.
- 4. Advise the recipient that the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time and include specific instructions for revoking or amending the authorization, including the address designated for the purpose of receiving notice of the revocation or amendment.
- 5. Advise the recipient that posting a document on the electronic account or website may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never actually accesses the electronic account, electronic website, or the document.
- (b) Once the recipient signs the written authorization, the sender must provide a separate notice to the recipient when a document is posted to the electronic account or website. As used in this subsection, the term "separate notice" means a notice sent to the recipient by means other than electronic posting, which identifies each document posted to the electronic account or website and provides instructions for accessing the posted document. The separate notice requirement is deemed satisfied if the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.
- (c) A document sent by electronic posting is deemed received by the recipient on the earlier of the date on which

2017206e1

that the separate notice is received or the date <u>on which</u> that the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.

- (d) At least annually after a recipient signs a written authorization, a sender shall send a notice advising recipients who have authorized one or more documents to be posted to an electronic account or website that such posting may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never accesses the electronic account or website or the document and that authority to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time. This notice must be given by means other than electronic posting and may not be accompanied by any other written communication. Failure to provide such notice within 380 days after the last notice is deemed to automatically revoke the authorization to receive documents in the manner permitted under this subsection 380 days after the last notice is sent.
- (e) The notice required in paragraph (d) may be in substantially the following form: "You have authorized the receipt of documents through posting to an electronic account or website on which where the documents can be accessed. This notice is being sent to advise you that a limitations period, which may be as short as 6 months, may be running as to matters disclosed in a trust accounting or other written report of a trustee posted to the electronic account or website even if you never actually access the electronic account or website or the documents. You may amend or revoke the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting at any time. If you have any questions, please consult your attorney."

2017206e1

- (f) A sender may rely on the recipient's authorization until the recipient amends or revokes the authorization by sending a notice to the address designated for that purpose in the authorization or in the manner specified on the electronic account or website. The recipient, at any time, may amend or revoke an authorization to have documents posted on the electronic account or website.
- (g) If a document is provided to a recipient solely through electronic posting pursuant to this subsection, the recipient must be able to access and print or download the document until the earlier of remain accessible to the recipient on the electronic account or website for at least 4 years after the date that the document is deemed received by the recipient or the date upon which the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason.
- 1. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason, such termination does not invalidate the notice or sending of any document previously posted on the electronic account or website in accordance with this subsection, but may toll the applicable limitations period as provided in subparagraph 2.
- 2. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated by the sender sooner than 4 years after the date on which the document was received by the recipient, any applicable limitations period set forth in s. 736.1008(1) or (2) which is still running is tolled for any information adequately disclosed in a document sent solely by electronic posting, from the date on which the recipient's access to the electronic account or website was terminated by the sender until

2017206e1

45 days after the date on which the sender provides one of the following to the recipient by means other than electronic posting:

- a. Notice of such termination and notification to the recipient that he or she may request that any documents sent during the prior 4 years solely through electronic posting be provided to him or her by other means at no cost; or
- b. Notice of such termination and notification to the recipient that his or her access to the electronic account or website has been restored.

Any applicable limitations period is further tolled from the date on which any request is made pursuant to sub-subparagraph 2.a. until 20 days after the date on which the requested documents are provided to the recipient by means other than electronic posting The electronic account or website must allow the recipient to download or print the document. This subsection does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain those records.

(h) For purposes of this subsection, access to an electronic account or website is terminated by the sender when the sender unilaterally terminates the recipient's ability to access the electronic website or account or download or print any document posted on such website or account. Access is not terminated by the sender when access is terminated by an action of the recipient or by an action of the sender in response to the recipient's request to terminate access. The recipient's

756

757

758

759

760

761

762 763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780 781

782

783

2017206e1

revocation of authorization pursuant to paragraph (f) is not considered a request to terminate access To be effective, the posting of a document to an electronic account or website must be done in accordance with this subsection. The sender has the burden of establishing compliance with this subsection.

- (i) This subsection does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain such records preclude the sending of a document by other means.
- (j) This subsection governs the posting of a document solely for the purpose of giving notice under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code and does not prohibit or otherwise apply to the posting of a document to an electronic account or website for any other purpose or preclude the sending of a document by any other means.

Section 16. Subsection (3) of section 736.0110, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0110 Others treated as qualified beneficiaries.-

(3) The Attorney General may assert the rights of a qualified beneficiary with respect to a charitable trust having its principal place of administration in this state. The Attorney General has standing to assert such rights in any judicial proceedings.

Section 17. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 736.0403, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0403 Trusts created in other jurisdictions; formalities required for revocable trusts.-

(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1):

2017206e1

(b) The testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, executed by a settlor who is a domiciliary of this state at the time of execution, are invalid unless the trust instrument is executed by the settlor with the formalities required for the execution of a will under s. 732.502 or an electronic will under s. 732.523 which is self-proved; however, the qualified custodian of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust in this state. For purposes of this subsection, the term "testamentary aspects" means those provisions of the trust instrument that dispose of the trust property on or after the death of the settlor other than to the settlor's estate.

Section 18. Section 736.0404, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0404 Trust purposes.—A trust may be created only to the extent the purposes of the trust are lawful, not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve. A trust and its terms must be for the benefit of its beneficiaries.

Section 19. Effective upon becoming a law, section 736.04117, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.04117 Trustee's power to invade principal in trust.—

- (1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Absolute power" means Unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, a trustee who has absolute power under the terms of a trust to invade the principal of the trust, referred to in this section as the "first trust," to make distributions to or for the benefit of one or more persons may instead exercise the power by appointing all or part of the principal of the trust subject to the power in favor of a trustee of another trust, referred to in this section as the

2017206e1

"second trust," for the current benefit of one or more of such persons under the same trust instrument or under a different trust instrument; provided:

- 1. The beneficiaries of the second trust may include only beneficiaries of the first trust;
- 2. The second trust may not reduce any fixed income, annuity, or unitrust interest in the assets of the first trust; and
- 3. If any contribution to the first trust qualified for a marital or charitable deduction for federal income, gift, or estate tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the second trust shall not contain any provision which, if included in the first trust, would have prevented the first trust from qualifying for such a deduction or would have reduced the amount of such deduction.
- (b) For purposes of this subsection, an absolute power to invade principal shall include a power to invade principal that is not limited to specific or ascertainable purposes, such as health, education, maintenance, and support, regardless of whether or not the term "absolute" is used. A power to invade principal for purposes such as best interests, welfare, comfort, or happiness constitutes shall constitute an absolute power not limited to specific or ascertainable purposes.
- (b) "Authorized trustee" means a trustee, other than the settlor or a beneficiary, who has the power to invade the principal of a trust.
- (c) "Beneficiary with a disability" means a beneficiary of the first trust who the authorized trustee believes may qualify for governmental benefits based on disability, regardless of

2017206e1

whether the beneficiary currently receives those benefits or has been adjudicated incapacitated.

- (d) "Current beneficiary" means a beneficiary who, on the date his or her qualification is determined, is a distributee or permissible distributee of trust income or principal. The term includes the holder of a presently exercisable general power of appointment but does not include a person who is a beneficiary only because he or she holds another power of appointment.
- (e) "Governmental benefits" means financial aid or services from any state, federal, or other public agency.
- (f) "Internal Revenue Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
- (g) "Power of appointment" has the same meaning as provided in s. 731.201(30).
- (h) "Presently exercisable general power of appointment" means a power of appointment exercisable by the powerholder at the relevant time. The term:
- 1. Includes a power of appointment that is exercisable only after the occurrence of a specified event or that is subject to a specified restriction, but only after the event has occurred or the restriction has been satisfied.
- 2. Does not include a power exercisable only upon the powerholder's death.
- (i) "Substantially similar" means that there is no material change in a beneficiary's beneficial interests or in the power to make distributions and that the power to make a distribution under a second trust for the benefit of a beneficiary who is an individual is substantially similar to the power under the first trust to make a distribution directly to the beneficiary. A

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

2017206e1

distribution is deemed to be for the benefit of a beneficiary 872 if:

- 1. The distribution is applied for the benefit of a beneficiary;
- 2. The beneficiary is under a legal disability or the trustee reasonably believes the beneficiary is incapacitated, and the distribution is made as permitted under this code; or
- 3. The distribution is made as permitted under the terms of the first trust instrument and the second trust instrument for the benefit of the beneficiary.
- (j) "Supplemental needs trust" means a trust that the authorized trustee believes would not be considered a resource for purposes of determining whether the beneficiary who has a disability is eligible for governmental benefits.
- (k) "Vested interest" means a current unconditional right to receive a mandatory distribution of income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, or a current unconditional right to withdraw income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, which right is not subject to the occurrence of a specified event, the passage of a specified time, or the exercise of discretion.
- 1. The term includes a presently exercisable general power of appointment.
- 2. The term does not include a beneficiary's interest in a trust if the trustee has discretion to make a distribution of trust property to a person other than such beneficiary.
- (2) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SECOND TRUST WHEN AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE HAS ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE.-
 - (a) Unless a trust instrument expressly provides otherwise,

2017206e1

an authorized trustee who has absolute power under the terms of the trust to invade its principal, referred to in this section as the "first trust," to make current distributions to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one or more other trusts, whether created under the same trust instrument as the first trust or a different trust instrument, including a trust instrument created for the purposes of exercising the power granted by this section, each referred to in this section as the "second trust," for the current benefit of one or more of such beneficiaries only if:

- 1. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only beneficiaries of the first trust; and
 - 2. The second trust does not reduce any vested interest.
 - (b) In an exercise of absolute power, the second trust may:
- 1. Retain a power of appointment granted in the first trust;
- 2. Omit a power of appointment granted in the first trust, other than a presently exercisable general power of appointment;
- 3. Create or modify a power of appointment if the powerholder is a current beneficiary of the first trust;
- 4. Create or modify a power of appointment if the powerholder is a beneficiary of the first trust who is not a current beneficiary, but the exercise of the power of appointment may take effect only after the powerholder becomes, or would have become if then living, a current beneficiary of the first trust; and
 - 5. Extend the term of the second trust beyond the term of

the first trust.

- (c) The class of permissible appointees in favor of which a created or modified power of appointment may be exercised may differ from the class identified in the first trust.
- (3) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SECOND TRUST WHEN AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE DOES NOT HAVE ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE.—
 Unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized trustee who has a power, other than an absolute power, under the terms of a first trust to invade principal to make current distributions to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the first trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one or more second trusts. If the authorized trustee exercises such power:
- (a) The second trusts, in the aggregate, shall grant each beneficiary of the first trust beneficial interests in the second trusts which are substantially similar to the beneficial interests of the beneficiary in the first trust.
- (b) If the first trust grants a power of appointment to a beneficiary of the first trust, the second trust shall grant such power of appointment in the second trust to such beneficiary, and the class of permissible appointees shall be the same as in the first trust.
- (c) If the first trust does not grant a power of appointment to a beneficiary of the first trust, then the second trust may not grant a power of appointment in the second trust to such beneficiary.
- (d) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), the term of the second trust may extend beyond the term of the first

2017206e1

trust, and, for any period after the first trust would have otherwise terminated, in whole or in part, under the provisions of the first trust, the trust instrument of the second trust may, with respect to property subject to such extended term:

- 1. Include language providing the trustee with the absolute power to invade the principal of the second trust during such extended term; and
- 2. Create a power of appointment, if the powerholder is a current beneficiary of the first trust, or expand the class of permissible appointees in favor of which a power of appointment may be exercised.
- (4) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SUPPLEMENTAL NEEDS TRUST.—
- (a) Notwithstanding subsections (2) and (3), unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized trustee who has the power under the terms of a first trust to invade the principal of the first trust to make current distributions to or for the benefit of a beneficiary with a disability may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the first trust in favor of a trustee of a second trust that is a supplemental needs trust if:
- 1. The supplemental needs trust benefits the beneficiary with a disability;
- 2. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only beneficiaries of the first trust; and
- 3. The authorized trustee determines that the exercise of such power will further the purposes of the first trust.
- (b) Except as affected by any change to the interests of the beneficiary with a disability, the second trusts, in the

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

10021003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

2017206e1

aggregate, shall grant each other beneficiary of the first trust beneficial interests in the second trusts which are substantially similar to such beneficiary's beneficial interests in the first trust. (5) PROHIBITED DISTRIBUTIONS.— (a) An authorized trustee may not distribute the principal of a trust under this section in a manner that would prevent a contribution to that trust from qualifying for, or that would reduce the exclusion, deduction, or other federal tax benefit that was originally claimed or could have been claimed for, that contribution, including: 1. The exclusions under s. 2503(b) or s. 2503(c) of the Internal Revenue Code; 2. A marital deduction under s. 2056, s. 2056A, or s. 2523 of the Internal Revenue Code; 3. A charitable deduction under s. 170(a), s. 642(c), s. 2055(a), or s. 2522(a) of the Internal Revenue Code; 4. Direct skip treatment under s. 2642(c) of the Internal Revenue Code; or 5. Any other tax benefit for income, gift, estate, or generation-skipping transfer tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code. (b) If S corporation stock is held in the first trust, an authorized trustee may not distribute all or part of that stock to a second trust that is not a permitted shareholder under s. 1361(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. If the first trust holds stock in an S corporation and is, or but for provisions of

paragraphs (a), (c), and (d) would be, a qualified subchapter S

trust within the meaning of s. 1361(d) of the Internal Revenue

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030 1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

2017206e1

Code, the second trust instrument may not include or omit a term that prevents it from qualifying as a qualified subchapter S trust.

- (c) Except as provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (d), an authorized trustee may distribute the principal of a first trust to a second trust regardless of whether the settlor is treated as the owner of either trust under ss. 671-679 of the Internal Revenue Code; however, if the settlor is not treated as the owner of the first trust, he or she may not be treated as the owner of the second trust unless he or she at all times has the power to cause the second trust to cease being treated as if it were owned by the settlor.
- (d) If an interest in property which is subject to the minimum distribution rules of s. 401(a)(9) of the Internal Revenue Code is held in trust, an authorized trustee may not distribute such an interest to a second trust under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) if the distribution would shorten the otherwise applicable maximum distribution period.
- (6) EXERCISE BY WRITING.—The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) must The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (1) shall be by a written an instrument in writing, signed and acknowledged by the authorized trustee, and filed with the records of the first trust.
- (7) RESTRICTIONS ON EXERCISE OF POWER.—The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4):
- (a) Is (1) shall be considered the exercise of a power of appointment, excluding other than a power to appoint to the

authorized trustee, the authorized trustee's creditors, the authorized trustee's estate, or the creditors of the authorized trustee's estate.

- (b) Is, and Shall be subject to the provisions of s. 689.225 covering the time at which the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities begins and the law that determines the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities of the first trust.
- (c) May be to a second trust created or administered under the law of any jurisdiction.
 - (d) May not:

1045

1046

1047 1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059 1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

- 1. Increase the authorized trustee's compensation beyond the compensation specified in the first trust instrument; or
- 2. Relieve the authorized trustee from liability for breach of trust or provide for indemnification of the authorized trustee for any liability or claim to a greater extent than the first trust instrument; however, the exercise of the power may divide and reallocate fiduciary powers among fiduciaries and relieve a fiduciary from liability for an act or failure to act of another fiduciary as otherwise allowed under law or common law.
 - (8) NOTICE.-
- (a) (4) The authorized trustee shall provide written notification of the manner in which he or she intends to exercise his or her power to invade principal to notify all qualified beneficiaries of the following parties first trust, in writing, at least 60 days before prior to the effective date of the authorized trustee's exercise of such power the trustee's power to invade principal pursuant to subsection (2), subsection

Page 37 of 43

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098 1099

1100

1101 1102 2017206e1

- 1074 (3), or subsection (4): (1), of the manner in which the trustee 1075 intends to exercise the power.
 - 1. All qualified beneficiaries of the first trust;
 - 2. If paragraph (5)(c) applies, the settlor of the first trust;
 - 3. All trustees of the first trust; and
 - 4. Any person who has the power to remove or replace the authorized trustee of the first trust.
 - (b) The authorized A copy of the proposed instrument exercising the power shall satisfy the trustee's notice obligation to provide notice under this subsection is satisfied when he or she provides copies of the proposed instrument exercising the power, the trust instrument of the first trust, and the proposed trust instrument of the second trust.
 - (c) If all of those required to be notified qualified beneficiaries waive the notice period by signed written instrument delivered to the authorized trustee, the authorized trustee's power to invade principal shall be exercisable immediately.
 - (d) The authorized trustee's notice under this subsection does shall not limit the right of any beneficiary to object to the exercise of the authorized trustee's power to invade principal except as otherwise provided in other applicable provisions of this code.
 - (9)(5) INAPPLICABILITY OF SPENDTHRIFT CLAUSE OR OTHER PROHIBITION.—The exercise of the power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) $\frac{(1)}{(1)}$ is not prohibited by a spendthrift clause or by a provision in the trust instrument that prohibits amendment or revocation of the

trust.

(10) (6) NO DUTY TO EXERCISE.—Nothing in this section is intended to create or imply a duty to exercise a power to invade principal, and no inference of impropriety may shall be made as a result of an authorized trustee's failure to exercise a trustee not exercising the power to invade principal conferred under subsections (2), (3), and (4) subsection (1).

(11) (7) NO ABRIDGEMENT OF COMMON LAW RIGHTS.—The provisions of This section may shall not be construed to abridge the right of any trustee who has a power of invasion to appoint property in further trust that arises under the terms of the first trust or under any other section of this code or under another provision of law or under common law.

Section 20. Subsection (1) of section 736.0708, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0708 Compensation of trustee.-

(1) If the terms of a trust do not specify <u>a</u> the trustee's compensation, the <u>a</u> trustee, including each cotrustee, is entitled to compensation that is reasonable under the circumstances. In the aggregate, the reasonable compensation for multiple trustees may be greater than for a single trustee.

Section 21. Subsection (3) of section 736.08135, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.08135 Trust accountings.-

(3) Subsections (1) and (2) govern the form and content of This section applies to all trust accountings rendered for any accounting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2003, and all trust accountings rendered on or after July 1, 2017. This subsection does not affect the beginning period from which a

Page 39 of 43

+ 2017 0 + 0 0		200 222 2 200 4	+	70 C 70 Cl C 20	_	+ 2022 0 +	accounting.
LIUSTEE	7.5	redurred	LO	render	a	LLUSL	accounting.

Section 22. Subsection (3) of section 736.1008, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1008 Limitations on proceedings against trustees.-

- (3) When a trustee has not issued a final trust accounting or has not given written notice to the beneficiary of the availability of the trust records for examination and that claims with respect to matters not adequately disclosed may be barred, a claim against the trustee for breach of trust based on a matter not adequately disclosed in a trust disclosure document is barred as provided in chapter 95 and accrues when the beneficiary has actual knowledge of:
- (a) The facts upon which the claim is based, if such actual knowledge is established by clear and convincing evidence; or
- (b) The trustee's repudiation of the trust or adverse possession of trust assets.

Paragraph (a) applies to claims based upon acts or omissions occurring on or after July 1, 2008. A beneficiary's actual knowledge that he or she has not received a trust accounting does not cause a claim to accrue against the trustee for breach of trust based upon the failure to provide a trust accounting required by s. 736.0813 or former s. 737.303 and does not commence the running of any period of limitations or laches for

such a claim, and paragraph (a) and chapter 95 do not bar any

1157 such claim.

Section 23. The changes to ss. 736.08135 and 736.1008,

Florida Statutes, made by this act are intended to clarify

existing law, are remedial in nature, and apply retroactively to

Page 40 of 43

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017206e1

all cases pending or commenced on or after July 1, 2017.

Section 24. Present subsections (2), (3), and (4) of section 736.1201, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (3), (4), and (5), respectively, present subsection (5) of that section is amended, and a new subsection (2) is added to that section, to read:

736.1201 Definitions.—As used in this part:

- (2) "Delivery of notice" means delivery of a written notice required under this part using any commercial delivery service requiring a signed receipt or by any form of mail requiring a signed receipt.
- (5) "State attorney" means the state attorney for the judicial circuit of the principal place of administration of the trust pursuant to s. 736.0108.

Section 25. Section 736.1205, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1205 Notice that this part does not apply.—In the case of a power to make distributions, if the trustee determines that the governing instrument contains provisions that are more restrictive than s. 736.1204(2), or if the trust contains other powers, inconsistent with the provisions of s. 736.1204(3) that specifically direct acts by the trustee, the trustee shall notify the state Attorney General by delivery of notice when the trust becomes subject to this part. Section 736.1204 does not apply to any trust for which notice has been given pursuant to this section unless the trust is amended to comply with the terms of this part.

Section 26. <u>Sections 1 through 12 and section 17 of this</u> act apply to electronic wills executed on or after July 1, 2017.

2017206e1

Section 27. Subsection (2) of section 736.1206, Florida
1191 Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1206 Power to amend trust instrument.

(2) In the case of a charitable trust that is not subject to the provisions of subsection (1), the trustee may amend the governing instrument to comply with the provisions of s. 736.1204(2) after delivery of notice to, and with the consent of, the state Attorney General.

Section 28. Section 736.1207, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1207 Power of court to permit deviation.—This part does not affect the power of a court to relieve a trustee from any restrictions on the powers and duties that are placed on the trustee by the governing instrument or applicable law for cause shown and on complaint of the trustee, the state Attorney General, or an affected beneficiary and notice to the affected parties.

Section 29. Paragraph (b) of subsection (4) of section 736.1208, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1208 Release; property and persons affected; manner of effecting.—

- (4) Delivery of a release shall be accomplished as follows:
- (b) If the release is accomplished by reducing the class of permissible charitable organizations, by delivery of notice $\frac{1}{2}$ copy of the release to the state Attorney General, including a copy of the release.

Section 30. Section 736.1209, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1209 Election to come under this part.—With the consent

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

2017206e1

of that organization or organizations, a trustee of a trust for the benefit of a public charitable organization or organizations may come under s. 736.1208(5) by <u>delivery of notice to filing</u> with the state Attorney <u>General of the an election</u>, accompanied by the proof of required consent. Thereafter the trust shall be subject to s. 736.1208(5).

Section 31. Except as otherwise provided in this act and except for this section, which shall take effect upon becoming a law, this act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

CS/CS/HB Engrossed/Enrolled

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1 2 An act relating to wills and trusts; amending s. 3 731.201, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "will" to include electronic wills; amending s. 4 5 732.506, F.S.; excluding electronic wills from specified methods to revoke a will; creating s. 6 7 732.521, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 8 732.522, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 732.523, 9 F.S.; specifying requirements that must be satisfied in the execution of electronic wills; creating s. 10 11 732.524, F.S.; providing requirements for self-proof of electronic wills; creating s. 732.525, F.S.; 12 13 specifying the circumstances under which a person is 14 deemed to be in the presence of or appearing before 15 another person; providing that an electronic record 16 satisfies the requirement that a record be in writing; 17 providing that an electronic signature satisfies the requirement that a document be signed; providing 18 requirements for certain documents to be deemed 19 20 executed in this state; creating s. 732.526, F.S.; authorizing an electronic will of a nonresident of 21 this state which is properly executed in this or 22 23 another state to be offered for and admitted to probate in this state; providing the venue for the 24 25 probate of such electronic will; creating s. 732.527,

Page 1 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

4445

46 47

48 49

50

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

F.S.; specifying requirements for service as a qualified custodian; requiring qualified custodians to provide access to or information concerning the electronic will, or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only to specified persons or as directed by a court; authorizing a qualified custodian to destroy the electronic record of an electronic will after a certain date; providing conditions under which a qualified custodian may cease serving as a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to cease serving in such capacity upon the written request of the testator; requiring that a successor qualified custodian agree in writing to serve in that capacity for an electronic will before succeeding to office; specifying what constitutes an affidavit of a qualified custodian; requiring a qualified custodian to deliver certain documents upon request from the testator; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging the testator a fee for such documents under certain circumstances; providing that a qualified custodian is liable for certain damages under certain circumstances; prohibiting a qualified custodian from terminating or suspending access to, or downloads of, an electronic will by the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to deposit an electronic will with

Page 2 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

63 64

65

66 67

68

69

70 71

72

73

74

75

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

the court upon receiving information that the testator is dead; prohibiting a qualified custodian from charging a fee for certain actions taken upon the death of the testator; requiring a qualified custodian to keep certain information confidential; prohibiting certain requirements regarding venue; amending s. 732.528, F.S.; requiring a qualified custodian to post and maintain a blanket surety bond, subject to certain requirements, or to maintain a certain liability insurance policy; authorizing the Attorney General to petition a court for the appointment of a receiver to manage certain records under certain conditions; amending s. 732.901, F.S.; providing that an electronic will that is filed electronically with the clerk is deemed to have been deposited as an original of the electronic will; amending s. 733.201, F.S.; providing for the proof of electronic wills; providing requirements for admitting an electronic will that is not self-proved into probate; providing that a paper copy of an electronic will constitutes an "original" of the electronic will subject to certain conditions; amending s. 736.0103, F.S.; redefining the term "interests of the beneficiaries"; amending s. 736.0105, F.S.; deleting a requirement that a trust be for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries; amending

Page 3 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83 84

85

8687

88

89

90

91

92

93

9495

96 97

98

99

100

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

s. 736.0109, F.S.; revising provisions relating to notice or sending of electronic trust documents; providing requirements for such documents to be deemed sent; requiring a certain authorization to specify documents subject to electronic posting; revising requirements for a recipient to electronically access such documents; prohibiting the termination of a recipient's electronic access to such documents from invalidating certain notice or sending of electronic trust documents; tolling specified limitations periods under certain circumstances; providing requirements for electronic access to such documents to be deemed terminated by a sender; providing applicability; amending s. 736.0110, F.S.; providing that the Attorney General has standing to assert certain rights in certain proceedings; amending s. 736.0403, F.S.; providing that, for purposes of establishing the validity of the testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, the qualified custodian of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust; amending s. 736.0404, F.S.; deleting a restriction on the purpose for which a trust is created; amending s. 736.04117, F.S.; defining and redefining terms; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint all or part of the principal of a trust to a second trust under certain

Page 4 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

circumstances; providing requirements for the second trust and its beneficiaries; providing that the second trust may retain, omit, or create specified powers; authorizing the term of the second trust to extend beyond the term of the first trust; providing requirements for distributions to a second trust when the authorized trustee does not have absolute power; providing requirements for such second trust; providing requirements for grants of power by the second trust; authorizing a second trust created by an authorized trustee without absolute power to grant absolute power to the second trust's trustee; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint the principal of a first trust to a supplemental needs trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for such supplemental needs trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing the principal of a trust in a manner that would reduce specified tax benefits; prohibiting the distribution of S corporation stock from a first trust to a second trust under certain circumstances; prohibiting a settlor from being treated as the owner of a second trust if he or she was not treated as the owner of the first trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing a trust's interest in property to a

Page 5 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

126127

128

129130

131

132

133134

135

136137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145146

147

148

149150

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

second trust if it is subject to specified rules of the Internal Revenue Code; prohibiting the exercise of power to invade a trust's principal to increase an authorized trustee's compensation or relieve him or her from certain liability; specifying who an authorized trustee must notify when he or she exercises his or her power to invade the trust's principal; specifying the documents that the authorized trustee must provide with such notice; amending s. 736.08135, F.S.; revising applicability; amending s. 736.1008, F.S.; clarifying that certain knowledge by a beneficiary does not cause a claim to accrue for breach of trust or commence the running of a period of limitations or laches; providing legislative intent; providing for retroactive application; amending s. 736.1201, F.S.; defining the term "delivery of notice"; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; amending s. 736.1205, F.S.; requiring an authorized trustee to provide certain notice to the Attorney General rather than the state attorney; providing applicability; amending ss. 736.1206, 736.1207, 736.1208, and 736.1209, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing effective dates.

Page 6 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 151 152 153 Section 1. Subsection (40) of section 731.201, Florida 154 Statutes, is amended to read: 731.201 General definitions.—Subject to additional 155 156 definitions in subsequent chapters that are applicable to 157 specific chapters or parts, and unless the context otherwise 158 requires, in this code, in s. 409.9101, and in chapters 736, 159 738, 739, and 744, the term: 160 "Will" means an instrument, including a codicil, 161 executed by a person in the manner prescribed by this code, 162 which disposes of the person's property on or after his or her 163 death and includes an instrument which merely appoints a 164 personal representative or revokes or revises another will. The 165 term "will" includes an electronic will as defined in s. 166 732.522. 167 Section 2. Section 732.506, Florida Statutes, is amended 168 to read: 169 732.506 Revocation by act.—A will or codicil, other than 170 an electronic will, is revoked by the testator, or some other 171 person in the testator's presence and at the testator's 172 direction, by burning, tearing, canceling, defacing, 173 obliterating, or destroying it with the intent, and for the 174 purpose, of revocation. 175 Section 3. Section 732.521, Florida Statutes, is created

Page 7 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

176	to read:
177	732.521 Short title.—Sections 732.521-732.528 may be cited
178	as the "Florida Electronic Wills Act."
179	Section 4. Section 732.522, Florida Statutes, is created
180	to read:
181	732.522 Definitions.—As used in ss. 732.521-732.528, the
182	term:
183	(1) "Electronic record" means a record created, generated,
184	sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.
185	(2) "Electronic signature" means an electronic mark
186	visibly manifested in a record as a signature and executed or
187	adopted by a person with the intent to sign the record.
188	(3) "Electronic will" means a will, including a codicil,
189	executed in accordance with s. 732.523 by a person in the manner
190	prescribed by this act, which disposes of the person's property
191	on or after his or her death and includes an instrument that
192	appoints a personal representative or revokes or revises another
193	will or electronic will.
194	(4) "Qualified custodian" means a person who meets the
195	requirements of s. 732.527(1).
196	Section 5. Section 732.523, Florida Statutes, is created
197	to read:
198	732.523 Electronic wills.—Notwithstanding s. 732.502:
199	(1) An electronic will must meet all of the following
200	requirements:

Page 8 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

201	(a) Exist in an electronic record that is unique and
202	identifiable.
203	(b) Be electronically signed by the testator in the
204	presence of at least two attesting witnesses.
205	(c) Be electronically signed by the attesting witnesses in
206	the presence of the testator and in the presence of each other.
207	(2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, all
208	questions as to the force, effect, validity, and interpretation
209	of an electronic will that complies with this section must be
210	determined in the same manner as in the case of a will executed
211	in accordance with s. 732.502.
212	Section 6. Section 732.524, Florida Statutes, is created
213	to read:
214	732.524 Self-proof of electronic will.—An electronic will
215	is self-proved if all of the following requirements are met:
216	(1) The electronic will is executed in conformity with
217	this act.
218	(2) The acknowledgment of the electronic will by the
219	testator and the affidavits of the witnesses are made in
220	accordance with s. 732.503 and are part of the electronic record
221	containing the electronic will, or are attached to, or are
222	logically associated with, the electronic will.
223	(3)(a) The electronic will designates a qualified
224	custodian;
225	(b) The electronic record that contains the electronic

Page 9 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

226	will is held in the custody of a qualified custodian at all
227	times before being offered to the court for probate; and
228	(c) The qualified custodian who has custody of the
229	electronic will at the time of the testator's death:
230	1. Certifies under oath that, to the best knowledge of the
231	qualified custodian, the electronic record that contains the
232	electronic will was at all times before being offered to the
233	court in the custody of a qualified custodian in compliance with
234	s. 732.527 and that the electronic will has not been altered in
235	any way since the date of its execution; and
236	2. If the execution of the electronic will included the
237	use of video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), certifies under
238	oath that the audio and video recording required under s.
239	732.525(1)(b)9. is in the qualified custodian's custody in the
240	electronic record that contains the electronic will and is
241	available for inspection by the court.
242	Section 7. Effective April 1, 2018, section 732.525,
243	Florida Statutes, is created to read:
244	732.525 Method and place of execution For purposes of
245	this act, the execution and filing of a document with the court
246	as provided in this act, s. 732.503, or the Florida Probate
247	Rules; the execution of a living will under s. 765.302; and the
248	acknowledgment of any of the foregoing:
249	(1) An individual is deemed to be in the presence of or
250	appearing before another individual if the individuals are

Page 10 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

251	either:
252	(a) In the same physical location; or
253	(b) In different physical locations, but can communicate
254	with each other by means of live video conference, and all of
255	the following requirements are met:
256	1. The testator or principal may not be in an end-stage
257	condition as defined in s. 765.101 or a vulnerable adult as
258	defined in s. 415.102. The contestant of the document has the
259	burden of proving that the testator or principal was in an end-
260	
	stage condition or was a vulnerable adult at the time of
261	executing the document.
262	2. The signal transmission must be live and in real time.
263	3. The signal transmission must be secure from
264	interception through lawful means by anyone other than the
265	persons communicating.
266	4. The persons communicating must simultaneously see and
267	speak to one another with reasonable clarity.
268	5. In the video conference, the persons communicating must
269	establish the identity of the testator or principal by:
270	a. Personal knowledge, if the person asserting personal
271	knowledge explains how the identity of the testator or principal
272	has come to be known to, and the length of time for which it has
273	been known by, such person; or
274	b. Presentation of any of the forms of identification of

Page 11 of 49

the testator or principal, as set forth in s. 117.05(5)(b)2.a.-

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

276	<u>i.</u>
277	6. In the video conference, the persons communicating must
278	demonstrate awareness of the events taking place, which may be
279	achieved, without limitation, by stating their names and
280	identifying any document they intend to sign.
281	7. At least one of the persons communicating must be
282	either:
283	a. An attorney licensed to practice law in this state:
284	(I) Who electronically signs the document as a witness;
285	(II) Whose status as an attorney licensed to practice law
286	in this state is indicated adjacent to his or her electronic
287	signature; and
288	(III) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by his or
289	her statement that, to the best of his or her knowledge, the
290	execution of the document complied with the requirements of this
291	section; or
292	b. A Florida notary public:
293	(I) Who electronically signs the document;
294	(II) Whose electronic signature is accompanied by a notary
295	public seal that meets the requirements of s. 117.021(3); and
296	(III) Whose electronic signature and seal are accompanied
297	by his or her certification that, to the best of his or her
297298	
	knowledge, the execution of the document complied with the

Page 12 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

301	If a document is required to be witnessed or acknowledged, the
302	witness or notary fulfilling that requirement may be the same
303	witness or notary who fulfills the requirement of this
304	subparagraph. A person presented with a document containing the
305	statement or certification required under this subparagraph may
306	presume that the document was executed in compliance with this
307	paragraph, unless the person has notice that such compliance is
308	contested.
309	8. In the video conference, the testator or principal must
310	provide verbal answers to all of the following questions:
311	a. Are you over the age of 18?
312	b. Are you under the influence of any drugs or alcohol
313	that impairs your ability to make decisions?
314	c. Are you of sound mind?
315	d. Did anyone assist you in accessing this video
316	conference? If so, who?
317	e. Has anyone forced or influenced you to include anything
318	in this document which you do not wish to include?
319	f. Are you signing this document voluntarily?
320	9. A time-stamped recording of the entire video conference
321	must be identifiable with the document being signed and stored
322	in the electronic record containing the document by a qualified
323	custodian in the manner required pursuant to s. 732.527(1)(c)
324	for the storage of electronic records containing electronic
325	wills.

Page 13 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

326	a. Without limitation, a recording is identifiable with a
327	document if the recording and document share an identification
328	number.
329	b. If the recording is not reasonably accessible by a
330	person presented with the document, such person may treat the
331	document as if it does not include the signature of any
332	signatory who appeared by means of live video conference;
333	however, an electronic will whose execution included the use of
334	video conference under this section may be proved as provided in
335	s. 733.201(4). Without limitation, a recording is reasonably
336	accessible if it is accessible at no charge over the Internet
337	pursuant to instructions set forth in the document.
338	(2) If a law requires a record to be in writing, an
339	electronic record satisfies such provision.
340	(3) Any requirement that a document be signed may be
341	satisfied by an electronic signature.
342	(4) A document that is signed electronically is deemed to
343	be executed in this state if all of the following requirements
344	<pre>are met:</pre>
345	(a) The document states that the person creating the
346	document intends to execute and understands that he or she is
347	executing the document in, and pursuant to the laws of, this
348	state.
349	(b) The person creating the document is, or the attesting
350	witnesses or Florida notary public whose electronic signatures

Page 14 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

are obtained in the execution of the document are, physically 351 352 located within this state at the time the document is executed. (c) In the case of a self-proved electronic will, the 353 354 electronic will designates a qualified custodian who is 355 domiciled in and a resident of this state or incorporated or 356 organized in this state. Section 8. Effective April 1, 2018, section 732.526, 357 358 Florida Statutes, is created to read: 359 732.526 Probate.—An electronic will, other than a holographic or nuncupative will, of a nonresident of this state 360 361 which is executed or deemed executed in another state in 362 accordance with the laws of that state or of this state may be offered for and admitted to original probate in this state and 363 364 is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state. The 365 venue for the probate of electronic wills is as provided in s. 733.101(1) or, in the case of the electronic will of a 366 367 nonresident, may be the county in which the qualified custodian 368 or attorney for the petitioner or personal representative has his or her domicile or registered office. 369 Section 9. Section 732.527, Florida Statutes, is created 370 371 to read: 372 732.527 Qualified custodians.-373 To serve as a qualified custodian of an electronic 374 will, a person or entity must: (a) Not be named as a fiduciary under the electronic will 375

Page 15 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

3/0	or an heir or devisee, as defined in s. 751.201, of the
377	testator;
378	(b) Be domiciled in and a resident of this state or be
379	incorporated or organized in this state;
380	(c) In the course of maintaining custody of electronic
381	wills, regularly employ, and store electronic records containing
382	electronic wills in, a system that:
383	1. Protects electronic records from destruction,
384	alteration, or unauthorized access; and
385	2. Detects any change to an electronic record; and
386	(d) Furnish for any court hearing involving an electronic
387	will that is currently or was previously stored by the qualified
388	custodian any information requested by the court pertaining to
389	the qualified custodian's qualifications, policies, and
390	practices related to the creation, sending, communication,
391	receipt, maintenance, storage, and production of electronic
392	wills.
393	(2) The qualified custodian of an electronic will shall
394	provide access to or information concerning the electronic will,
395	or the electronic record containing the electronic will, only:
396	(a) To the testator;
397	(b) To persons authorized by the testator in the
398	electronic will or in written instructions signed by the
399	testator in accordance with s. 732.502;
400	(c) After the death of the testator, to the testator's

Page 16 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

423

424

425

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

401	nominated personal representative; or
402	(d) At any time, as directed by a court of competent
403	jurisdiction.
404	(3) The qualified custodian of the electronic record of an
405	electronic will may elect to destroy such record, including any
406	of the documentation required to be created and stored under
407	paragraph (1)(d), at any time after the earlier of the fifth
408	anniversary of the conclusion of the administration of the
409	estate of the testator or 20 years after the death of the
410	testator.
411	(4) A qualified custodian who at any time maintains
412	custody of the electronic record of an electronic will may elect
413	to cease serving in such capacity by:
414	(a) Delivering the electronic will or the electronic
415	record containing the electronic will to the testator, if then
416	living, or, after the death of the testator, by filing the will
417	with the court in accordance with s. 732.901; and
418	(b) If the outgoing qualified custodian intends to
419	designate a successor qualified custodian, by doing the
420	following:
421	1. Providing written notice to the testator of the name,
422	address, and qualifications of the proposed successor qualified

Page 17 of 49

custodian. The testator must provide written consent before the

electronic record, including the electronic will, is delivered

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

to a successor qualified custodian;

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

426	2. Delivering the electronic record containing the
427	electronic will to the successor qualified custodian; and
428	3. Delivering to the successor qualified custodian an
429	affidavit of the outgoing qualified custodian stating that:
430	a. The outgoing qualified custodian is eligible to act as
431	a qualified custodian in this state;
432	b. The outgoing qualified custodian is the qualified
433	custodian designated by the testator in the electronic will or
434	appointed to act in such capacity under this paragraph;
435	c. The electronic will has at all times been in the
436	custody of one or more qualified custodians in compliance with
437	this section since the time the electronic record was created,
438	and identifying such qualified custodians; and
439	d. To the best of the outgoing qualified custodian's
440	knowledge, the electronic will has not been altered since the
441	time it was created.
442	
443	For purposes of making this affidavit, the outgoing qualified
444	custodian may rely conclusively on any affidavits delivered by a
445	predecessor qualified custodian in connection with its
446	designation or appointment as qualified custodian; however, all
447	such affidavits must be delivered to the successor qualified
448	custodian.
449	(5) Upon the request of the testator which is made in a
450	writing signed in accordance with s. 732.502 or s. 732.523, a

Page 18 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

457

458 459

460

461

462463

464 465

466

467

468

469

470 471

472

473

474475

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

- qualified custodian who at any time maintains custody of the
 electronic record of the testator's electronic will must cease
 serving in such capacity and must deliver to a successor
 qualified custodian designated in writing by the testator the
 electronic record containing the electronic will and the
 affidavit required in subparagraph (4)(b)3.
 - (6) A qualified custodian may not succeed to office as a qualified custodian of an electronic will unless he or she agrees in writing to serve in such capacity.
 - (7) If a qualified custodian is an entity, an affidavit, or an appearance by the testator in the presence of a duly authorized officer or agent of such entity, acting in his or her own capacity as such, shall constitute an affidavit, or an appearance by the testator in the presence of the qualified custodian.
 - (8) A qualified custodian must provide a paper copy of an electronic will and the electronic record containing the electronic will to the testator immediately upon request. For the first such request in any 365-day period, the testator may not be charged a fee for being provided with these documents.
 - (9) The qualified custodian shall be liable for any damages caused by the negligent loss or destruction of the electronic record, including the electronic will, while it is in the possession of the qualified custodian. A qualified custodian may not limit liability for such damages.

Page 19 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

476	(10) A qualified custodian may not terminate or suspend
477	access to, or downloads of, the electronic will by the testator.
478	(11) Upon receiving information that the testator is dead,
479	a qualified custodian must deposit the electronic will with the
480	court in accordance with s. 732.901. A qualified custodian may
481	not charge a fee for depositing the electronic will with the
482	clerk, providing the affidavit is made in accordance with s.
483	732.503, or furnishing in writing any information requested by a
484	court under paragraph (1)(d).
485	(12) Except as provided in this act, a qualified custodian
486	must at all times keep information provided by the testator
487	confidential and may not disclose such information to any third
488	party.
489	(13) A contractual venue provision between a qualified
490	custodian and a testator is not valid or enforceable to the
491	extent that it requires a specific jurisdiction or venue for any
492	proceeding relating to the probate of an estate or the contest
493	of a will.
494	Section 10. Section 732.528, Florida Statutes, is created
495	to read:
496	732.528 Liability coverage; receivership of qualified
497	custodians.—
498	(1) A qualified custodian shall:
499	(a) Post and maintain a blanket surety bond of at least
500	\$250,000 to secure the faithful performance of all duties and

Page 20 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

501502

512513

514

515

516

517

518

519520

521

522

523

524

525

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

obligations required under this act. The bond must be made
payable to the Governor and his or her successors in office for
the benefit of all persons who store electronic records with a
qualified custodian and their estates, beneficiaries,
successors, and heirs and be conditioned on the faithful
performance of all duties and obligations under this act. The
terms of the bond must cover the acts or omissions of the
qualified custodian and each agent or employee of the qualified
custodian; or
(b) Maintain a liability insurance policy that covers any
losses sustained by any person who stores electronic records

- (b) Maintain a liability insurance policy that covers any losses sustained by any person who stores electronic records with a qualified custodian and their estates, beneficiaries, successors, and heirs which are caused by errors or omissions by the qualified custodian and each agent or employee of the qualified custodian. The policy must cover losses of up to at least \$250,000 in the aggregate.
- (2) The Attorney General may petition a court of competent jurisdiction for the appointment of a receiver to manage the electronic records of a qualified custodian for proper delivery and safekeeping if any of the following conditions exist:
 - (a) The qualified custodian is ceasing operation.
- (b) The qualified custodian intends to close the facility and adequate arrangements have not been made for proper delivery of the electronic records in accordance with this act.
 - (c) The Attorney General determines that conditions exist

Page 21 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

526	which present a danger that electronic records will be lost or
527	misappropriated.
528	(d) The qualified custodian fails to maintain and post a
529	surety bond or maintain insurance required by this section.
530	Section 11. Present subsection (5) of section 732.901,
531	Florida Statutes, is redesignated as subsection (6) of that
532	section, and a new subsection (5) is added to that section, to
533	read:
534	732.901 Production of wills
535	(5) An electronic will that is filed electronically with
536	the clerk through the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal is deemed
537	to have been deposited with the clerk as an original of the
538	electronic will.
539	Section 12. Section 733.201, Florida Statutes, is amended
540	to read:
541	733.201 Proof of wills.—
542	(1) Self-proved wills executed in accordance with this
543	code may be admitted to probate without further proof.
544	(2) A will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted
545	to probate upon the oath of any attesting witness taken before
546	any circuit judge, commissioner appointed by the court, or
547	clerk.
548	(3) If it appears to the court that the attesting
549	witnesses cannot be found or that they have become incapacitated
550	after the execution of the will or their testimony cannot be

Page 22 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

551

552

553

554

555556

557

558559

560

561562

563

564565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

(b)

by whom.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

obtained within a reasonable time, a will, other than an electronic will, may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the personal representative nominated by the will as provided in subsection (2), whether or not the nominated personal representative is interested in the estate, or upon the oath of any person having no interest in the estate under the will stating that the person believes the writing exhibited to be the true last will of the decedent. If an electronic will, including an electronic will whose execution included the use of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), is not self-proved, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of the two attesting witnesses for the electronic will taken before any circuit judge, any commissioner appointed by the court, or the clerk. If it appears to the court that the attesting witnesses cannot be found, that they have become incapacitated after the execution of the electronic will, or that their testimony cannot be obtained within a reasonable time, an electronic will may be admitted to probate upon the oath of two disinterested witnesses providing all of the following information: The date on which the electronic will was created, if (a) the date is not indicated in the electronic will itself.

Page 23 of 49

(c) All of the people who had access to the electronic

When and how the electronic will was discovered, and

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

600

the trust.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

576	will.
577	(d) The method by which the electronic will was stored and
578	the safeguards that were in place to prevent alterations to the
579	electronic will.
580	(e) A statement as to whether the electronic will has been
581	altered since its creation.
582	(f) A statement that the electronic will is a true,
583	correct, and complete tangible manifestation of the testator's
584	true last will.
585	(g) If the execution of an electronic will included the
586	use of a video conference under s. 732.525(1)(b), a statement as
587	to whether a recording of the video conference is available for
588	inspection by the court or cannot be found after a diligent
589	search.
590	(5) A paper copy of an electronic will which is a true and
591	correct copy of the electronic will may be offered for and
592	admitted to probate and shall constitute an "original" of the
593	electronic will.
594	Section 13. Subsection (11) of section 736.0103, Florida
595	Statutes, is amended to read:
596	736.0103 DefinitionsUnless the context otherwise
597	requires, in this code:
598	(11) "Interests of the beneficiaries" means the beneficial
599	interests intended by the settlor as provided in the terms of a

Page 24 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

Section 14. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 736.0105, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0105 Default and mandatory rules.-

- (2) The terms of a trust prevail over any provision of this code except:
- (c) The requirement that a trust and its terms be for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries, and that the trust have a purpose that is lawful, not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve.

Section 15. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 736.0109, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

736.0109 Methods and waiver of notice.-

- (1) Notice to a person under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code must be accomplished in a manner reasonably suitable under the circumstances and likely to result in receipt of the notice or document. Permissible methods of notice or for sending a document include first-class mail, personal delivery, delivery to the person's last known place of residence or place of business, or a properly directed facsimile or other electronic message, or posting to a secure electronic account or website in accordance with subsection (3).
- (3) A document that is sent solely by posting to an electronic account or website is not deemed sent for purposes of this section unless the sender complies with this subsection.

 The sender has the burden of proving compliance with this

Page 25 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

630

631

632

633

634

635

636637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

626 <u>subsection</u> In addition to the methods listed in subsection (1)
627 <u>for sending a document, a sender may post a document to a secure</u>
628 <u>electronic account or website where the document can be</u>
629 <u>accessed.</u>

- (a) Before a document may be posted to an electronic account or website, The recipient must sign a separate written authorization solely for the purpose of authorizing the sender to post documents on an electronic account or website before such posting. The written authorization must:
- 1. Specifically indicate whether a trust accounting, trust disclosure document, or limitation notice, as those terms are defined in s. 736.1008(4), will be posted in this manner, and generally enumerate the other types of documents that may be posted in this manner.
- 2. Contain specific instructions for accessing the electronic account or website, including the security procedures required to access the electronic account or website, such as a username and password.
- 3. Advise the recipient that a separate notice will be sent when a document is posted to the electronic account or website and the manner in which the separate notice will be sent.
- 4. Advise the recipient that the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time and include specific instructions for revoking or amending

Page 26 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

 CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

the authorization, including the address designated for the purpose of receiving notice of the revocation or amendment.

- 5. Advise the recipient that posting a document on the electronic account or website may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never actually accesses the electronic account, electronic website, or the document.
- (b) Once the recipient signs the written authorization, the sender must provide a separate notice to the recipient when a document is posted to the electronic account or website. As used in this subsection, the term "separate notice" means a notice sent to the recipient by means other than electronic posting, which identifies each document posted to the electronic account or website and provides instructions for accessing the posted document. The separate notice requirement is deemed satisfied if the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.
- (c) A document sent by electronic posting is deemed received by the recipient on the earlier of the date <u>on which</u> that the separate notice is received or the date <u>on which</u> the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.
- (d) At least annually after a recipient signs a written authorization, a sender shall send a notice advising recipients who have authorized one or more documents to be posted to an

Page 27 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

electronic account or website that such posting may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never accesses the electronic account or website or the document and that authority to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time. This notice must be given by means other than electronic posting and may not be accompanied by any other written communication. Failure to provide such notice within 380 days after the last notice is deemed to automatically revoke the authorization to receive documents in the manner permitted under this subsection 380 days after the last notice is sent.

- (e) The notice required in paragraph (d) may be in substantially the following form: "You have authorized the receipt of documents through posting to an electronic account or website on which where the documents can be accessed. This notice is being sent to advise you that a limitations period, which may be as short as 6 months, may be running as to matters disclosed in a trust accounting or other written report of a trustee posted to the electronic account or website even if you never actually access the electronic account or website or the documents. You may amend or revoke the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting at any time. If you have any questions, please consult your attorney."
- (f) A sender may rely on the recipient's authorization until the recipient amends or revokes the authorization by

Page 28 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

- sending a notice to the address designated for that purpose in the authorization or in the manner specified on the electronic account or website. The recipient, at any time, may amend or revoke an authorization to have documents posted on the electronic account or website.
- through electronic posting <u>pursuant to this subsection</u>, the recipient must be able to access and print or download the document until the earlier of remain accessible to the recipient on the electronic account or website for at least 4 years after the date that the document is deemed received by the recipient or the date upon which the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason.
- 1. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason, such termination does not invalidate the notice or sending of any document previously posted on the electronic account or website in accordance with this subsection, but may toll the applicable limitations period as provided in subparagraph 2.
- 2. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated by the sender sooner than 4 years after the date on which the document was received by the recipient, any applicable limitations period set forth in s. 736.1008(1) or (2) which is still running is tolled for any information adequately disclosed in a document sent solely by electronic

Page 29 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

750

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

posting, from the date on which the recipient's access to the 726 727 electronic account or website was terminated by the sender until 728 45 days after the date on which the sender provides one of the 729 following to the recipient by means other than electronic 730 posting: a. Notice of such termination and notification to the 731 732 recipient that he or she may request that any documents sent 733 during the prior 4 years solely through electronic posting be 734 provided to him or her by other means at no cost; or 735 Notice of such termination and notification to the 736 recipient that his or her access to the electronic account or 737 website has been restored. 738 739 Any applicable limitations period is further tolled from the 740 date on which any request is made pursuant to sub-subparagraph 741 2.a. until 20 days after the date on which the requested 742 documents are provided to the recipient by means other than 743 electronic posting The electronic account or website must allow 744 the recipient to download or print the document. This subsection 745 does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, 746 distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect 747 or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain 748 those records. 749 For purposes of this subsection, access to an

Page 30 of 49

electronic account or website is terminated by the sender when

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

- the sender unilaterally terminates the recipient's ability to access the electronic website or account or download or print any document posted on such website or account. Access is not terminated by the sender when access is terminated by an action of the recipient or by an action of the sender in response to the recipient's request to terminate access. The recipient's revocation of authorization pursuant to paragraph (f) is not considered a request to terminate access To be effective, the posting of a document to an electronic account or website must be done in accordance with this subsection. The sender has the burden of establishing compliance with this subsection.
- (i) This subsection does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain such records preclude the sending of a document by other means.
- (j) This subsection governs the posting of a document solely for the purpose of giving notice under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code and does not prohibit or otherwise apply to the posting of a document to an electronic account or website for any other purpose or preclude the sending of a document by any other means.
- Section 16. Subsection (3) of section 736.0110, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 736.0110 Others treated as qualified beneficiaries.-

Page 31 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

to read:

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

- (3) The Attorney General may assert the rights of a qualified beneficiary with respect to a charitable trust having its principal place of administration in this state. The Attorney General has standing to assert such rights in any judicial proceedings.
- Section 17. Effective April 1, 2018, paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 736.0403, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0403 Trusts created in other jurisdictions; formalities required for revocable trusts.—

- (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1):
- (b) The testamentary aspects of a revocable trust, executed by a settlor who is a domiciliary of this state at the time of execution, are invalid unless the trust instrument is executed by the settlor with the formalities required for the execution of a will under s. 732.502 or an electronic will under s. 732.523 which is self-proved; however, the qualified custodian of the trust instrument may not also be a trustee of the trust in this state. For purposes of this subsection, the term "testamentary aspects" means those provisions of the trust instrument that dispose of the trust property on or after the death of the settlor other than to the settlor's estate.

 Section 18. Section 736.0404, Florida Statutes, is amended

736.0404 Trust purposes.—A trust may be created only to

Page 32 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

801	the extent the purposes of the trust are lawful, not contrary to
802	public policy, and possible to achieve. A trust and its terms
803	must be for the benefit of its beneficiaries.
804	Section 19. Effective upon becoming a law, section
805	736.04117, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
806	736.04117 Trustee's power to invade principal in trust.—
807	(1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:
808	(a) "Absolute power" means Unless the trust instrument
809	expressly provides otherwise, a trustee who has absolute power
810	under the terms of a trust to invade the principal of the trust,
811	referred to in this section as the "first trust," to make
812	distributions to or for the benefit of one or more persons may
813	instead exercise the power by appointing all or part of the
814	principal of the trust subject to the power in favor of a
815	trustee of another trust, referred to in this section as the
816	"second trust," for the current benefit of one or more of such
817	persons under the same trust instrument or under a different
818	trust instrument; provided:
819	1. The beneficiaries of the second trust may include only
820	beneficiaries of the first trust;
821	2. The second trust may not reduce any fixed income,
822	annuity, or unitrust interest in the assets of the first trust;
823	and
824	3. If any contribution to the first trust qualified for a
825	marital or charitable deduction for federal income, gift, or

Page 33 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

estate tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the second trust shall not contain any provision which, if included in the first trust, would have prevented the first trust from qualifying for such a deduction or would have reduced the amount of such deduction.

- (b) For purposes of this subsection, an absolute power to invade principal shall include a power to invade principal that is not limited to specific or ascertainable purposes, such as health, education, maintenance, and support, regardless of whether or not the term "absolute" is used. A power to invade principal for purposes such as best interests, welfare, comfort, or happiness constitutes shall constitute an absolute power not limited to specific or ascertainable purposes.
- (b) "Authorized trustee" means a trustee, other than the settlor or a beneficiary, who has the power to invade the principal of a trust.
- (c) "Beneficiary with a disability" means a beneficiary of the first trust who the authorized trustee believes may qualify for governmental benefits based on disability, regardless of whether the beneficiary currently receives those benefits or has been adjudicated incapacitated.
- (d) "Current beneficiary" means a beneficiary who, on the date his or her qualification is determined, is a distributee or permissible distributee of trust income or principal. The term includes the holder of a presently exercisable general power of

Page 34 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

851	appointment but does not include a person who is a beneficiary
852	only because he or she holds another power of appointment.
853	(e) "Governmental benefits" means financial aid or
854	services from any state, federal, or other public agency.
855	(f) "Internal Revenue Code" means the Internal Revenue
856	Code of 1986, as amended.
857	(g) "Power of appointment" has the same meaning as
858	<pre>provided in s. 731.201(30).</pre>
859	(h) "Presently exercisable general power of appointment"
860	means a power of appointment exercisable by the powerholder at
861	the relevant time. The term:
862	1. Includes a power of appointment that is exercisable
863	only after the occurrence of a specified event or that is
864	subject to a specified restriction, but only after the event has
865	occurred or the restriction has been satisfied.
866	2. Does not include a power exercisable only upon the
867	powerholder's death.
868	(i) "Substantially similar" means that there is no
869	material change in a beneficiary's beneficial interests or in
870	the power to make distributions and that the power to make a
871	distribution under a second trust for the benefit of a
872	beneficiary who is an individual is substantially similar to the
873	power under the first trust to make a distribution directly to
874	the beneficiary. A distribution is deemed to be for the benefit
075	of a honoficiany if.

Page 35 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

876	1. The distribution is applied for the benefit of a
877	beneficiary;
878	2. The beneficiary is under a legal disability or the
879	trustee reasonably believes the beneficiary is incapacitated,
880	and the distribution is made as permitted under this code; or

- 3. The distribution is made as permitted under the terms of the first trust instrument and the second trust instrument for the benefit of the beneficiary.
- (j) "Supplemental needs trust" means a trust that the authorized trustee believes would not be considered a resource for purposes of determining whether the beneficiary who has a disability is eligible for governmental benefits.
- (k) "Vested interest" means a current unconditional right to receive a mandatory distribution of income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, or a current unconditional right to withdraw income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, which right is not subject to the occurrence of a specified event, the passage of a specified time, or the exercise of discretion.
- 1. The term includes a presently exercisable general power of appointment.
- 2. The term does not include a beneficiary's interest in a trust if the trustee has discretion to make a distribution of trust property to a person other than such beneficiary.
 - (2) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SECOND TRUST WHEN

Page 36 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

901	AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE HAS ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE.
902	(a) Unless a trust instrument expressly provides
903	otherwise, an authorized trustee who has absolute power under
904	the terms of the trust to invade its principal, referred to in
905	this section as the "first trust," to make current distributions
906	to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries may instead
907	exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal
908	of the trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one
909	or more other trusts, whether created under the same trust
910	instrument as the first trust or a different trust instrument,
911	including a trust instrument created for the purposes of
912	exercising the power granted by this section, each referred to
913	in this section as the "second trust," for the current benefit
914	of one or more of such beneficiaries only if:
915	1. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only
916	beneficiaries of the first trust; and
917	2. The second trust does not reduce any vested interest.
918	(b) In an exercise of absolute power, the second trust
919	may:
920	1. Retain a power of appointment granted in the first
921	trust;
922	2. Omit a power of appointment granted in the first trust,
923	other than a presently exercisable general power of appointment;
924	3. Create or modify a power of appointment if the
925	powerholder is a current beneficiary of the first trust;
	\mathbf{I}

Page 37 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

4. Create or modify a power of appointment if the
powerholder is a beneficiary of the first trust who is not a
current beneficiary, but the exercise of the power of
appointment may take effect only after the powerholder becomes,
or would have become if then living, a current beneficiary of
the first trust; and
5 Fytand the term of the second trivet havened the term of

- 5. Extend the term of the second trust beyond the term of the first trust.
- (c) The class of permissible appointees in favor of which a created or modified power of appointment may be exercised may differ from the class identified in the first trust.
- AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE DOES NOT HAVE ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE.—
 Unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized trustee who has a power, other than an absolute power, under the terms of a first trust to invade principal to make current distributions to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the first trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one or more second trusts. If the authorized trustee exercises such power:
- (a) The second trusts, in the aggregate, shall grant each beneficiary of the first trust beneficial interests in the second trusts which are substantially similar to the beneficial interests of the beneficiary in the first trust.

Page 38 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

(b) If the first trust grants a power of appointment to a
beneficiary of the first trust, the second trust shall grant
such power of appointment in the second trust to such
beneficiary, and the class of permissible appointees shall be
the same as in the first trust.
(c) If the first trust does not grant a power of
appointment to a beneficiary of the first trust, then the second
trust may not grant a power of appointment in the second trust
to such beneficiary.
(d) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), the term
of the second trust may extend beyond the term of the first
trust, and, for any period after the first trust would have
otherwise terminated, in whole or in part, under the provisions
of the first trust, the trust instrument of the second trust
may, with respect to property subject to such extended term:
1. Include language providing the trustee with the
absolute power to invade the principal of the second trust
during such extended term; and
2. Create a power of appointment, if the powerholder is a
current beneficiary of the first trust, or expand the class of
permissible appointees in favor of which a power of appointment
may be exercised.
(4) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SUPPLEMENTAL NEEDS
TRUST.—

Page 39 of 49

Notwithstanding subsections (2) and (3), unless the

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1000

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

976	trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized
977	trustee who has the power under the terms of a first trust to
978	invade the principal of the first trust to make current
979	distributions to or for the benefit of a beneficiary with a
980	disability may instead exercise such power by appointing all or
981	part of the principal of the first trust in favor of a trustee
982	of a second trust that is a supplemental needs trust if:
983	1. The supplemental needs trust benefits the beneficiary
984	with a disability;
985	2. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only
986	beneficiaries of the first trust; and
987	3. The authorized trustee determines that the exercise of
988	such power will further the purposes of the first trust.
989	(b) Except as affected by any change to the interests of
990	the beneficiary with a disability, the second trusts, in the
991	aggregate, shall grant each other beneficiary of the first trust
992	beneficial interests in the second trusts which are
993	substantially similar to such beneficiary's beneficial interests
994	in the first trust.
995	(5) PROHIBITED DISTRIBUTIONS.—
996	(a) An authorized trustee may not distribute the principal
997	of a trust under this section in a manner that would prevent a
998	contribution to that trust from qualifying for, or that would
999	reduce the exclusion, deduction, or other federal tax benefit

Page 40 of 49

that was originally claimed or could have been claimed for, that

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1001	contribution, including:
1002	1. The exclusions under s. 2503(b) or s. 2503(c) of the
1003	<pre>Internal Revenue Code;</pre>
1004	2. A marital deduction under s. 2056, s. 2056A, or s. 2523
1005	of the Internal Revenue Code;
1006	3. A charitable deduction under s. 170(a), s. 642(c), s.
1007	2055(a), or s. 2522(a) of the Internal Revenue Code;
1008	4. Direct skip treatment under s. 2642(c) of the Internal
1009	Revenue Code; or
1010	5. Any other tax benefit for income, gift, estate, or
1011	generation-skipping transfer tax purposes under the Internal
1012	Revenue Code.
1013	(b) If S corporation stock is held in the first trust, an
1014	authorized trustee may not distribute all or part of that stock
1015	to a second trust that is not a permitted shareholder under s.
1016	1361(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. If the first trust
1017	holds stock in an S corporation and is, or but for provisions of
1018	paragraphs (a), (c), and (d) would be, a qualified subchapter S
1019	trust within the meaning of s. 1361(d) of the Internal Revenue
1020	Code, the second trust instrument may not include or omit a term
1021	that prevents it from qualifying as a qualified subchapter S
1022	trust.
1023	(c) Except as provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (d), an
1024	authorized trustee may distribute the principal of a first trust
1025	to a second trust regardless of whether the settlor is treated

Page 41 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1026	as the owner of either trust under ss. 671-679 of the Internal
1027	Revenue Code; however, if the settlor is not treated as the
1028	owner of the first trust, he or she may not be treated as the
1029	owner of the second trust unless he or she at all times has the
1030	power to cause the second trust to cease being treated as if it
1031	were owned by the settlor.
1032	(d) If an interest in property which is subject to the
1033	minimum distribution rules of s. 401(a)(9) of the Internal
1034	Revenue Code is held in trust, an authorized trustee may not
1035	distribute such an interest to a second trust under subsection
1036	(2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) if the distribution would
1037	shorten the otherwise applicable maximum distribution period.
1038	(6) EXERCISE BY WRITING.—The exercise of a power to invade
1039	principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection
1040	(4) must The exercise of a power to invade principal under
1041	subsection (1) shall be by a written an instrument in writing,
1042	signed and acknowledged by the $\underline{ ext{authorized}}$ trustee, and filed
1043	with the records of the first trust.
1044	(7) (3) RESTRICTIONS ON EXERCISE OF POWER.—The exercise of
1045	a power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection
1046	(3), or subsection (4):
1047	(a) Is (1) shall be considered the exercise of a power of
1048	appointment, excluding other than a power to appoint to the
L049	authorized trustee, the authorized trustee's creditors, the
1050	authorized trustools astato or the graditors of the authorized

Page 42 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1051 trustee's estate.

10521053

1054

10551056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

10651066

1067

10681069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

- (b) Is, and Shall be subject to the provisions of s. 689.225 covering the time at which the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities begins and the law that determines the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities of the first trust.
- (c) May be to a second trust created or administered under the law of any jurisdiction.
 - (d) May not:
- 1. Increase the authorized trustee's compensation beyond the compensation specified in the first trust instrument; or
- 2. Relieve the authorized trustee from liability for breach of trust or provide for indemnification of the authorized trustee for any liability or claim to a greater extent than the first trust instrument; however, the exercise of the power may divide and reallocate fiduciary powers among fiduciaries and relieve a fiduciary from liability for an act or failure to act of another fiduciary as otherwise allowed under law or common law.
 - (8) NOTICE.
- (a) (4) The <u>authorized</u> trustee shall <u>provide</u> written notification of the manner in which he or she intends to exercise his or her power to invade principal to notify all qualified beneficiaries of the <u>following parties</u> first trust, in writing, at least 60 days before prior to the effective date of

Page 43 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1076	the <u>authorized</u> trustee's exercise of <u>such power</u> the trustee's
1077	power to invade principal pursuant to subsection (2), subsection
1078	(3), or subsection (4) : (1) , of the manner in which the trustee
1079	intends to exercise the power.
1080	1. All qualified beneficiaries of the first trust;
1081	2. If paragraph (5)(c) applies, the settlor of the first
1082	trust;
1083	3. All trustees of the first trust; and
1084	4. Any person who has the power to remove or replace the
1085	authorized trustee of the first trust.
1086	(b) The authorized A copy of the proposed instrument
1087	exercising the power shall satisfy the trustee's notice
1088	obligation to provide notice under this subsection is satisfied
1089	when he or she provides copies of the proposed instrument
1090	exercising the power, the trust instrument of the first trust,
1091	and the proposed trust instrument of the second trust.
1092	(c) If all of those required to be notified qualified
1093	beneficiaries waive the notice period by signed written
1094	instrument delivered to the <u>authorized</u> trustee, the <u>authorized</u>
1095	trustee's power to invade principal shall be exercisable
1096	immediately.
1097	(d) The <u>authorized</u> trustee's notice under this subsection
1098	does shall not limit the right of any beneficiary to object to
1099	the exercise of the <u>authorized</u> trustee's power to invade
1100	principal except as otherwise provided in other applicable

Page 44 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1125

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1101	provisions of this code.
1102	(9) (5) INAPPLICABILITY OF SPENDTHRIFT CLAUSE OR OTHER
1103	PROHIBITION.—The exercise of the power to invade principal under
1104	subsection (2) , subsection (3) , or subsection (4) (1) is not
1105	prohibited by a spendthrift clause or by a provision in the
1106	trust instrument that prohibits amendment or revocation of the
1107	trust.
1108	(10) (6) NO DUTY TO EXERCISE.—Nothing in this section is
1109	intended to create or imply a duty to exercise a power to invade
1110	principal, and no inference of impropriety $\underline{\text{may}}$ $\underline{\text{shall}}$ be made as
1111	a result of an authorized trustee's failure to exercise a
1112	trustee not exercising the power to invade principal conferred
1113	under subsections (2) , (3) , and (4) subsection (1) .
1114	(11) (7) NO ABRIDGEMENT OF COMMON LAW RIGHTS.—The
1115	$\frac{\text{provisions of}}{\text{of}}$ This section $\frac{\text{may shall}}{\text{of}}$ not be construed to abridge
1116	the right of any trustee who has a power of invasion to appoint
1117	property in further trust that arises under the terms of the
1118	first trust or under any other section of this code or under
1119	another provision of law or under common law.
1120	Section 20. Subsection (3) of section 736.08135, Florida
1121	Statutes, is amended to read:
1122	736.08135 Trust accountings
1123	(3) Subsections (1) and (2) govern the form and content of
1124	This section applies to all trust accountings rendered for any

Page 45 of 49

accounting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2003, and

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1120	all clust accountings rendered on of after only 1, 2017. Ints
1127	subsection does not affect the beginning period from which a
1128	trustee is required to render a trust accounting.
1129	Section 21. Subsection (3) of section 736.1008, Florida
1130	Statutes, is amended to read:
1131	736.1008 Limitations on proceedings against trustees
1132	(3) When a trustee has not issued a final trust accounting
1133	or has not given written notice to the beneficiary of the
1134	availability of the trust records for examination and that
1135	claims with respect to matters not adequately disclosed may be
1136	barred, a claim against the trustee for breach of trust based on
1137	a matter not adequately disclosed in a trust disclosure document
1138	is barred as provided in chapter 95 and accrues when the
1139	beneficiary has actual knowledge of:
1140	(a) The facts upon which the claim is based, if such
1141	actual knowledge is established by clear and convincing
1142	evidence; or
1143	(b) The trustee's repudiation of the trust or adverse
1144	possession of trust assets.
1145	
1146	Paragraph (a) applies to claims based upon acts or omissions
1147	occurring on or after July 1, 2008. A beneficiary's actual
1148	knowledge that he or she has not received a trust accounting
1149	does not cause a claim to accrue against the trustee for breach
1150	of trust based upon the failure to provide a trust accounting

Page 46 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1151	required by s. 736.0813 or former s. 737.303 and does not
1152	commence the running of any period of limitations or laches for
1153	such a claim, and paragraph (a) and chapter 95 do not bar any
1154	such claim.
1155	Section 22. The changes to ss. 736.08135 and 736.1008,
1156	Florida Statutes, made by this act are intended to clarify
1157	existing law, are remedial in nature, and apply retroactively to
1158	all cases pending or commenced on or after July 1, 2017.
1159	Section 23. Present subsections (2), (3), and (4) of
1160	section 736.1201, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as
1161	subsections (3) , (4) , and (5) , respectively, present subsection
1162	(5) of that section is amended, and a new subsection (2) is
1163	added to that section, to read:
1164	736.1201 Definitions.—As used in this part:
1165	(2) "Delivery of notice" means delivery of a written
1166	notice required under this part using any commercial delivery
1167	service requiring a signed receipt or by any form of mail
1168	requiring a signed receipt.
1169	(5) "State attorney" means the state attorney for the
1170	judicial circuit of the principal place of administration of the
1171	trust pursuant to s. 736.0108.
1172	Section 24. Section 736.1205, Florida Statutes, is amended
1173	to read:
1174	736.1205 Notice that this part does not apply.—In the case
1175	of a power to make distributions, if the trustee determines that
- 1	

Page 47 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1200

CS/CS/HB 277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1176 the governing instrument contains provisions that are more 1177 restrictive than s. 736.1204(2), or if the trust contains other 1178 powers, inconsistent with the provisions of s. 736.1204(3) that 1179 specifically direct acts by the trustee, the trustee shall 1180 notify the state Attorney General by delivery of notice when the 1181 trust becomes subject to this part. Section 736.1204 does not 1182 apply to any trust for which notice has been given pursuant to 1183 this section unless the trust is amended to comply with the 1184 terms of this part. 1185 Section 25. Sections 1 through 12 and section 17 of this 1186 act apply to electronic wills executed on or after July 1, 2017. 1187 Section 26. Subsection (2) of section 736.1206, Florida 1188 Statutes, is amended to read: 1189 736.1206 Power to amend trust instrument. 1190 In the case of a charitable trust that is not subject 1191 to the provisions of subsection (1), the trustee may amend the 1192 governing instrument to comply with the provisions of s. 1193 736.1204(2) after delivery of notice to, and with the consent 1194 of, the state Attorney General. 1195 Section 27. Section 736.1207, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 1196 1197 736.1207 Power of court to permit deviation.—This part 1198 does not affect the power of a court to relieve a trustee from 1199 any restrictions on the powers and duties that are placed on the

Page 48 of 49

trustee by the governing instrument or applicable law for cause

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/CS/HB277, Engrossed 1

2017 Legislature

1201	shown and on complaint of the trustee, <u>the</u> state Attorney
1202	General, or an affected beneficiary and notice to the affected
1203	parties.
1204	Section 28. Paragraph (b) of subsection (4) of section
1205	736.1208, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
1206	736.1208 Release; property and persons affected; manner of
1207	effecting
1208	(4) Delivery of a release shall be accomplished as
1209	follows:
1210	(b) If the release is accomplished by reducing the class
1211	of permissible charitable organizations, by delivery of $\underline{\text{notice}}$ $\underline{\text{a}}$
1212	copy of the release to the state Attorney General, including a
1213	copy of the release.
1214	Section 29. Section 736.1209, Florida Statutes, is amended
1215	to read:
1216	736.1209 Election to come under this part.—With the
1217	consent of that organization or organizations, a trustee of a
1218	trust for the benefit of a public charitable organization or
1219	organizations may come under s. 736.1208(5) by delivery of
1220	notice to filing with the state Attorney General of the an
1221	election, accompanied by the proof of required consent.
1222	Thereafter the trust shall be subject to s. $736.1208(5)$.
1223	Section 30. Except as otherwise provided in this act and
1224	except for this section, which shall take effect upon becoming a
1225	law this act shall take offect Tuly 1 2017

Page 49 of 49

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.